Total Population 220

estion wording changed in 2021												
hich of the following best describes your work role?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
alyst	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2016	2017	2016	11	25	2022
anager of analysts										7	12	9
nalytic enabler										2	3	6
ther										3	2	2
otal 10E										23 19.34%	42 13.60%	37
UE .										19.34%	13.60%	14.69%
riginal response categories												
/hat is your work role?												
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Nanager of analysts							9	5	3			
nalyst							24	10	10	NA	after FY18	
Other (new in 2017 )							22	5	3			
otal IOE							33 15.73%	15 24.43%	16 23.59%			
OL .							15.7570	24.43/0	23.3370			
uestion wording changed in 2021												
ow long have you been involved in analytic work in the IC?												
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
ess than 5 years							14	2	4	9	16	5
- 9 years							16	7	2	3	5	9
0 - 19 years 0 years or more							1 2	10 1	13 5	8	12 9	13 10
otal							33	20	24	23	42	37
uestion wording changed in 2021												
uestion responses changed in 2021												
the past year, have you experienced distortion and/or suppression				2012	2014	2015	2016	2017	2010	2010	2024	2022
25	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	<b>2021</b> 5	<b>2022</b>
es 0											34	31
otal											39	33
10E											14.23%	15.73%
ew response categories added to the question in 2019												
uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort												
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
es es, I suspect but am not sure it has occurred										3	IA after FY1	0
											IA aitei Fil	.9
lo.												
										16 20		
otal										16		
io Total MOE										16 20		
otal MOE Driginal response categories										16 20		
otal MOE Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018										16 20		
otal MOE Original response categories L <mark>uestion wording changed in 2018</mark> Juring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort										16 20 20.89%		
otal MOE  Iriginal response categories  Luestion wording changed in 2018  Luring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort	t or surpress anal 2010	ysis on whi 2011	ich you wer 2012	e working?	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	16 20	2021	2022
otal  ADE  Original response categories  Question wording changed in 2018  Puring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort					2014	2015	20	0	12	16 20 20.89%	<b>2021</b> after FY18	
otal  MOE  Original response categories  Question wording changed in 2018  Furing the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  Oo  es					2014	2015	20 10	0 0	12 4	16 20 20.89%		
otal  ADE  Priginal response categories  Luestion wording changed in 2018  Luring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  Lo  Es  Es  Es  Es  Es  Es  Es  Es  Es  E					2014	2015	20 10 30	0 0 0	12 4 16	16 20 20.89%		
otal  ADE  Priginal response categories  Luestion wording changed in 2018  Luring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  Lo  Es  Es  Es  Es  Es  Es  Es  Es  Es  E					2014	2015	20 10	0 0	12 4	16 20 20.89%		
otal IOE  riginal response categories  uestion wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  o es  otal IOE  uestion wording changed in 2021					2014	2015	20 10 30	0 0 0	12 4 16	16 20 20.89%		
otal IOE riginal response categories uestion wording changed in 2018 uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort o es otal IOE uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021	2010				2014	2015	20 10 30	0 0 0	12 4 16	16 20 20.89%		
otal IOE riginal response categories uestion wording changed in 2018 uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort oes obtal IOE uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys	2010 sis?	2011	2012	2013			20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0.00%	12 4 16 23.59%	16 20 20.89%	after FY18	
ortal IOE riginal response categories uestion wording changed in 2018 uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort o es sotal IOE uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys	2010				2014	2015	20 10 30	0 0 0	12 4 16	16 20 20.89%	after FY18	2022
or of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys on stantily (daily)	2010 sis?	2011	2012	2013			20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0.00%	12 4 16 23.59%	16 20 20.89%	2021 1	<b>2022</b> 0
otal ODE riginal response categories  uestion wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  o es total ODE  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  onstantly (daily) equently (weekly or monthly)	2010 sis?	2011	2012	2013			20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0.00%	12 4 16 23.59%	16 20 20.89%	2021 1	<b>2022</b> 0 0
otal IOE  riginal response categories  uestion wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  o es  otal IOE  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  onstantly (daily) requently (weekly or monthly) ccasionally (more than twice but less than monthly)	2010 sis?	2011	2012	2013			20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0.00%	12 4 16 23.59%	16 20 20.89%	2021 1 1 2	2022 0 0
ortal IOE riginal response categories uestion wording changed in 2018 uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort to es sotal IOE uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys onstantly (daily) equently (weekly or monthly) ccasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) arely (Once or twice)	2010 sis?	2011	2012	2013			20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0.00%	12 4 16 23.59%	16 20 20.89%	2021 1 1 2	2022 0 0 0 2
otal IOE  riginal response categories  uestion wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  o es  so total IOE  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  constantly (daily) requently (weekly or monthly)  ccasionally (more than twice but less than monthly)  arely (Once or twice)	2010 sis?	2011	2012	2013			20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0.00%	12 4 16 23.59%	16 20 20.89%	2021 1 1 2 1 5	2022 0 0 0 2 2
otal  ADE  Iriginal response categories  Luestion wording changed in 2018  Luring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  Loes  Social  ADE  Luestion wording changed in 2021  Luestion responses changed in 2021  Luestion responses changed in 2021  Low often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  Constantly (daily)  ADE  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analyse  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analyse  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analyse  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analyse  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analyse  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analyse  Lores of the did you experience distortion and/or suppression of ana	2010 sis?	2011	2012	2013			20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0.00%	12 4 16 23.59%	16 20 20.89%	2021 1 1 2	2022 0 0 0 2
otal ### Application wording changed in 2018 ### Uning the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort the past year.  ###################################	2010 sis?	2011	2012	2013			20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0.00%	12 4 16 23.59%	16 20 20.89%	2021 1 1 2 1 5	2022 0 0 0 2 2
ortal IODE  riginal response categories question wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort o es  otal IODE  question wording changed in 2021 question responses changed in 2021 ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys onstantly (daily) requently (weekly or monthly) creasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) arely (Once or twice) otal IODE	2010 sis?	2011	2012	2013			20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0.00%	12 4 16 23.59%	16 20 20.89%	2021 1 1 2 1 5	2022 0 0 0 2 2
otal IOE  riginal response categories  uestion wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  o es total IOE  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  onstantly (daily) requently (weekly or monthly) ccasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) arely (Once or twice)  otal IOE  we response categories added to the question in 2019  uestion wording changed in 2019	2010 sis? 2010	2011	2012	2013			20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0.00%	12 4 16 23.59%	16 20 20.89%	2021 1 1 2 1 5	2022 0 0 0 2 2
original response categories  uestion wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  oo  as  botal  OOE  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analyse  constantly (daily)  requently (weekly or monthly)  ccasionally (more than twice but less than monthly)  arely (Once or twice)  tal  IOE  ew response categories added to the question in 2019  uestion wording changed in 2019  ver the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression or	2010 sis? 2010	2011	2012	2013			20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0.00%	12 4 16 23.59%	20.89%  2019  NA  2019	2021 1 1 2 1 5	2022 0 0 0 2 2
original response categories  uestion wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  o es so total  IOE  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analyse constantly (daily) requently (weekly or monthly) ccasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) arely (Once or twice) total IOE  ew response categories added to the question in 2019  uestion wording changed in 2019  ver the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression or  n a daily or monthly basis	2010 sis? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 4 16 23.59%	16 20 20.89% 2019 NA 2019	2021 1 1 2 2 1 5 43.33%	2022 0 0 0 2 2 268.98%
original response categories  uestion wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  o ess  obtal  IOE  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  constantly (daily)  requently (weekly or monthly)  ccasionally (more than twice but less than monthly)  arely (Once or twice)  otal  IOE  ew response categories added to the question in 2019  uestion wording changed in 2019  ver the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression or  n a daily or monthly basis  n a monthly basis	2010 sis? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 4 16 23.59%	2019 2019 2019 0	2021 1 1 2 2 43.33%	2022 0 0 0 2 2 68.98%
otal IOE  riginal response categories  uestion wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  o es total IOE  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  constantly (daily) requently (weekly or monthly) ccasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) arely (Once or twice) total IOE  we response categories added to the question in 2019  uestion wording changed in 2019  ver the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of  an adaily or monthly basis in a monthly basis everal times a year (less than 12 but more than 3)	2010 sis? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 4 16 23.59%	2019 NA 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019	2021 1 1 2 2 1 5 43.33%	2022 0 0 0 2 2 68.98%
original response categories uestion wording changed in 2018 uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort o ess otal IOE  uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys constantly (daily) requently (weekly or monthly) ccasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) arely (Once or twice) otal IOE  ew response categories added to the question in 2019 uestion wording changed in 2019 ver the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression or n a daily or monthly basis n a monthly basis n a monthly basis everal times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) - 3 times	2010 sis? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 4 16 23.59%	2019 NA 2019 0 0 0 2019	2021 1 1 2 2 43.33%	2022 0 0 0 2 2 68.98%
original response categories  uestion wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  o es botal  IOE  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analyse  onstantly (daily)  equently (weekly or monthly)  ccasionally (more than twice but less than monthly)  arely (Once or twice)  otal  IOE  ew response categories added to the question in 2019  uestion wording changed in 2019  ver the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of  n a daily or monthly basis n a monthly basis n a monthly basis everal times a year (less than 12 but more than 3)  - 3 times nce	2010 sis? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 4 16 23.59%	2019 NA 2019 2019 0 0 2019	2021 1 1 2 2 43.33%	2022 0 0 0 2 2 68.98%
ortal IOE  riginal response categories  uestion wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  o es total IOE  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  onstantly (daily) requently (weekly or monthly) ccasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) arely (Once or twice) total IOE  ew response categories added to the question in 2019  uestion wording changed in 2019  wer the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression or  in a daily or monthly basis in a monthly basis everal times a year (less than 12 but more than 3)  - 3 times ince	2010 sis? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 4 16 23.59%	2019 NA 2019 2019 0 0 0 2 2 2 4	2021 1 1 2 2 43.33%	2022 0 0 0 2 2 68.98%
ortal IOE  riginal response categories  uestion wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  o es total IOE  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys  onstantly (daily) requently (weekly or monthly) ccasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) arely (Once or twice) total IOE  ew response categories added to the question in 2019  uestion wording changed in 2019  wer the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression or  in a daily or monthly basis in a monthly basis everal times a year (less than 12 but more than 3)  - 3 times ince	2010 sis? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 4 16 23.59%	2019 NA 2019 2019 0 0 2019	2021 1 1 2 2 43.33%	2022 0 0 0 2 2 68.98%
original response categories  uestion wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  o es so total  IOE  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analyse  constantly (daily)  requently (weekly or monthly)  ccasionally (more than twice but less than monthly)  arely (Once or twice)  total  IOE  we response categories added to the question in 2019  uestion wording changed in 2019  ver the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression or  n a daily or monthly basis n a monthly basis everal times a year (less than 12 but more than 3)  - 3 times nce total	2010 sis? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 4 16 23.59%	2019 NA 2019 2019 0 0 0 2 2 2 4	2021 1 1 2 2 43.33%	2022 0 0 0 2 2 68.98%
original response categories uestion wording changed in 2018 uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort original response categories uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort original responses changed in 2021 uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys constantly (daily) requently (weekly or monthly) ccasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) arely (Once or twice) otal otal otal otal otal otal otal otal	2010 sis? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 4 16 23.59%	2019 NA 2019 2019 0 0 0 2 2 2 4	2021 1 1 2 2 43.33%	2022 0 0 0 2 2 68.98%
otal MOE  briginal response categories tuestion wording changed in 2018 turing the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort	2010 sis? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 4 16 23.59%	2019 NA 2019 2019 0 0 0 2 2 2 4	2021 1 1 2 2 43.33%	2022 0 0 0 2 2 68.98%
ortal IOE  riginal response categories  uestion wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort o es sotal IOE  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analys onstantly (daily) requently (weekly or monthly) ccasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) arely (Once or twice) otal IOE  ew response categories added to the question in 2019  uestion wording changed in 2019 wer the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of on a daily or monthly basis in a monthly basis everal times a year (less than 12 but more than 3)  - 3 times once otal IOE  ew response categories in 2018 ow often do you face distortion/suppression of your analysis? onstantly (Daily)	2010  sis?  2010  f your analysis?  2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0.00%	12 4 16 23.59% 2018	2019 NA 2019 2019 2019 2019 4 48.55%	2021 1 1 2 2 1 5 5 43.33%	2022 0 0 0 2 2 68.98%
original response categories  uestion wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  o essembled in 2021  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analyse on stantity (daily)  requently (weekly or monthly)  ccasionally (more than twice but less than monthly)  arely (Once or twice)  total  IODE  ew response categories added to the question in 2019  uestion wording changed in 2019  ver the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression or  in a daily or monthly basis  in a monthly basis  several times a year (less than 12 but more than 3)  - 3 times  nee  otal  IODE  ew response categories in 2018  ow often do you face distortion/suppression of your analysis?  constantly (Daily)  requently (Consistently, on a weekly or monthly basis)	2010  sis?  2010  f your analysis?  2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0.00%	2018 2018 2018 2018	2019 NA 2019 NA 2019 2019 0 0 0 2 2 2 0 4 48.55%	2021 1 1 2 1 5 43.33% 2021 NA after	2022 0 0 0 2 2 68.98%
original response categories  uestion wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  original response categories  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  original responses changed in 2021  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  uestion wording (daily)  equentity (weekly or monthly)  casionally (more than twice but less than monthly)  arely (Once or twice)  total  OE  uestion wording changed in 2019  uer the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression or  a daily or monthly basis  n a monthly basis  a monthly basis  a a monthly basis  a a monthly basis  careal times a year (less than 12 but more than 3)  3 times  noce  total  OE  uew response categories in 2018  ow often do you face distortion/suppression of your analysis?  constantly (Daily)  equently (Consistently, on a weekly or monthly basis)  coasionally (Up to 4 times per year)	2010  sis?  2010  f your analysis?  2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0.00%	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	2019 NA 2019 NA 2019 2019 0 0 0 2 2 2 0 4 48.55%	2021 1 1 2 2 1 5 5 43.33%	2022 0 0 0 2 2 68.98%
original response categories  uestion wording changed in 2018  uring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort  or ses  stal  OE  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  ow often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analyse  constantly (daily)  equently (weekly or monthly)  ccasionally (more than twice but less than monthly)  oriely (Once or twice)  tal  OE  ew response categories added to the question in 2019  uestion wording changed in 2019  ver the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression or  an adaily or monthly basis  an amonthly basis  ver al times a year (less than 12 but more than 3)  - 3 times  ace  tal  OE  ew response categories in 2018  ow often do you face distortion/suppression of your analysis?  constantly (Daily)	2010  sis?  2010  f your analysis?  2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	20 10 30 16.63%	0 0 0 0.00%	2018 2018 2018 2018	2019 NA 2019 NA 2019 2019 0 0 0 2 2 2 0 4 48.55%	2021 1 1 2 1 5 43.33% 2021 NA after	2022 0 0 0 2 2 68.98%

ow many times has someone attempted to distort or suppress analysis on v	which you v 2010	vere work	ing in the f 2012	ace of pers 2013	uasive evid	ence? 2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Once	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	7	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
wice							0			-0		
'hree times							1		INA	after FY17		
More than three times							2					
otal							10					
lew question added in 2022												
When considering your most signficant expeirence with distortion and/or su	pression o	of analysis,	which Ana	lytic Stand	ard was pr	marily viol	ated?					
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Analytic Standard A - Objective												0
analytic Standard B - Independent of political consideration												0
Analytic Standard C - Timely  Analytic Standard D - Based on all available sources of intelligence informati												0
Analytic Standard D - Based on all available sources of intelligence informati Anatlyic Standard E - Implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards												2
other												0
otal OBJ												2
lew question added in 2022  When considering your most significant experience with distortion and/or su	nnrossion (	of analysis	what form	n did it tak	0.2							
viien considering your most significant experience with distortion and/or so	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
dictated/predetermined analytic line												1
A changed analytic line absent sufficient sourcing or argumentation												0
Distorted or suppressed judgements, sourcing, uncertainty, assumptions, or												0
Distorted or suppressed analysis of alternativess or dissent												0
Discouraged analysis/production on a particular topic absent sufficient reason												0
Delayed, shelved, or recalled analysis absent sufficient reasoning												0
Distorted or suppressed input to, or comments on, a product												0
nformation to which I did not have access changed the analysis												0
Analysis mischaracterized in conveyance to a consumer A deliberately distorted product classification												1
t deliberately distorted product classification  Other												0
otal OBJ												2
North Control of Control												
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021												
When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or supp	ression of	analysis, w	hat form o	lid it take?								
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
My product was not published											0	
The product was deliberately delayed											0	
was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me											1	
The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumen											0	
udgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or re											0 N	A after F
A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer											0	
An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/prod											0	
My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed											0	
Other											1	
Total OBJ											5	
New question added in 2019												
When considering the most signficant instance of distortion or suppression,	what form	did it take	?									
·	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Ay product was not published										2		
was discouraged from writing a product										0		
My analytic line was dictated to me										0		
The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review										0		
Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my produc										0	NA after	FY19
A briefer either suppressed or misrepresented the analysis										0		
An internal agency senior changed, deleted, or added minor analytic points :  My comments or dissent on a product were suppressed or distorted										2 0		
Ny comments or dissent on a product were suppressed or distorted  Other										0		
otal OBJ										4		
Superties according abound in 2024												
Question responses changed in 2021										2019	2024	2022
Question responses changed in 2021 What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2013	2021	2022
Question responses changed in 2021 What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1	1
What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?  I did not receive feedback or explanation The analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019		
What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?  did not receive feedback or explanation he analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication he anlysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 0 0	1 0 0
What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?  I did not receive feedback or explanation he analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication he anlysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards bissent or alterantive analysis was not accepted	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2013	1 0 0 1	1 0 0 0
What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?  I did not receive feedback or explanation  The analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication  The anlysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards  Dissent or alterantive analysis was not accepted  Concurrence from a particular component was required for publication	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 0 0 1	1 0 0 0
Question wording changed in 2021  What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?  did not receive feedback or explanation  The analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication  The analysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards  Dissent or alterantive analysis was not accepted  Concurrence from a particular component was required for publication  Content was changed or deleted to better meet customer needs	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0 0
What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?  In the did not receive feedback or explanation  The analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication  The analysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards  Dissent or alterantive analysis was not accepted  Concurrence from a particular component was required for publication  Content was changed or deleted to better meet customer needs  Content was changed or deleted because of differeing views from the field	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 0 0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 1
What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?  I did not receive feedback or explanation he analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication he analysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards bissent or alterantive analysis was not accepted concurrence from a particular component was required for publication content was changed or deleted to better meet customer needs content was changed or deleted because of differeing views from the field content was changed or deleted because it was too long, complicated, or te	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 0 0 1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0
What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?  In the analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication the analysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards bissent or alterantive analysis was not accepted concurrence from a particular component was required for publication content was changed or deleted to better meet customer needs content was changed or deleted because of differeing views from the field content was changed or deleted because it was too long, complicated, or te information to which I do not have access changed the analysis	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0
What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?  In the analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication The analysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards Dissent or alterantive analysis was not accepted Concurrence from a particular component was required for publication Content was changed or deleted to better meet customer needs Content was changed or deleted because of differeing views from the field Content was changed or deleted because it was too long, complicated, or te Information to which I do not have access changed the analysis The assessment was policy prescriptive	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0
What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?  I did not receive feedback or explanation The analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication The analysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards Tolissent or alterantive analysis was not accepted Toncurrence from a particular component was required for publication Toncent was changed or deleted to better meet customer needs Tontent was changed or deleted because of differeing views from the field Tontent was changed or deleted because it was too long, complicated, or te Thormation to which I do not have access changed the analysis The assessment was policy prescriptive	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0
What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?  I did not receive feedback or explanation The analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication The analysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards Tolissent or alterantive analysis was not accepted Toncurrence from a particular component was required for publication Toncent was changed or deleted to better meet customer needs Tontent was changed or deleted because of differeing views from the field Tontent was changed or deleted because it was too long, complicated, or te Thormation to which I do not have access changed the analysis The assessment was policy prescriptive	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0
Avestion responses changed in 2021 What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?  It is a many sis did not meet threshold or priority for publication the analysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards bissent or alterantive analysis was not accepted concurrence from a particular component was required for publication content was changed or deleted to better meet customer needs content was changed or deleted because of differeing views from the field content was changed or deleted because it was too long, complicated, or tenformation to which I do not have access changed the analysis the assessment was policy prescriptive bither  I total OBJ  Lew question added in 2019			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0
Avestion responses changed in 2021 What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?  In the did not receive feedback or explanation The analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication The analysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards Dissent or alterantive analysis was not accepted Concurrence from a particular component was required for publication Content was changed or deleted to better meet customer needs Content was changed or deleted because of differeing views from the field Content was changed or deleted because it was too long, complicated, or te frormation to which I do not have access changed the analysis The assessment was policy prescriptive Other  Other  Very question added in 2019 What was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow	ing this inci	ident?									1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 5	1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0
What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?  In the analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication he analysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards bissent or alterantive analysis was not accepted concurrence from a particular component was required for publication content was changed or deleted to better meet customer needs content was changed or deleted because of differeing views from the field content was changed or deleted because it was too long, complicated, or tenformation to which I do not have access changed the analysis he assessment was policy prescriptive ottal OBJ  Jew question added in 2019  What was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow the content of the content was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow the content was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow the content was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow the content was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow the content was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow the content was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow the content was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow the content was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow the content was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow the content was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow the content was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow the content was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow the content was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow the content was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow the content was the primary feedback or explanation to the content was the primary feedback or explanation to the content was the primary f			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0
What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?  In the analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication the analysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards bissent or alterantive analysis was not accepted concurrence from a particular component was required for publication content was changed or deleted to better meet customer needs content was changed or deleted because of differeing views from the field content was changed or deleted because it was too long, complicated, or te information to which I do not have access changed the analysis	ing this inci	ident?								2019	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 5	1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0
What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?  In the analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication the analysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards bissent or alterantive analysis was not accepted concurrence from a particular component was required for publication content was changed or deleted to better meet customer needs content was changed or deleted because of differeing views from the field content was changed or deleted because it was too long, complicated, or tenformation to which I do not have access changed the analysis the assessment was policy prescriptive other cotal OBJ  What was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow that was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow the was told my product did not meet threshold and/or was not a high enoug p	ing this inci	ident?								<b>2019</b> 0	1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 5	1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0

was told that concurrence from a particular agency or office was required f												
										0		
was told that my analysis was changed or deleted so that the product woul was told additional/special compartmented information (which I do not ha										0		
was told my assessment was policy prescriptive										0	NA after FY1	19
was told that my product did not meet appropriate tradecraft standards										0		
vas told that my analysis was changed or deleted in response to policymak										0		
was told that my analysis was changed in response to differing views from										0		
was told that my analysis was too complicated or technical and has to be si										2		
did not receive any feedback or explanation as to why my product was dist ther										1		
otal OBJ										4		
uestion responses changed in 2022  ho was the primary source of your most significant experience with distori	tion and/or	r suppressi	ion of analy	/sis?								
no was the primary source of your most significant experience with absorb	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD												0
lanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD												1
eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive/military officer within my IC element/CCMD												1 0
itelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD												0
ntelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.)												0
ther												0
otal OBJ												2
uestion wording changed in 2021												
uestion responses changed in 2021  he was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion	n and/or	unnressie	of analysi	. 2								
ho was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortio	2010	uppression 2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD											1	
lanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD											3	
teviewer within my IC element/CCMD											0	
enior executive within my IC elmement/CCMD											1 N	IA after F
ntelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD											0	
ntelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) IS Government official outisde of the IC											0	
ther											0	
otal OBJ											5	
less and the state of the state												
lew response categories added to the question in 2019 ource												
buice	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
nternal colleague										0		
irst-level manager										0		
Aid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element										2		
Ion-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) Ion-analyst senior within your IC element										1		
C senior leadership										0	NA after	FY19
e service reductions										0		
DNI element (NIC, NIM, etc.)										0		
JS government official outside the IC										0		
ditor or senior reviewer of an IC publication										0		
										0		
otal OBJ										4		
otal OBJ lew response categories in 2018												
otal OBJ Jew response categories in 2018	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	4	2021	2022
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	<b>2018</b>		2021	2022
otal OBJ  lew response categories in 2018  ource	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017		4	2021	2022
otal OBJ  lew response categories in 2018  ource  nternal colleague  irst-level manager  fid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 2 1	4	2021	2022
otal OBJ  lew response categories in 2018  ource  nternal colleague  irst-level manager  idic-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element  xternal colleague	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 2 1 0	4	2021	2022
otal OBJ  lew response categories in 2018  ource  Internal colleague  irst-level manager  irid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element  xternal colleague  lon-intelligence senior	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 2 1 0	2019	2021	
iew response categories in 2018  ource  Internal colleague irst-level manager //id-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element xternal colleague ton-intelligence senior xternal IC supervisor	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 2 1 0 0	2019		
otal OBJ  lew response categories in 2018  ource  internal colleague irst-level manager idid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element xternal colleague ion-intelligence senior xternal IC supervisor ion-supervisory reviewer	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 2 1 0	2019		
otal OBJ  lew response categories in 2018  ource  nternal colleague inst-level manager itid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element xternal colleague ion-intelligence senior xternal IC supervisor ion-supervisory reviewer ion-supervisory reviewer iNI element (NIC, NIM, etc.)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 2 1 0 0 0	2019		
ew response categories in 2018  ource  iternal colleague  irst-level manager fiid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element  kternal colleague  on-intelligence senior  kternal IC supervisor  on-supervisory reviewer  NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) S government official outside the IC  ther	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0	2019		
lew response categories in 2018 ource  internal colleague irst-level manager flid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element xternal colleague ton-intelligence senior xternal IC supervisor ton-supervisory reviewer NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) S government official outside the IC	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0	2019		
otal OBJ  lew response categories in 2018  ource  internal colleague  intst-level manager  itid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element  kternal colleague  on-intelligence senior  kternal IC supervisor  on-supervisory reviewer  NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.)  S government official outside the IC  ther  otal OBJ	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0	2019		
lew response categories in 2018  ource  internal colleague irst-level manager flid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element xternal colleague ton-intelligence senior xternal IC supervisor ton-supervisory reviewer NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) IS government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ									0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2019 NA	after FY18	
lew response categories in 2018 ource  iternal colleague iterst-level manager itid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element xternal colleague ion-intelligence senior xternal IC supervisor ion-supervisor reviewer INI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) S government official outside the IC tither otal OBJ	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0	2019		
lew response categories in 2018  ource  thernal colleague inst-level manager idid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element xternal colleague ion-intelligence senior xternal IC supervisor ion-supervisory reviewer iNI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) S government official outside the IC ther ther total OBJ  ource  on-supervisory professional within your IC element									0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2019 NA	after FY18	
ew response categories in 2018  ource  internal colleague irst-level manager fiid-levelc/Senior supervisor within your IC element iternal colleague on-intelligence senior iternal IC supervisor on-supervisory reviewer NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) S government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ  rriginal response categories ource  on-supervisory professional within your IC element upervisor within your IC element							<b>2016</b> 3	<b>2017</b> 0	0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2019 NA	2021	
ew response categories in 2018  ource  internal colleague irst-level manager lid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element xternal colleague on-intelligence senior xternal IC supervisor on-supervisory reviewer NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) S government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ  riginal response categories ource on-supervisory professional within your IC element upervisor within your IC element ttelligence professional outside your IC element							<b>2016</b> 3 9	<b>2017</b> 0 0	0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2019 NA	2021	
ew response categories in 2018  burce  ternal colleague  rst-level manager  id-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element  ternal colleague  on-intelligence senior  ternal IC supervisor  on-supervisory reviewer  Ni element (NIC, NIM, etc.)  S government official outside the IC  ther  tatal OBJ  riginal response categories  burce  on-supervisory professional within your IC element  upervisor within your IC element  telligence professional outside your IC element  S government official outside the IC						2015	2016 3 9 1 0 0	2017 0 0 0	0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2019 NA	2021	
ew response categories in 2018  burce  ternal colleague  rst-level manager  lid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element  ternal colleague  on-intelligence senior  ternal IC supervisor  on-supervisory reviewer  Ni element (NIC, NIM, etc.)  S government official outside the IC  ther  tatal OBJ  riginal response categories  burce  on-supervisory professional within your IC element  upervisor within your IC element  s government official outside the IC  ther  source  on-supervisory professional outside your IC element  upervisor within your IC element  S government official outside the IC  ther							2016 3 9 1	2017 0 0	0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2019 NA	2021	
lew response categories in 2018 ource  internal colleague irist-level manager idid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element xternal colleague on-intelligence senior xternal IC supervisor on-supervisory reviewer NII element (NIC, NIM, etc.) IS government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ  viriginal response categories ource  lon-supervisory professional within your IC element upervisor within your IC element ttelligence professional outside your IC element IS government official outside the IC						2015	2016 3 9 1 0 0	2017 0 0 0	0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2019 NA	2021	
lew response categories in 2018 ource  Internal colleague inst-level manager //iid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element xternal colleague Ion-intelligence senior xternal IC supervisor Ion-supervisory reviewer INI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) IS government official outside the IC Other otal OBJ  Diriginal response categories ource  Ion-supervisory professional within your IC element upervisor within your IC element IS government official outside the IC  Strip outside the IC Ion-supervisory professional within your IC element upervisor within your IC element Is government official outside the IC Ither otal OBJ  Question wording changed in 2022 Question wording changed in 2022 Question wording changed in 2021						2015	2016 3 9 1 0 0	2017 0 0 0	0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2019 NA	2021	
ew response categories in 2018  Durce  Iternal colleague rst-level manager lid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element ternal colleague on-intelligence senior ternal IC supervisor on-supervisory reviewer NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) S government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ riginal response categories  Durce  on-supervisory professional within your IC element upervisor within your IC element stelligence professional outside your IC element stelligence professional outside the IC ther  tatelligence professional outside the IC ther  tetal obstacle some supervisory professional within your IC element upervisor within your IC element stelligence professional outside your IC element stelligence professional outside the IC ther  tetal obstacle some supervisory professional within your IC element stelligence professional outside your IC element stelligence professional outside the IC ther  tetal obstacle some supervisory professional within your IC element stelligence professional outside your IC element						2015	2016 3 9 1 0 0	2017 0 0 0	0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2019 NA	2021	
ew response categories in 2018  Durce  Internal colleague  rst-level manager  Iid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element  Atternal Colleague  on-intelligence senior  Atternal IC supervisor  on-supervisory reviewer  NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.)  S government official outside the IC  ther  otal OBJ  riginal response categories  Durce  on-supervisory professional within your IC element  utelligence professional outside your IC element  s government official outside the IC  ther  otal OBJ  usestion wording changed in 2022  usestion wording changed in 2021  usestion design changed in 2021  usestion responses changed in 2021  usestion design changed in 2021	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016 3 9 1 0 0	2017 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2019 NA	2021	
lew response categories in 2018  ource  internal colleague inst-level manager idid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element xternal colleague ion-intelligence senior xternal IC supervisor ion-supervisory reviewer INI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) S government official outside the IC tither otal OBJ  irriginal response categories ource  ion-supervisory professional within your IC element upervisory our IC element s government official outside your IC element s government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ system of the IC ther otal OBJ upervisory professional outside your IC element s government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ	2010 Dur most si	2011	2012 xperience v	2013	2014	2015 0 suppressio	2016 3 9 1 0 0 13	2017 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 4	2019  NA 2019	2021	2022
ew response categories in 2018  ource  internal colleague irst-level manager lid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element kternal colleague on-intelligence senior kternal IC supervisor on-supervisory reviewer NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) S government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ  riginal response categories  ource  on-supervisory professional within your IC element upervisor within your IC element stelligence professional outside your IC element S government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ  upervisor within your IC element stelligence professional outside your IC element upervisor within your IC element stelligence professional outside the IC ther otal OBJ  upervisor within your IC element s government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ  upervisor within your IC element s government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ  upervisor within your IC element s government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ  upervisor within your IC element s government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ  upervisor within your IC element s government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ  upervisor within your IC element s government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ  upervisor within your IC element s government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ  upervisor within your IC element s government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ  upervisor within your IC element s government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016 3 9 1 0 0	2017 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2019 NA	2021 2021	2022
ew response categories in 2018  Durce  Internal colleague  rst-level manager  Id-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element  kternal colleague  on-intelligence senior  kternal IC supervisor  on-supervisory reviewer  NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.)  S government official outside the IC  ther  otal OBJ  riginal response categories  Durce  on-supervisory professional within your IC element  upervisor within your IC element  telligence professional outside your IC element  s government official outside the IC  ther  otal OBJ  uestion wording changed in 2022  uestion wording changed in 2021  uestion responses changed in 2021  uestion design changed in 2021  uestion response changed in 2021  uestion design changed in 2021	2010 Dur most si	2011	2012 xperience v	2013	2014	2015 0 suppressio	2016 3 9 1 0 0 13	2017 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 4	2019  NA 2019	2021 2021 0	2022 2022 0
ew response categories in 2018  ource  internal colleague irst-level manager flid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element kternal colleague on-intelligence senior kternal IC supervisor on-supervisory reviewer NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) S government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ  rriginal response categories  ource  on-supervisory professional within your IC element upervisor within your IC element telligence professional outside your IC element S government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ  upervisor within your IC element selligence professional outside your IC element yupervisor within your IC element selligence professional outside the IC ther otal OBJ  upestion wording changed in 2022 upestion responses changed in 2021 upestion responses changed in 2021 upestion responses changed in 2021 upour perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your perception.	2010 Dur most si	2011	2012 xperience v	2013	2014	2015 0 suppressio	2016 3 9 1 0 0 13	2017 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 4	2019  NA 2019	2021 - FY17 - 0 1	2022 2022 0 0
lew response categories in 2018 ource  Internal colleague irst-level manager did-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element xternal colleague too-intelligence senior xternal IC supervisor ton-supervisory reviewer Nit element (NIC, NIM, etc.) S government official outside the IC tither otal OBJ  Irriginal response categories ource  Ion-supervisory professional within your IC element upervisor within your IC element ttelligence professional outside your IC element S government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ  Itriginal response categories ource  Ion-supervisory professional within your IC element upervisor within your IC element ttelligence professional outside your IC element S government official outside the IC ther otal OBJ  Iquestion wording changed in 2021 Iquestion responses changed in 2021 Iquestion responses changed in 2021 Iquestion design changed in 2021 Iquest	2010 Dur most si	2011	2012 xperience v	2013	2014	2015 0 suppressio	2016 3 9 1 0 0 13	2017 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 4	2019  NA 2019	2021 2021 0	2022 2022 0

Total OBJ 5 2 In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your most signficant experience with distortion and/or suppression of analysis? Align to an individual's perspective 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 Not a factor Somewhat a factor 0 0 A moderate factor 4 n An important factor 1 2 Unsure Total OBJ In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your most signficant experience with distortion and/or suppression of analysis? Support/protect operational equities 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 Not a factor 0 Somewhat a factor 0 A moderate factor 0 0 An important factor 1 n Unsure Total OBJ In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your most signficant experience with distortion and/or suppression of analysis? Support/protect bureaucratic interests 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 Not a factor 0 Somewhat a factor 0 0 A moderate factor 0 0 An important factor Unsure n Total OBJ In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your most signficant experience with distortion and/or suppression of analysis? Provoke or prevent policymaker action or response 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 Not a factor Somewhat a factor 0 0 A moderate factor 0 0 An important factor Unsure In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your most signficant experience with distortion and/or suppression of analysis? Support or oppose a policy agenda or narrative 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 Not a factor Somewhat a factor Ω n A moderate factor 0 0 An important factor 0 0 Unsure Total OBJ Motive 2011 2013 2014 2015 2017 Maintain an existing analytic line Support views of an internal supervisor/management Support views of internal colleague(s) Support views of external colleague(s) Support views of external management Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) NA after FY19 To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency o To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic 0 Not sure what the motive was Other Total OBJ Motive 2011 2014 2018 2022 2010 2012 2013 2015 2016 2017 2019 2021 Maintain existing analytic line Support views of internal supervisor/management Support views of internal colleague 0 Support views of external colleague 0 Support views of external management Support views of government/policy official NA after FY18 To support increase/decrease of resources for operational, military or USG p Unintentional Unsure what the motive was Other To suppress information deemed embarrassing to the Department, Agency ( 0 To prevent policymaker action\response Original response categories Motive 2013 2014 2015 2016 2022 2010 2011 2012 2017 2018 2019 2021 To support supervisor within your IC element To support IC personnel or management outside your IC element 0 To support government official outside the IC 0

							7	0		NA after	EV17	
am unsure what the motive was nadvertent/unintended							2	0		NA ditei	F117	
avoid conflicting with an existing analytic line							3	0				
her tal OBJ							13	0				
estion wording changed in 2022 I you seek assistance to address this matter?												
a you seek assistance to address this matter:	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
												1
s tal												2
DE												68.98%
· 												
uestion wording changed in 2021												
restion responses changed in 2021 d you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of disc	ortion and	or suppre	ssion of an	alysis?								
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
											4	
es otal											5 5	IA after F
OE .											43.33%	
Control of the second of the s												
uring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sup	pression? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
0	2010	2011	2012	2010	2027	2015	2	0	2	2		
es							8	0	2	2	NA after	FY19
artially otal							10	0	4	4		
OE .							30.28%	0.00%	48.55%	48.55%		
uestion wording changed in 2022 id you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds?												
na you seek assistance from the analytic unibaus?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
25												1
o otal OBJ												0
5ta 5tb												1
uestion wording changed in 2021												
id you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
25	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	0	2022
0											1 N	IA after F
otal OBJ											1	
lew question added in 2019												
id you go to your IC element's ombudsman for help?												
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
es lo										0 2	NA after	FY19
otal OBJ										2		
and the state of the state of the same												
uestion wording changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022												
/hat is the primary reason you did not seek assistance from the analytic omb	buds?											
Stantis Program (Record Makes)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	<b>2022</b>
id not believe anything would change Did not know who to go to for help											-	
oncerns regarding retribution											-	
												0
oncerns regarding confidentiality											-	0 0 0
oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individu											-	0 0 0
oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individume constraints/press of business											-	0 0 0
oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individume constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance id not know a process exists for addressing the matter											-	0 0 0 0 0
oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individume constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance d not know a process exists for addressing the matter resumed others involved would seek assistance											-	0 0 0 0 0 0
oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual me constraints/press of business assistance d not know a process exists for addressing the matter esumed others involved would seek assistance ther												0 0 0 0 0
oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individuate me constraints/press of business vas dissuaded from seeking assistance d not know a process exists for addressing the matter esumed others involved would seek assistance ther												0 0 0 0 0 0 0
oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individume constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance id not know a process exists for addressing the matter resumed others involved would seek assistance ther otal OBJ												0 0 0 0 0 0 0
oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individume constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance d not know a process exists for addressing the matter resumed others involved would seek assistance ther otal OBJ	2010	2011	2012	2012	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2010		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individu me constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance d not know a process exists for addressing the matter esumed others involved would seek assistance ther tal OBJ  ew question added in 2021 thy did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	<b>2021</b>	0 0 0 0 0 0 0
oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individume constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance id not know a process exists for addressing the matter resumed others involved would seek assistance ther otal OBJ  ew question added in 2021  fly did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman?  oncerns regarding retribution oncerns regarding confidentiality	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	<b>2021</b> 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding cank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individume constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance id not know a process exists for addressing the matter resumed others involved would seek assistance ther otal OBJ  ew question added in 2021  Thy did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman? oncerns regarding retribution oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances of individual(s)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding cank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual me constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance id not know a process exists for addressing the matter resumed others involved would seek assistance ther tal OBJ  www.question.added in 2021 Thy did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman?  oncerns regarding retribution oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances of individual(s) me constraints/press of businsess	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
concerns regarding confidentiality concerns regarding cank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual me constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance id not know a process exists for addressing the matter resumed others involved would seek assistance ther otal OBJ  ew question added in 2021 thy did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman?  concerns regarding retribution concerns regarding ron, tenure, or other power imbalances of individual(s) me constraints/press of businsess ept the issue at the management level id not believe the analytic ombudsman would help	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 0 0 0 0 N	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual me constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance d not know a process exists for addressing the matter esumed others involved would seek assistance ther tal OBJ  www.question.added in 2021 hy did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman?  oncerns regarding retribution oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances of individual(s) me constraints/press of businsess pt the issue at the management level d not believe the analytic ombudsman would help ther	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 0 0 0 0 N 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual me constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance d not know a process exists for addressing the matter esumed others involved would seek assistance ther tal OBJ  www.question.added in 2021 hy did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman?  oncerns regarding retribution oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances of individual(s) me constraints/press of businsess pt the issue at the management level d not believe the analytic ombudsman would help ther	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding cank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individuance constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance id not know a process exists for addressing the matter resumed others involved would seek assistance ther otal OBJ  development of the control	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 0 0 0 0 N 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
concerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individume constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance id not know a process exists for addressing the matter esumed others involved would seek assistance ther otal OBJ  wew question added in 2021  Thy did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman?  concerns regarding retribution oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances of individual(s) me constraints/press of businsess pet the issue at the management level id not believe the analytic ombudsman would help ther otal OBJ  westion responses changed in 2022  That is the primary reason you did not seek assistance?											2021 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
concerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual me constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance id not know a process exists for addressing the matter resumed others involved would seek assistance ther otal OBJ  wew question added in 2021 //hy did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman?  poncerns regarding retribution oncerns regarding retribution oncerns regarding retribution oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances of individual(s) me constraints/press of businsess ept the issue at the management level id not believe the analytic ombudsman would help ther otal OBJ  uestion responses changed in 2022 //hat is the primary reason you did not seek assistance?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 0 0 0 0 N 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individuality ime constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance id not know a process exists for addressing the matter resumed others involved would seek assistance ther otal OBJ  lew question added in 2021  Why did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman?  oncerns regarding retribution oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances of individual(s) ime constraints/press of businsess ept the issue at the management level id not believe the analytic ombudsman would help ther otal OBJ  luestion responses changed in 2022 What is the primary reason you did not seek assistance? id not believe anything would change											2021 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
concerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual me constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance id not know a process exists for addressing the matter resumed others involved would seek assistance ther otal OBJ  ew question added in 2021 (Thy did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman?  Dencerns regarding retribution oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances of individual(s) me constraints/press of businsess ept the issue at the management level id not believe the analytic ombudsman would help ther otal OBJ  uestion responses changed in 2022 (That is the primary reason you did not seek assistance?											2021 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
concerns regarding confidentiality concerns regarding cank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual me constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance id not know a process exists for addressing the matter resumed others involved would seek assistance ther otal OBJ  ew question added in 2021 thy did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman?  concerns regarding retribution concerns regarding retribution concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances of individual(s) me constraints/press of businsess ept the issue at the management level id not believe the analytic ombudsman would help ther otal OBJ  westion responses changed in 2022 that is the primary reason you did not seek assistance?  Id not believe anything would change id not know who to go to for help concerns regarding retribution											2021 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
concerns regarding confidentiality concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual me constraints/press of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance id not know a process exists for addressing the matter resumed others involved would seek assistance ther otal OBJ  ew question added in 2021 (hy did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman?  concerns regarding retribution concerns regarding confidentiality concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances of individual(s) me constraints/press of businsess ept the issue at the management level id not believe the analytic ombudsman would help ther otal OBJ  uestion responses changed in 2022 (hat is the primary reason you did not seek assistance?  Id not believe anything would change id not know who to go to for help concerns regarding confidentiality concerns regarding tentrubtion concerns regarding confidentiality concerns regarding ton the power imbalances among individual concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual concerns regarding confidentiality											2021 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual contents regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual contents of business was dissuaded from seeking assistance d not know a process exists for addressing the matter essumed others involved would seek assistance ther stal OBJ  saw question added in 2021 hy did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman?  sourcerns regarding retribution oncerns regarding confidentiality oncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances of individual(s) me constraints/press of businsess tent the issue at the management level d not believe the analytic ombudsman would help ther tal OBJ  sestion responses changed in 2022 hat is the primary reason you did not seek assistance?  d not believe anything would change d not know who to go to for help oncerns regarding retribution											2021 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

resumed others involved would seek assistance ther												0
tal OBJ												1
w response categories added to the question in 2021												
estion wording changed in 2021 nat is the primary reason you did not seek assistance?												
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
d not believe anything would change											3 0	
d not know who to go to for help ncerns regading retirbution											0	
ncerns regarding confidentiality												A after F
ncerns regading rank, tenure, or other power imbalances of individual(s) i											1	
ne constraints/press of business her											0 0	
ral OBJ											4	
w response categories added to the question in 2019												
estion wording changed in 2019 at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the att	tempted di	stortion or	suppressio	n?								
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
or of retribution										0		
ncerns about the lack of confidentiality										0		
not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me										1 0 N	A after FY19	9
n't know who to go to for help										0		
er										1		
al OBJ										2		
v response categories in 2018												
y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra	ank 1)											
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
r of retribution									1			
not believe anyone would listen not believe anything could change									1 0			
rson in question considered "senior" to me									0	NA	after FY18	
dn't know who to go to for help									0			
in't know about Ombudsman process									0			
ner									0			
tal OBJ									2			
ny did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra	ank 2)											
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
ar of retribution									0			
d not believe anyone would listen									0 2			
d not believe anything could change erson in question considered "senior" to me									0	NA	after FY18	
dn't know who to go to for help									0			
dn't know about Ombudsman process									0			
her									0			
tal OBJ									2			
hy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (R	ank 3)											
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
ar of retribution									0			
d not believe anyone would listen									0			
d not believe anyone would listen d not believe anything could change									0	NA	after FY18	
d not believe anyone would listen d not believe anything could change rson in question considered "senior" to me										NA	after FY18	
d not believe anyone would listen d not believe anything could change rson in question considered "senior" to me dn't know who to go to for help									0	NA	after FY18	
d not believe anyone would listen I not believe anything could change rson in question considered "senior" to me dn't know who to go to for help In't know about Ombudsman process her									0 1 0 1	NA	after FY18	
I not believe anyone would listen I not believe anything could change rson in question considered "senior" to me dn't know who to go to for help In't know about Ombudsman process her									0 1 0 1	NA	after FY18	
d not believe anyone would listen I not believe anything could change rson in question considered "senior" to me In't know who to go to for help In't know about Ombudsman process her tal OBJ	ank 4)								0 1 0 1	NA	after FY18	
d not believe anyone would listen I not believe anything could change rson in question considered "senior" to me In't know who to go to for help In't know about Ombudsman process her tal OBJ	ank 4) 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 1 0 1	NA 2019	after FY18	2022
I not believe anyone would listen I not believe anything could change sson in question considered "senior" to me In't know who to go to for help In't know about Ombudsman process ner I all OBJ I and		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 1 0 1 0 2			2022
I not believe anyone would listen I not believe anything could change soon in question considered "senior" to me In't know who to go to for help In't know about Ombudsman process ner I all OBJ In't did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rear of retribution I not believe anyone would listen		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 1 0 1 0 2 2 2018			2022
If not believe anything could change rison in question considered "senior" to me fair know who to go to for help fair't know who to go to for help fair't know about Ombudsman process her tal OBJ  In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rear of retribution of not believe anyone would listen in the fair of		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 1 0 1 0 2 2 2018 1 0 0	2019	2021	2022
d not believe anything could change rson in question considered "senior" to me dn't know who to go to for help dn't know about Ombudsman process her tal OBJ  hy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re ar of retribution d not believe anything could change rson in question considered "senior" to me		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 1 0 1 0 2 2 2018 1 0 0	2019		2022
If not believe anyone would listen If not believe anything could change If not believe anything could change If the work of th		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 1 0 1 0 2 2 2018 1 0 0	2019	2021	2022
In not believe anyone would listen In not believe anything could change rson in question considered "senior" to me In't know who to go to for help In't know about Ombudsman process her Ital OBJ Iny did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Recompany) In to believe anyone would listen In not believe anything could change Is not believe anything could change Is not in question considered "senior" to me In't know who to go to for help In't know about Ombudsman process her		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 1 0 1 0 2 2 2018 1 0 0 0 0 0	2019	2021	2022
not believe anyone would listen not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process ser al OBJ  sy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re or of retribution not believe anyone would listen not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process ser		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 1 0 1 0 2 2 2018 1 0 0 0	2019	2021	2022
not believe anyone would listen not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process er al OBJ  y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re) or of retribution not believe anyone would listen not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process er al OBJ	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 1 0 1 0 2 2 2018 1 0 0 0 0 0	2019	2021	2022
not believe anyone would listen not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process eer al OBJ  y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re)  r of retribution not believe anyone would listen not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process eer al OBJ	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 1 0 1 0 2 2 2018 1 0 0 0 0 0	2019	2021	2022
not believe anyone would listen not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process her al OBJ  ay did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re or of retribution not believe anyone would listen not believe anyone would change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process her al OBJ  ay did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re or of retribution	2010 ank 5)								2018 1 0 1 0 2 2018 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 2 3 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2019 NA	2021 after FY18	
I not believe anyone would listen I not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me In't know who to go to for help In't know about Ombudsman process ner I all OBJ  Ny did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Recompany) I not believe anyone would listen I not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me In't know who to go to for help In't know about Ombudsman process ner I all OBJ  Ny did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Recompany) In't know about Ombudsman process ner I all OBJ  Ny did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Recompany) I not believe anyone would listen I not believe anyone would listen	2010 ank 5)								0 1 0 1 0 2 2 2018 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2	2019 NA	2021 after FY18	
In not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me firth know who to go to for help fin't know who to go to for help fin't know about Ombudsman process her tall OBJ  Iny did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rear of retribution of the fine of the first house anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me first know who to go to for help first know about Ombudsman process her tall OBJ  Iny did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rear of retribution of not believe anything could listen of not believe anything could listen of not believe anything could change	2010 ank 5)								0 1 0 1 0 2 2018 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 2	2019 NA	2021 after FY18	
d not believe anyone would listen d not believe anything could change rson in question considered "senior" to me dn't know who to go to for help dn't know about Ombudsman process her tal OBJ hy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re ar of retribution d not believe anyone would listen d not believe anyone would losten dn't know about Ombudsman process her tal OBJ hy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re ar of retribution d not believe anyone would listen dn't know about Ombudsman process her tal OBJ hy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re ar of retribution d not believe anyone would listen d not believe anything could change rson in question considered "senior" to me	2010 ank 5)								2018 1 0 2 2018 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 2 2018	2019 NA	2021 after FY18	
In not believe anyone would listen In not believe anything could change Is not believe anything could change Is not in question considered "senior" to me In't know who to go to for help In't know about Ombudsman process her Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re Ital OBJ In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with dist	2010 ank 5)								0 1 0 1 0 2 2018 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 2	2019 NA	2021 after FY18	
In not believe anything could change rson in question considered "senior" to me dn't know who to go to for help dn't know who to go to for help dn't know about Ombudsman process her tal OBJ  Hy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rear of retribution of the total distence o	2010 ank 5)								2018 1 0 1 0 2 2018 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 2018 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2019 NA	2021 after FY18	
If not believe anything could change rson in question considered "senior" to me dn't know who to go to for help dn't know about Ombudsman process her tal OBJ  If you have anything could listen dn to believe anything could change rson in question considered "senior" to me dn't know about Ombudsman process her tal OBJ  If you have anything could listen dn to believe anything could change rson in question considered "senior" to me dn't know who to go to for help dn't know about Ombudsman process her tal OBJ  If you have anything could change rson in question considered "senior" to me dn't know about Ombudsman process her tal OBJ  If you have anything could listen dn to believe anything could listen dn to believe anything could listen dn to believe anything could change	2010 ank 5)								0 1 0 1 0 2 2018 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	2019 NA	2021 after FY18	
I not believe anyone would listen I not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me In't know who to go to for help In't know who to go to for help In't know about Ombudsman process ner I all OBJ  In't did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Record of the control of the contro	2010 ank 5) 2010								0 1 0 1 0 2 2018 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	2019 NA	2021 after FY18	
In not believe anything could change rison in question considered "senior" to me firth know who to go to for help firth know who to go to for help firth know about Ombudsman process her tail OBJ  In y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rear of retribution of the total process of the serior of the of the se	2010 ank 5) 2010 ank 6)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 1 0 1 0 2 2018 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	2019 NA 2019	2021 after FY18 2021 after FY18	2022
I not believe anyone would listen I not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me In't know who to go to for help In't know who to go to for help In't know about Ombudsman process ner I all OBJ  In't did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Record of the control of the contro	2010 ank 5) 2010								0 1 0 1 0 2 2018 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	2019 NA	2021 after FY18	
not believe anyone would listen not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process ner al OBJ  ny did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re or of retribution not believe anyone would listen not believe anyone would change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process ser al OBJ  ny did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re or of retribution not believe anyone would listen not believe anyoning could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process ser al OBJ  ny did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re or of the possible of the pos	2010 ank 5) 2010 ank 6)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 1 0 1 0 2 2018 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 2018 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2019 NA 2019	2021 after FY18 2021 after FY18	2022
not believe anyone would listen not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process er al OBJ  y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re r of retribution not believe anyone would listen not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process ter al OBJ  y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re r of retribution not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process ter al OBJ y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process ter al OBJ y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re r of retribution	2010 ank 5) 2010 ank 6)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 1 0 1 0 2 2018 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2019 NA 2019	2021 after FY18 2021 after FY18	2022

al OBJ									0			
									1			
y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (	Rank 7) 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
r of retribution									0			
I not believe anyone would listen I not believe anything could change									0			
rson in question considered "senior" to me									0	NA	after FY18	
dn't know who to go to for help									0			
dn't know about Ombudsman process :her									0			
tal OBJ									1			
ew question added in 2021												
e you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles?												
25	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	<b>2021</b> 30	<b>2022</b> 27
0											3	2
otal											33	29
OE											15.73%	16.96%
ew question added in 2021												
pes your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing concurred	erns to ensu 2010	re analysis 2011	adheres to	2013 p	rinciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
S	-010			2020	7			/			28	28
0											5	2
otal OE											33 15.73%	30 16.63%
												/ 0
ew question added in 2022  that is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better pror	mote analys	is that adh	eres to ICD	203 princi	oles?							
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
raining on the Analytic Standards												8
omote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address concert onsistent and transparent product review and feedback practices												4
onsistent and transparent product review and feedback practices onsistent and transparent product coordination practices												8
ommunication, guidance, and strategic messaging about the Analytic Stand												1
adership commitment to preserve objective analysis independent from po												3
ddress resource-to-task imbalances ther												3 2
otal												29
OE												16.96%
ou question added in 2022												
ew question added in 2022 re you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons	sibilities?											
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
es o												23
												7
												7 30
otal												7 30 16.63%
o botal IOE  ew question added in 2018												30
otal IOE ew question added in 2018 uestion wording changed in 2021												30
otal IOE  ew question added in 2018  uestion wording changed in 2021  o you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?	2010	2011	2012	2012	2014	2015	2016	2017	2010	2010	2021	30 16.63%
otal IOE  ew question added in 2018  uestion wording changed in 2021  o you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	<b>2018</b>	2019	<b>2021</b>	30 16.63%
otal IOE  ew question added in 2018  uestion wording changed in 2021  o you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	<b>2018</b> 5 0	2019 11 12	<b>2021</b> 15 18	30 16.63%
otal OE  ew question added in 2018 uestion wording changed in 2021 to you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	5 0 5	11 12 23	15 18 33	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21
otal OE  ew question added in 2018 uestion wording changed in 2021 o you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  es objects	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	5 0	11 12	15 18	30 16.63% 2022 21 0
otal OE  ew question added in 2018 uestion wording changed in 2021 to you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  ss o otal OE  ew question added in 2022	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	5 0 5	11 12 23	15 18 33	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21
otal OE  aw question added in 2018 uestion wording changed in 2021 by you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  otal OE  aw question added in 2022									5 0 5 43.33%	11 12 23 19.34%	15 18 33 15.73%	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21 20.34%
otal OE  ew question added in 2018 uestion wording changed in 2021 to you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	5 0 5	11 12 23	15 18 33	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21
otal OE  ew question added in 2018 uestion wording changed in 2021 to you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  es to									5 0 5 43.33%	11 12 23 19.34%	15 18 33 15.73%	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21 20.34% 2022 21 8
tal OE  ew question added in 2018  uestion wording changed in 2021 by you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  s b tal OE  ew question added in 2022 e you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  s b tal OE  ew question added in 2022 e you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?									5 0 5 43.33%	11 12 23 19.34%	15 18 33 15.73%	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21 20.34% 2022 21 8 29
tal OE  ew question added in 2018  uestion wording changed in 2021 by you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  s b tal OE  ew question added in 2022 e you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  s b tal OE  ew question added in 2022 e you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?									5 0 5 43.33%	11 12 23 19.34%	15 18 33 15.73%	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21 20.34% 2022 21 8
otal OE  ew question added in 2018 uestion wording changed in 2021 to you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  es to									5 0 5 43.33%	11 12 23 19.34%	15 18 33 15.73%	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21 20.34% 2022 21 8 29
over question added in 2018  usestion wording changed in 2021  up you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  usestion wording changed in 2021  usestion wording changed in 2021  usestion added in 2022  use you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  usestion added in 2022  usestion added in 2022  usestion added in 2022	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	5 0 5 43.33%	11 12 23 19.34%	15 18 33 15.73%	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21 20.34% 2022 21 8 8 29 16.96%
or population added in 2018  we question wording changed in 2021  by our know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  or population added in 2022  or you ware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  or population added in 2022  or you ware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  or population added in 2022  or you would not									5 0 5 43.33%	11 12 23 19.34%	15 18 33 15.73%	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21 20.34% 2022 21 8 29
tal DE  w question added in 2018 testion wording changed in 2021 you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  s tal DE  w question added in 2022 e you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  s tal DE  w question added in 2022 e you do word of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  s tal DE  w question added in 2022 e you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	5 0 5 43.33%	11 12 23 19.34%	15 18 33 15.73%	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21 20.34% 2022 21 8 29 16.96%
tal OE  ew question added in 2018  uestion wording changed in 2021  by ou know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  s o tal OE  ew question added in 2022  e you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  s o tal OE  ew question added in 2022  you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  you have question added in 2022  you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	5 0 5 43.33%	11 12 23 19.34%	15 18 33 15.73%	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21 20.34% 2022 21 8 8 29 16.96% 2022 15 6 6
oce we question added in 2018 uestion wording changed in 2021 by ou know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  oce total oce we question added in 2022 ve you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  oct total oce we question added in 2022 ve you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  oct total oce we question added in 2022 by our question added in 2022 by our know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	5 0 5 43.33%	11 12 23 19.34%	15 18 33 15.73%	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21 20.34% 2022 21 8 29 16.96%
otal OE  ew question added in 2018 uestion wording changed in 2021 by you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  obtal OE  ew question added in 2022 re you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  obtal OE  ew question added in 2022 re you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  obtal OE  ew question added in 2022 by you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman?  obtal OE  ew question added in 2022 by you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	5 0 5 43.33%	11 12 23 19.34%	15 18 33 15.73%	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21 20.34% 2022 21 8 8 29 16.96% 2022 15 6 6
or and the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  or you wave of the IC Analytic Ombudsman?  or you wave of the IC Analytic Ombudsman?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	5 0 5 43.33%	11 12 23 19.34%	15 18 33 15.73%	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21 20.34% 2022 21 8 8 29 16.96% 2022 15 6 6
otal OE  ew question added in 2018 uestion wording changed in 2021 by you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  obtal OE  ew question added in 2022 re you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  obtal OE  ew question added in 2022 re you whow how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman?  obtal OE  ew question added in 2022 by you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman?  obtal OE  ew question added in 2022 by you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman?  es  obtal OE  ew question added in 2022 ew question added in 2022 ew question wording changed in 2022 ew question added in 2021 terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	5 0 5 43.33%	11 12 23 19.34%	15 18 33 15.73% 2021	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21 20.34% 2022 21 6 29 16.96% 2022 15 6 6 21 20.34%
or a control of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  or you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  or you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  or you are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  or you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  or you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman?  or you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman?  or you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman?  or you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman?  or you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman?  terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you trisfactory	2010 2010 pour experien	2011 2011	2012 2012	2013 2013	2014 2014	2015 2015	2016	2017	5 0 5 43.33%	11 12 23 19.34% 2019	15 18 33 15.73% 2021 2021	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21 20.34% 2022 21 16.96% 2022 15 6 6 21 20.34%
or position added in 2018  uestion wording changed in 2021  by ou know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  or pour know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?  or pour land to the IC element of th	2010 2010 pour experien	2011 2011	2012 2012	2013 2013	2014 2014	2015 2015	2016	2017	5 0 5 43.33%	11 12 23 19.34% 2019	15 18 33 15.73% 2021 2021 2021	2022 21 0 21,20,34% 2022 21 8 8 29 16.96% 2022 2023 2024 2024 2034%
or and the last of	2010 2010 pour experien	2011 2011	2012 2012	2013 2013	2014 2014	2015 2015	2016	2017	5 0 5 43.33%	11 12 23 19.34% 2019	15 18 33 15.73% 2021 2021	30 16.63% 2022 21 0 21 20.34% 2022 21 16.96% 2022 15 6 6 21 20.34%
or and the second secon	2010 2010 pour experien	2011 2011	2012 2012	2013 2013	2014 2014	2015 2015	2016	2017	5 0 5 43.33%	11 12 23 19.34% 2019	15 188 33 15.73% 2021 2021 1 1 3 1	2022 21 0 21 20.34% 2022 21 8 29 16.96% 2022 21 20.34%

me constraints evels of review					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
vels of review										-		1
												0
												0
eshold for publication												
ssure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of a												0
essure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic												1
forts to distort or suppress analysis due to considerations regarding the co												0
formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization												0
ther												0
otal												2
OE												68.98%
uestion wording changed in 2022												
ew question added in 2021							_					
terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate yo												
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
atisfactory											5	7
mixed performance											3	4
nsatisfactory											1	0
o opinion											24	19
otal											33	30
OE											15.73%	16.63%
ew question added in 2022												
hat was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles					ence Coun	cil?						
<u> </u>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
ime constraints												0
evels of review												0
												0
hreshold for publication												
ressure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of a												2
ressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic												1
fforts to distort or suppress analysis due to considerations regarding the co												0
formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization												1
ther												0
otal												4
10E												48.55%
uestion wording changed in 2022												
ew question added in 2021												
ow many levels of review are involved in the analytic product type that yo	u typically	orepare/su	pport?									
ow many levels of review are involved in the analytic product type that ye	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2010	201/	2018	2019		
ne											0	1
- 3											18	20
- 5											9	5
fore than 5											4	0
nsure											2	0
otal											33	26
											15.73%	18.05%
												10.05%
NOE											13.73%	
MOE											13.73%	
ew question added in 2021											15.75%	
lew question added in 2021												
l <mark>ew question added in 2021</mark> low frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from produ	uct review?	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
lew question added in 2021  low frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from produ		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019		<b>2022</b> 15
lew question added in 2021 low frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from produ		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	<b>2021</b> 11	15
lew question added in 2021  ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from production  liways  requently		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	<b>2021</b> 11 9	15 5
lew question added in 2021 low frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productively and the second		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 11 9 4	15 5 3
lew question added in 2021 low frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from produ lways requently ometimes arely		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 11 9 4 4	15 5 3 1
lew question added in 2021 low frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productions lways requently ometimes arely lever		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 11 9 4 4 0	15 5 3 1 1
lew question added in 2021 low frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productions liways requently		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 11 9 4 4	15 5 3 1
ew question added in 2021 ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productively lways requently ometimes arely ever ot applicable to my work role		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 11 9 4 4 0 5	15 5 3 1 1 5
ew question added in 2021 ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from produ lways requently ometimes arely ever ot applicable to my work role		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 11 9 4 4 0 5	15 5 3 1 1 5 30
ew question added in 2021 ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from produ ways equently metrimes arely ever ot applicable to my work role tal		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 11 9 4 4 0 5	15 5 3 1 1 5
ew question added in 2021 ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productions lways requently ometimes arely ever ot applicable to my work role otal		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 11 9 4 4 0 5	15 5 3 1 1 5 30
ew question added in 2021  ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productive and the second se		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 11 9 4 4 0 5	15 5 3 1 1 5 30
ew question added in 2021  ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productives  leaves equently  mays equently  metrimes arely ever ot applicable to my work role  otal  IOE  uestion wording changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022	2010					2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 11 9 4 4 0 5	15 5 3 1 1 5 30
ew question added in 2021  ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productives  leaves equently  mays equently  metrimes arely ever ot applicable to my work role  otal  IOE  uestion wording changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63%
ew question added in 2021  ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productions of the control of the	2010					2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 11 9 4 4 0 5	15 5 3 1 1 5 30
ew question added in 2021 ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productions of the control of the	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63%
ew question added in 2021 ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productive own frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productive from from from from from from from from	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63%
w question added in 2021  ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product  ways equently  metimes arely ever ot applicable to my work role  otal  IOE  uestion wording changed in 2022  uestion responses changed in 2022  that is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic pro  ifficulty generating attention to the product  ifficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63%
ew question added in 2021  ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productive for the product for the analytic productive for the product for t	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63%
ew question added in 2021 bow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product ways equently cometimes earely ever of applicable to my work role otal total total total total total fifficulty generating attention to the product ifficulty generating attention to the product ifficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" ifforts to distort or suppress the analysis	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63%
ways equently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from products ways equently metimes orely ever ot applicable to my work role tal OE  uestion wording changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022 that is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic pro fficulty generating attention to the product fficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" forts to distort or suppress the analysis djudicating differering perspectives	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0
wew question added in 2021  bow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product  ways equently  ways equently  metimes  arely  ever  at applicable to my work role  total  OE  uestion wording changed in 2022  uestion responses changed in 2022  that is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic pro  fficulty generating attention to the product  fficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter  verlapping roles or "lanes in the road"  forts to distort or suppress the analysis  judicating differering perspectives  essure to reach consensus	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63%
wew question added in 2021  bow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product  ways equently  ways equently  metimes  arely  ever  at applicable to my work role  total  OE  uestion wording changed in 2022  uestion responses changed in 2022  that is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic pro  fficulty generating attention to the product  fficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter  verlapping roles or "lanes in the road"  forts to distort or suppress the analysis  judicating differering perspectives  essure to reach consensus	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0
ways equently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from production ways equently ways equently ways equently ways ever to applicable to my work role stall OE  westion wording changed in 2022 westion responses changed in 2022 westion responses changed in 2022 ficulty generating attention to the product fficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" forts to distort or suppress the analysis flyidicating differering perspectives essure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63%
wy question added in 2021  ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productions of the production of the analytic production of the analytic productificulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" formation shoring charge prespectives sessure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 0 1 1 2
ways equently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from products ways equently ways equently metimes arely ever ot applicable to my work role  total  OE  uestion wording changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022 that is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic pro  fficulty generating attention to the product fficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" forts to distort or suppress the analysis djudicating differering perspectives ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 1 1 1 2 0
we question added in 2021  ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product  ways equently  ways equently  ometimes  arely ever  ot applicable to my work role  total  OE  uestion wording changed in 2022  uestion responses changed in 2022  that is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic pro  fficulty generating attention to the product  fficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter  verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" forts to distort or suppress the analysis djudicating differering perspectives essure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints  me constraints	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 0 1 1 1 2 2 0 2
we question added in 2021  ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product  ways equently ometimes arely ever ot applicable to my work role ottal  OE  uestion wording changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022 (that is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic pro  ifficulty generating attention to the product fficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" forts to distort or suppress the analysis djudicating differering perspectives essure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements o challenges	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 0 1 1 2 0 2 3
ew question added in 2021  ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productive feedback from the feedback from productive feedback from the feedback	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 0 1 1 1 2 2 0 2
we question added in 2021  bow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productions of the production of the analytic profuse of the product frost or supprise of the product frost or supprise of the product frost of the product frost of the product or annual product or supprise of the product frost of the product or supprise of the product frost of discovering attention to the product frost to discover or suppress the analysis dijudicating differering perspectives essure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements or applicable to my work role ther	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 1 1 1 2 0 2 2 3 2
ways equently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product ways equently ways equently ways equently ways or applicable to my work role total OE  uestion wording changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022 that is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic pro  fficulty generating attention to the product fficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" forts to distort or suppress the analysis djudicating differering perspectives sessure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints essure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements o challenges ot applicable to my work role ther	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 1 1 1 2 0 2 2 3 2
ways equently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product ways equently ways equently ways equently ways or applicable to my work role total OE  uestion wording changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022 that is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic pro  fficulty generating attention to the product fficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" forts to distort or suppress the analysis djudicating differering perspectives sessure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints essure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements o challenges ot applicable to my work role ther	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 1 1 1 2 0 2 2 3 2
wy question added in 2021  ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productions of the production of the analytic production of the analytic productificulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter steping rores or "lanes in the road" formation sharing consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints me constraints me constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements of applicable to my work role there are to incorporate input from non-analytic elements of applicable to my work role there are to incorporate input from non-analytic elements of applicable to my work role there are to incorporate input from non-analytic elements of applicable to my work role there are to incorporate input from non-analytic elements of applicable to my work role there are to incorporate input from non-analytic elements of applicable to my work role there are to incorporate input from non-analytic elements of applicable to my work role there.	2010 duct type th	at you typi	ically prepa	ire/suppor	1?						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 1 1 1 2 0 2 2 3 2
ways equently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from production ways equently ways equently ways equently metimes arely ever ot applicable to my work role  tall OE  uestion wording changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022 that is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic pro  efficulty generating attention to the product fficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" forts to distort or suppress the analysis djudicating differering perspectives ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements or challenges or challen	duct type th	at you typi 2011	ically prepa 2012	ire/suppor 2013	2014						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 1 1 1 2 0 2 2 3 2
we question added in 2021  ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productives  ways equently metimes arely ever ot applicable to my work role  total  IOE  uestion wording changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022 fhat is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic pro  ifficulty generating attention to the product ifficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" forts to distort or suppress the analysis djudicating differering perspectives ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements or challenges or the applicable to my work role ther  otal  IOE  ew question added in 2021	duct type th	at you typi 2011	ically prepa 2012	ire/suppor 2013	2014						2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 1 1 1 2 0 2 2 3 2
ways equently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from production ways equently ways equently ways equently metimes arely ever ot applicable to my work role  tall OE  uestion wording changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022 that is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic pro  efficulty generating attention to the product fficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" forts to distort or suppress the analysis djudicating differering perspectives ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements or challenges or challen	duct type th 2010	aat you typi 2011	ically prepa 2012	re/suppor 2013	227 2014 ination?	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 1 1 1 2 0 2 2 3 2 2 2 8 1 7 1 1 1 2 0 2 0 2 0 1 0 1 0 2 0 2 0 0 0 0
ew question added in 2021 ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productive of the productificulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" fforts to distort or suppress the analysis djudicating differering perspectives ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints into expensive to incorporate input from non-analytic elements or challenges of applicable to my work role there of the productive of the product	duct type th	at you typi 2011	ically prepa 2012	ire/suppor 2013	2014						2021 111 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 1 1 1 2 0 2 2 3 2
ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productive of the productive of the productive of the productive or the productive of the	duct type th 2010	aat you typi 2011	ically prepa 2012	re/suppor 2013	227 2014 ination?	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 111 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 1 1 1 2 0 2 2 3 2 2 2 8 1 7 1 1 1 2 0 2 0 2 0 1 0 1 0 2 0 2 0 0 0 0
ways equently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productives of applicable to my work role ot applicable to my work role otal ooe uestion wording changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022 that is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic pro officulty generating attention to the product ifficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" forts to distort or suppress the analysis djudicating differering perspectives ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements o challenges ot applicable to my work role ther otal IOE  ew question added in 2021 then considering the analytic product type that you typically prepare, wha officiulty generating attention to the product fficulty generating attention to the product officulty generating attention to the product fficulty generating attention to the product officulty generating attention to the product officulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter officulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter	duct type th 2010	aat you typi 2011	ically prepa 2012	re/suppor 2013	227 2014 ination?	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 111 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 1 1 1 2 0 2 2 3 2 2 2 8 1 7 1 1 1 2 0 2 0 2 0 1 0 1 0 2 0 2 0 0 0 0
wy question added in 2021  ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from productives ways equently metimes arely ever ot applicable to my work role  tal IOE  uestion wording changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022 fhat is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic pro  officulty generating attention to the product ifficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" forts to distort or suppress the analysis djudicating differering perspectives ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements o challenges ot applicable to my work role ther  otal IOE  ew question added in 2021 then considering the analytic product type that you typically prepare, wha ifficulty generating attention to the product	duct type th 2010	aat you typi 2011	ically prepa 2012	re/suppor 2013	227 2014 ination?	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 111 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 1 1 1 2 0 2 2 3 2 2 2 8 1 7 1 1 1 2 0 2 0 2 0 1 0 1 0 2 0 2 0 0 0 0
ways equently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product ways equently ways equently ways equently weetines trely ever tot applicable to my work role tall OE  westion wording changed in 2022 westion responses changed in 2022 westion responses changed in 2022 westion responses changed in 2022 hat is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic pro fficulty generating attention to the product fficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" forts to distort or suppress the analysis judicating differering perspectives essure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints essure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ochallenges ot applicable to my work role ther tal OE  we question added in 2021 hen considering the analytic product type that you typically prepare, wha  fficulty generating attention to the product fficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter	duct type th 2010	aat you typi 2011	ically prepa 2012	re/suppor 2013	227 2014 ination?	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 111 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 1 1 1 2 0 2 2 3 2 2 2 8 1 7 1 1 1 2 0 2 0 2 0 1 0 1 0 2 0 2 0 0 0 0
we question added in 2021  ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product from the product from the analytic product from the analytic product type that you typically prepare, what is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic profusions of maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" forts to distort or suppress the analysis displacating difference properties assure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints  me constraints  messure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements or challenges or applicable to my work role there are also assured to the product friculty generating attention to the product from the product of th	duct type th 2010	aat you typi 2011	ically prepa 2012	re/suppor 2013	227 2014 ination?	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 1 1 2 2 2 3 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
we question added in 2021  ow frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from products ways equently ways equently weetines orely ever ot applicable to my work role tatal OE  uestion wording changed in 2022 uestion responses changed in 2022 uestion verificulty generating attention to the product fficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter verlapping roles or "lanes in the road" forts to distort or suppress the analysis dijudicating differering perspectives essure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints me constraints sessure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements of challenges ot applicable to my work role ther tatal OE  verlapping roles or my work role ther there considering the analytic product type that you typically prepare, wha  efficulty generating attention to the product fficulty generating attention to the product type that you typically g	duct type th 2010	aat you typi 2011	ically prepa 2012	re/suppor 2013	227 2014 ination?	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 11 9 4 4 0 5 33 15.73%	15 5 3 1 1 5 30 16.63% 2022 3 8 6 0 0 1 1 1 2 0 2 2 3 2 2 2 8 1 7 1 1 1 2 0 2 0 2 0 1 0 1 0 2 0 2 0 0 0 0

essure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements her											3	
tal											31	
E											16.31%	
estion wording changed in 2018												
hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing analytic products,			rnative exp			comes a ro				ss?		
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
es										17	24	21 2
lo lot applicable to my work role										1 4	6 1	5
otal										22	31	28
10E										19.82%	16.31%	17.30%
uestion wording changed in 2018	-fab	,										
Vhen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
lo	2010		2012	2013	2014	2013	13	4	5			
es							18	11	7	NA	after FY18	
otal							31	15	12			
10E							16.31%	24.43%	27.51%			
Question responses changed in 2019												
low often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the	ne published pro	oduct?										
		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
lways										0	3	5
requently										5	12	4
Sometimes										10	7	11
larely Never										2	2	1
otal										19	24	21
MOE										21.49%	18.88%	20.34%
uestion wording changed in 2018												
low often are the results from your analsysis of alternatives included in di		ducts? 2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
lways	2010	-011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2010	0	0	2013	2021	2022
Jsually								4	1			
ometimes								5	3	NA	after FY18	
arely								1	3			
lever								1	0			
otal 10E								28.80%	7 36.45%			
		l from the 2011	e publishe	ed product	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
ime constraints roduct template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product						2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022 0 0 1 0 0
ime constraints roduct template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives other						2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	0 0 1 0 0
ime constraints roduct template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther otal						2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	0 0 1 0 0 0
ime constraints roduct template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther otal						2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	0 0 1 0 0
ime constraints rroduct template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived to undermine confidence in the product erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives thter otal						2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	0 0 1 0 0 0
ime constraints roduct template constraints rerceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments rerceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product rerceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther rotal ADE	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014							0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 97.78%
Which of the following is the primary reason the alternative consideration:  Time constraints  Troduct template constraints  Treceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments  Treceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product  Treceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives  Which of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	0 0 1 0 0 0
rime constraints  roduct template constraints  rerceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments  rerceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product  rerceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives  otal  AOE  Lew question added in 2021  Which of the following is the primary reason the alternative consideration:  ime constraints  troduct template constraints  troduct template constraints  troduct template constraints  troduct serviced as not relevant or did not add value to the product  troduct serviced as not relevant or did not add value to the product  troduct serviced as not relevant or did not add value to the product  troduct serviced as not relevant or did not add value to the product	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014						2021 0 0 1 N 1	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 97.78%
me constraints roduct template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther otal IOE  ew question added in 2021 Which of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints roduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014						2021 0 0 1 N 1	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 97.78%
me constraints roduct template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther otal IOE  ew question added in 2021 Which of the following is the primary reason the alternative consideration: me constraints roduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014						2021 0 0 1 N 1 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 97.78%
me constraints roduct template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther otal IOE  ew question added in 2021 Which of the following is the primary reason the alternative consideration: me constraints roduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014						2021 0 0 1 N 1	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 97.78%
ime constraints roduct template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther otal IOE  ew question added in 2021 //hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative consideration: ime constraints roduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives otal IOE  ew question added in 2022	s were excluded	2011 I from the 2011	2012 ne publishe 2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017			2021 0 0 1 N 1 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 97.78%
ime constraints roduct template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther otal HOE  Leve question added in 2021 Which of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations ime constraints roduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives otal HOE	s were excluded 2010	2011 I from the 2011	2012  see publishe 2012	ed product 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 0 1 N 1 0 2 68.98%	0 0 1 0 0 0 1 97.78%
ime constraints roduct template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives tither otal MOE  lew question added in 2021 Which of the following is the primary reason the alternative consideration: ime constraints roduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives otal MOE	s were excluded 2010	2011 I from the 2011	2012 ne publishe 2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017			2021 0 0 1 N 1 0	0 0 1 1 0 0 1 97.78%
me constraints reduct template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther total IDE  we question added in 2021 //hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative consideration:  me constraints roduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives total IDE  we question added in 2022 //hat is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analy ressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic erception that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing view erception that differing views undermine the main analytic judgement	s were excluded 2010	2011 I from the 2011	2012  see publishe 2012	ed product 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 0 1 N 1 0 2 68.98%	0 0 1 0 0 0 1 97.78%
me constraints roduct template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther total IDE  we question added in 2021 //hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative consideration:  me constraints roduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives total IDE  we question added in 2022 //hat is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analy ressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic erception that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing view erception that differing views undermine the main analytic judgement ressure to reach consensus ifficulty adjudicating conflicting input olicies delineating lead, collaboration, and coordination roles formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization	s were excluded 2010	2011 I from the 2011	2012  see publishe 2012	ed product 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 0 1 N 1 0 2 68.98%	0 0 0 1 97.78% 2022 1A after F
ime constraints roduct template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther otal IOE  w question added in 2021 //hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative consideration: ime constraints roduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives otal IOE  w question added in 2022 //hat is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analy ressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic erception that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing view erception that differing views undermine the main analytic judgement ressure to reach consensus ifficulty adjudicating conflicting input olicies delineating lead, collaboration, and coordination roles ifformation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization o challenges ot applicable to my work role ther	s were excluded 2010	2011 I from the 2011	2012  see publishe 2012	ed product 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 0 1 N 1 0 2 68.98%	0 0 0 1 97.78% 2022 1A after F
ime constraints roduct template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther otal NOE  Iew question added in 2021 Which of the following is the primary reason the alternative consideration: Ime constraints roduct template constraints : was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product . was discouraged or removed by reviewer . was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives otal NOE  Iew question added in 2022  What is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analy	s were excluded 2010	2011 I from the 2011	2012  see publishe 2012	ed product 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 0 1 N 1 0 2 68.98%	0 0 0 1 1 97.78% 2022 1A after F
me constraints received to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments received as not relevant or did not add value to the product received that the consumer would be averse to alternatives received that the consumer would be averse to alternatives received that the consumer would be averse to alternatives received that the consumer would be averse to alternatives received that the consumer would be averse to alternatives received that the consumer would be averse to inclusion reconstraints reduct template constraints reas discouraged or removed by reviewer reas discouraged or removed by reviewer reas perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives retal  OE  That is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analy ressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic reception that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing view reception that differing views undermine the main analytic judgement ressure to reach consensus fficulty adjudicating conflicting input licies delineating lead, collaboration, and coordination roles formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization o challenges ot applicable to my work role ther	s were excluded 2010	2011 I from the 2011	2012  see publishe 2012	ed product 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 0 1 N 1 0 2 68.98%	0 0 0 1 97.78% 2022 1A after F
me constraints received to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments received to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments received as not relevant or did not add value to the product received that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther  bital  OE  ew question added in 2021  Thich of the following is the primary reason the alternative consideration:  me constraints  oduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives  otal  OE  ew question added in 2022  That is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analy ressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic reception that differing views undermine the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus fficulty adjudicating conflicting input blicies delineating lead, collaboration, and coordination roles formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization on challenges of applicable to my work role ther  tital  OE  uestion not asked in 2022  ew question added in 2021  ew question added in 2022  ew question added in 2022	s were excluded 2010	2011 I from the 2011	2012  see publishe 2012	ed product 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 0 1 N 1 0 2 68.98%	0 0 0 1 97.78% 2022 1A after F
ime constraints roduct template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther otal IOE  we question added in 2021 //hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative consideration: ime constraints roduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives otal IOE  we question added in 2022 //hat is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analy ressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic erception that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing view erception that differing views undermine the main analytic judgement ressure to reach consensus ifficulty adjudicating conflicting input olicies delineating lead, collaboration, and coordination roles information sharing constraints, including compartmentalization o challenges ot applicable to my work role ther	s were excluded 2010 : tic judgments ar 2010 :	2011 I from the 2011	2012  see publishe 2012	ed product 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 0 1 N 1 0 2 68.98%	0 0 0 1 97.78% 2022 1A after F

o tal											42	IA ditei r
di											42	
uestion not asked in 2022												
ew question added in 2021												
the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related in												
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
es											5	IA after F
lo											3/	or uncer i
otal											42	
Juestion not asked in 2022												
lew question added in 2021												
the past year, were you involved in border security-related intellig	ence analysis?											
<u> </u>	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
'es											3	IA after F
lo .											39	
otal											42	
Question not asked in 2022												
lew question added in 2021												
Vhen considering your most significant experience of distortion and,	/or suppression of	analysis	which of the	following	was the nr	imary Anal	vtic Standa	ard Violate	45			
viteri considering your most significant experience of distortion and,	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Directive											0	
ndependent of political considerations											2	
ndependent of political considerations Timely											2	IA after F
Dbjective  ndependent of political considerations  Timely  assed on all available sources of intelligence information  mplements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards											2	IA after F
ndependent of political considerations imely lased on all available sources of intelligence information mplements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards											2 0 2	IA after F
ndependent of political considerations imely assed on all available sources of intelligence information mplements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards thter											2 0 2 1	IA after F
ndependent of political considerations Timely Jased on all available sources of intelligence information Implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards Other											2 0 2 1 0	IA after F
ndependent of political considerations  Timely  Based on all available sources of intelligence information  mplements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards  Other  Total OBJ											2 0 2 1 0	IA after F
ndependent of political considerations imely lassed on all available sources of intelligence information implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards bther otal OBJ Question not asked in 2022											2 0 2 1 0	JA after F
ndependent of political considerations imely assed on all available sources of intelligence information implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards other otal OBJ  question not asked in 2022 question wording changed in 2021											2 0 2 1 0	
ndependent of political considerations  imely Jased on all available sources of intelligence information Implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards Other  otal OBJ  Question not asked in 2022  Question wording changed in 2021  Was the assistance effective in resolving the issue?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2 0 2 1 0 5	IA after F
ndependent of political considerations  Timely Sased on all available sources of intelligence information Implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards Other  Total OBJ  Question not asked in 2022 Question wording changed in 2021 Was the assistance effective in resolving the issue?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2 0 2 1 0 5	2022
ndependent of political considerations Timely Sased on all available sources of intelligence information Implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards Other Total OBJ  Question not asked in 2022 Question wording changed in 2021 Was the assistance effective in resolving the issue?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2 0 2 1 0 5	
ndependent of political considerations  Grandly  Gased on all available sources of intelligence information  mplements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards  Other  Fotal OBJ  Question not asked in 2022  Question wording changed in 2021  Was the assistance effective in resolving the issue?  Total OBJ  Other  Ot	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2 0 2 1 0 5	2022
ndependent of political considerations imely assed on all available sources of intelligence information implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards other otal OBJ  question not asked in 2022 question wording changed in 2021 Vas the assistance effective in resolving the issue?  Ido es artially otal	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2 0 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2	2022
ndependent of political considerations imely lased on all available sources of intelligence information implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards bther otal OBJ  Question not asked in 2022 Question wording changed in 2021 Vas the assistance effective in resolving the issue? In the constant of the constant	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2 0 2 1 0 5	2022
ndependent of political considerations imely assed on all available sources of intelligence information implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards other otal OBJ  question not asked in 2022 question wording changed in 2021 Vas the assistance effective in resolving the issue?  lo es artially otal	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2 0 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2	2022
dependent of political considerations imely assed on all available sources of intelligence information mplements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards other otal OBJ tuestion not asked in 2022 tuestion wording changed in 2021 Was the assistance effective in resolving the issue?		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2 0 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2	2022
ndependent of political considerations imply assed on all available sources of intelligence information mplements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards other otal OBJ (auestion not asked in 2022 (auestion wording changed in 2021) (available assistance effective in resolving the issue? (available assistance and available assistance and available assistance and available otal available assistance and available otal available otal available available otal available	n?										2021 1 0 5 5 2021 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 97.78%	2022 IA after F
Independent of political considerations Imply Imply Imply Imply Imply Imply Implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards Other Inter In		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2 0 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2 N 2	2022
Independent of political considerations Imely Isased on all available sources of intelligence information Implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards Other Iotal OBJ  Question not asked in 2022 Question wording changed in 2021  Vas the assistance effective in resolving the issue? Ido Iotal IMOE  Question not asked in 2022 Question of asked in 2022 Iotal Iotal IMOE  Question not asked in 2022 Iotal Iot	n?						<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b> 0	<b>2018</b> 1	<b>2019</b> 0	2021 1 0 5 5 2021 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 97.78%	2022 IA after F
ndependent of political considerations Firmely Based on all available sources of intelligence information Implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards Other Intelligence information Implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards Other Intelligence Intelligence information Intelligence Intelligence Intelligence information Intelligence Int	n?						2016 1 0	2017 0 0	2018 1 0	<b>2019</b> 0 0	2021 1 0 5 5 2021 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 97.78%	2022 IA after F
ndependent of political considerations  Timely  Based on all available sources of intelligence information  Implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards  Other  Total OBJ  Question not asked in 2022  Question wording changed in 2021  Was the assistance effective in resolving the issue?  In No  Yes  Partially  Total  MOCE  Question not asked in 2022  Question not asked in 2022  Other  Other	n?						<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b> 0	<b>2018</b> 1	<b>2019</b> 0	2021 1 0 5 5 2021 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 97.78%	2022 IA after F

	rding changed in 2021											
Which of the	rding changed in 2021 following best describes your work role?											
%	following best describes your work role?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Analyst		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2010	2017	2010	48%	60%
Manager of a	nalysts										30%	29%
Analytic enal											9%	79
Other	nei										13%	59
Total											100%	1009
TOLAI											100%	1007
	onse categories											
What is your	work role?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
%	a a li sata	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016			2019	2021
Manager of a	inalysts							27%	33%	19%		
Analyst								73%	67%	63%	NA	after F
Other (new i	1 2017)							1000/	1000/	19%		
Total								100%	100%	100%		
	rding changed in 2021 ve you been involved in analytic work in the IC?											
%	ve you been involved in analytic work in the ic:	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	202
Less than 5 y	ears							42%	10%	17%	39%	389
5 - 9 years								48%	35%	8%	13%	129
10 - 19 years								3%	50%	54%	35%	299
20 years or n	nore							6%	5%	21%	13%	219
Total								100%	100%	100%	100%	1009
	rding changed in 2021 ponses changed in 2021											
	ear, have you experienced distortion and/or suppression	of analysis in viol	ation of IC	D 203?								
%		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	202
Yes												139
No												879
Total												1009
New respons	e categories added to the question in 2019											
	ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor	rt or suppress anal	ysis on wh	ich you we	re working	in the face	of persuas	ive eviden	ce which s	upported y	our origina	l assess
%		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	202
Yes, I suspect	but am not sure it has occurred										5%	NA at
Yes, I suspect No Total	but am not sure it has occurred										5% 80% 100%	NA at
No	but am not sure it has occurred										80%	NA at
No Total	onse categories										80%	NA at
No Total Original resp Question wo	onse categories rding changed in 2018										80%	NA at
No Total Original resp Question wo During the p	onse categories						2015	2016	2017	2019	80%	
Original resp Question wo During the p.	onse categories rding changed in 2018	rt or surpress analy 2010	ysis on whi 2011	ch you wei 2012	e working: 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	80%	
Original resp Question wo During the p	onse categories rding changed in 2018						2015	67%	0%	75%	80% 100% 2019	202:
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes	onse categories rding changed in 2018						2015	67% 33%	0% 0%	75% 25%	80% 100% 2019	202:
Original resp Question wo During the p	onse categories rding changed in 2018						2015	67%	0%	75%	80% 100% 2019	2021
No Total  Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total	onse categories <mark>rding changed in 2018</mark> ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor						2015	67% 33%	0% 0%	75% 25%	80% 100% 2019	202:
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total	onse categories rding changed in 2018						2015	67% 33%	0% 0%	75% 25%	80% 100% 2019	2021
No Total  Original resp Question wo During the p. % No Yes Total  Question wo Question res	onse categories rding changed in 2018 ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor	2010					2015	67% 33%	0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	80% 100% 2019 NA	202:
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total Question wo Question res How often d	onse categories rding changed in 2018 ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor rding changed in 2021 ponses changed in 2021 d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy	2010					2015	67% 33%	0% 0%	75% 25%	80% 100% 2019	202: after FY 202:
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total	onse categories rding changed in 2018 ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor rding changed in 2021 ponses changed in 2021 d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	80% 100% 2019 NA	202: after FY 202:
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total Question wo Question res How often d %	onse categories  rding changed in 2018  ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor  rding changed in 2021  ponses changed in 2021  d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy  aily)  veekly or monthly)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	80% 100% 2019 NA	2023 after F)
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total Question wo Question res How often d %	onse categories rding changed in 2018 ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor rding changed in 2021 ponses changed in 2021 d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy aily)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	80% 100% 2019 NA	2023 after FV
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total Question wo Question res How often d %	onse categories  rding changed in 2018  ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor  rding changed in 2021  ponses changed in 2021  d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy  aily)  weekly or monthly)  (more than twice but less than monthly)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	80% 100% 2019 NA	2023 2023 209 209 409 209
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total Question wo Question res How often di % Constantly (c Frequently (v Occasionally	onse categories  rding changed in 2018  ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor  rding changed in 2021  ponses changed in 2021  d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy  aily)  weekly or monthly)  (more than twice but less than monthly)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	80% 100% 2019 NA	202: after FY
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question wo Question res How often d % Constantly (c Frequently (v Occasionally Rarely (Once	onse categories  rding changed in 2018  ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor  rding changed in 2021  ponses changed in 2021  d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy  aily)  weekly or monthly)  (more than twice but less than monthly)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	80% 100% 2019 NA	202: after FY
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question wo Question res How often di % Constantly (c Frequently (v Occasionally Rarely (Once	onse categories  rding changed in 2018  arding changed in 2021  ponses changed in 2021  d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy  aily)  weekly or monthly)  (more than twice but less than monthly)  or twice)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	80% 100% 2019 NA	202: after FY
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question res How often di % Constantly (o Frequently (v Occasionally) Rarely (Once Total	onse categories  rding changed in 2018  ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor  rding changed in 2021  ponses changed in 2021  d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy  aily)  weekly or monthly)  (more than twice but less than monthly)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	80% 100% 2019 NA	2023 2023 209 209 409 209
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question wo Question res How often d % Constantly (c Frequently (v Occasionally, Rarely (Once Total	onse categories  rding changed in 2018  ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor  rding changed in 2021  ponses changed in 2021  d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy  aily)  veekly or monthly)  (more than twice but less than monthly)  or twice)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	80% 100% 2019 NA	202: after FY
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question wo Question res How often d % Constantly (c Frequently (v Occasionally, Rarely (Once Total	onse categories rding changed in 2018 ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor  rding changed in 2021 ponses changed in 2021 d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy aily) weekly or monthly) (more than twice but less than monthly) or twice)	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	80% 100% 2019 NA	202: after F <sup>1</sup> 202: 203: 403: 1003:
No Total  Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question res How often di % Constantly (o Frequently (v Occasionally) Rarely (Once Total	onse categories  rding changed in 2018  ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor  rding changed in 2021  ponses changed in 2021  d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy  aily)  veekly or monthly)  (more than twice but less than monthly)  or twice)	zo10  rsis?  2010  of your analysis?	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	80% 100% 2019 NA	2022 2022 203 203 403 203 1003
No Total  Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question res How often di % Constantly (o Frequently (v Occasionally) Rarely (Once Total	rding changed in 2018 rding changed in 2018 rding changed in 2021 rding changed in 2021 ponses changed in 2021 d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy aily) weekly or monthly) (more than twice but less than monthly) or twice)  re categories added to the question in 2019 rding changed in 2019 t year how often did you face distortion or suppression of	zo10  rsis?  2010  of your analysis?	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	80% 100% 2019 NA 2019 2019	2022 2022 203 203 403 203 1003
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question wo Question res How often d % Constantly (c Frequently (v Occasionally Rarely (Once Total  New respons Question wo Over the pas % On a daily or On a monthly	rding changed in 2018 rding changed in 2018 rding changed in 2021 rding changed in 2021 ponses changed in 2021 d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy aily) weekly or monthly) (more than twice but less than monthly) or twice)  re categories added to the question in 2019 rding changed in 2019 t year how often did you face distortion or suppression of	zo10  rsis?  2010  of your analysis?	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	80% 100% 2019 NA 2019	2022 2022 2032 2032 2032 2032 2032 2032
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question wo Question res How often d % Constantly (c Frequently (v Occasionally Rarely (Once Total  New respons Question wo Over the pas % On a daily or On a monthly	onse categories  rding changed in 2018  ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor  rding changed in 2021  ponses changed in 2021  d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy  aily)  weekly or monthly)  (more than twice but less than monthly)  or twice)  the categories added to the question in 2019  rding changed in 2019  t year how often did you face distortion or suppression of  monthly basis  / basis	zo10  rsis?  2010  of your analysis?	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	80% 100% 2019 NA 2019 2019	2022 2022 2032 2032 2032 2032 2032 2032
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question wo Question res How often d % Constantly (c Frequently (v Occasionally Rarely (Once Total  New respons Question wo Over the pas % On a daily or On a monthly Several times	onse categories rding changed in 2018 ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor  rding changed in 2021 ponses changed in 2021 d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy aily) weekly or monthly) (more than twice but less than monthly) or twice)  the categories added to the question in 2019 rding changed in 2019 t year how often did you face distortion or suppression of monthly basis y basis	zo10  rsis?  2010  of your analysis?	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	2019 NA 2019 2019 0% 50%	2022 2022 2032 2032 2032 2032 2032 2032
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question wo Question res How often di % Constantly (o Frequently (v Occasionally) Rarely (Once Total  New respons Question wo Over the pas % On a daily or On a monthhy Several times 2 - 3 times	onse categories rding changed in 2018 ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor  rding changed in 2021 ponses changed in 2021 d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy aily) weekly or monthly) (more than twice but less than monthly) or twice)  the categories added to the question in 2019 rding changed in 2019 t year how often did you face distortion or suppression of monthly basis y basis	zo10  rsis?  2010  of your analysis?	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	2019 NA 2019 0% 0% 50% 50%	2022 2022 2032 2032 2032 2032 2032 2032
No Total  Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question wo Question res How often d % Constantly (c Frequently (v Occasionally Rarely (Once Total  New respons Question wo Over the pas % On a daily or On a monthly Several times 2 - 3 times Once	onse categories rding changed in 2018 ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor  rding changed in 2021 ponses changed in 2021 d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy aily) weekly or monthly) (more than twice but less than monthly) or twice)  the categories added to the question in 2019 rding changed in 2019 t year how often did you face distortion or suppression of monthly basis y basis	zo10  rsis?  2010  of your analysis?	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	2019 NA 2019 2019 2019 2019 0% 0% 50% 50% 0%	2022 2022 2032 2032 2032 2032 2032 2032
No Total  Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question res How often d % Constantly (c Frequently (v Occasionally) Rarely (Once Total  New respons Question wo Over the pas % On a daily or On a monthly Several times Once Total	onse categories rding changed in 2018 ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor  rding changed in 2021 ponses changed in 2021 d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy aily) weekly or monthly) (more than twice but less than monthly) or twice)  the categories added to the question in 2019 rding changed in 2019 t year how often did you face distortion or suppression of monthly basis y basis	zo10  rsis?  2010  of your analysis?	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100%	2019 NA 2019 2019 2019 2019 0% 0% 50% 50% 0%	2022 2022 2032 2032 2032 2032 2032 2032
Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question wo Question res How often d % Constantly (c Frequently (v Occasionally Rarely (Once Total  New respons Question wo Over the pas % On a daily or On a monthly Several times 2 - 3 times Once Total	rding changed in 2018 ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor  rding changed in 2021 ponses changed in 2021 d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy aily) weekly or monthly) (more than twice but less than monthly) or twice)  re categories added to the question in 2019 rding changed in 2019 t year how often did you face distortion or suppression of monthly basis be a year (less than 12 but more than 3)	zoio zois? 2010  of your analysis? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0% 2017	75% 25% 100%	2019 NA 2019 2019 0% 0% 50% 50% 100%	2022 2022 203 203 203 1009
No Total Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question res How often di % Constantly (o Frequently (v Occasionally) Rarely (Once Total  New respons Question wo Over the pas % On a daily or On a monthly Several times Once Total  New respons How often di %	onse categories rding changed in 2018 ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor  rding changed in 2021 ponses changed in 2021 d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy aily) weekly or monthly) (more than twice but less than monthly) or twice)  te categories added to the question in 2019 rding changed in 2019 t year how often did you face distortion or suppression of monthly basis r basis a a year (less than 12 but more than 3)	zo10  rsis?  2010  of your analysis?	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0%	75% 25% 100% 2018	2019 NA 2019 2019 2019 2019 0% 0% 50% 50% 0%	2021 2021 209 209 409 209 1009
No Total  Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question wo Question res How often d % Constantly (c Frequently (v Occasionally (c Frequently (v Occasionally (o Frequently	onse categories rding changed in 2018 ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor  rding changed in 2021 ponses changed in 2021 d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy aily) weekly or monthly) (more than twice but less than monthly) or twice)  re categories added to the question in 2019 rding changed in 2019 t year how often did you face distortion or suppression of monthly basis / basis a year (less than 12 but more than 3)  re categories in 2018 by ou face distortion/suppression of your analysis?  taily)	zoio zois? 2010  of your analysis? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0% 2017	75% 25% 100% 2018 2018	2019 NA 2019 2019 0% 0% 50% 50% 100%	2021 2021 209 209 409 209 1009
No Total  Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question wo Question res How often d % Constantly (c Frequently (v Occasionally, Rarely (Once Total  New respons Question wo Over the pas % On a daily or On a monthly Several times 2 - 3 times Once Total  New respons How often d % Constantly ([C Frequently (C Frequently (C Frequently (C Frequently (C Frequently (C	onse categories rding changed in 2018 ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor rding changed in 2021 ponses changed in 2021 d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy aily) weekly or monthly) (more than twice but less than monthly) or twice)  te categories added to the question in 2019 rding changed in 2019 t year how often did you face distortion or suppression of monthly basis y basis a year (less than 12 but more than 3)  the categories in 2018 to you face distortion/suppression of your analysis?  alily) consistently, on a weekly or monthly basis)	zoio zois? 2010  of your analysis? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0% 2017	75% 25% 100% 2018 2018	2019 NA 2019 0% 0% 50% 50% 100%	2021 2021 209 209 209 1009
No Total  Original resp Question wo During the p % No Yes Total  Question wo Question res How often d % Constantly (c Frequently (v Occasionally, Rarely (Once Total  New respons Question wo Over the pas % On a daily or On a monthly Several times 2 - 3 times Once Total  New respons How often d % Constantly ([C Frequently (C Frequently (C Frequently (C Frequently (C Frequently (C	onse categories rding changed in 2018 ast year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distor  rding changed in 2021 ponses changed in 2021 d you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy aily) weekly or monthly) (more than twice but less than monthly) or twice)  re categories added to the question in 2019 rding changed in 2019 t year how often did you face distortion or suppression of monthly basis / basis a year (less than 12 but more than 3)  re categories in 2018 by ou face distortion/suppression of your analysis?  taily)	zoio zois? 2010  of your analysis? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	67% 33% 100%	0% 0% 0% 2017	75% 25% 100% 2018 2018	2019 NA 2019 0% 0% 50% 50% 100%	2021 2021 2022 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20

	How many times has someone attempted to distort or suppress analysis on v %	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
	Once							70%				
	Twice							0%		NA	after FY17	
	Three times							10%		IVA	aiterriti	
-	More than three times							20%				
	Total							100%				
	New question added in 2022											
	When considering your most signficant expeirence with distortion and/or su											
-	% Analytic Standard A - Objective	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
	Analytic Standard B - Independent of political consideration											
	Analytic Standard C - Timely											
	Analytic Standard D - Based on all available sources of intelligence informati											
	Anatlyic Standard E - Implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards											
-	Other Total											
	New question added in 2022											
-	When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or supp  %	pression of 2010	analysis, v	what form 2012	did it take? 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
-	A dictated/predetermined analytic line	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2016	2019	2021
	A changed analytic line absent sufficient sourcing or argumentation											
	Distorted or suppressed judgements, sourcing, uncertainty, assumptions, or											
	Distorted or suppressed analysis of alternativess or dissent											
	Discouraged analysis/production on a particular topic absent sufficient reasonable depleted analysis and a sufficient reasonable depleted and a sufficient reasonable depl											
	Delayed, shelved, or recalled analysis absent sufficient reasoning Distorted or suppressed input to, or comments on, a product											
	Information to which I did not have access changed the analysis											
	Analysis mischaracterized in conveyance to a consumer											
	A deliberately distorted product classification											
-	Other											
	Total											
	Question wording changed in 2021											
	Question responses changed in 2021											
	When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or supp											
	% My product was not published	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	<b>2021</b>
	The product was not published  The product was deliberately delayed											0%
	I was discouraged from writing a product											20%
	The anlytic line was dictated to me											60%
	The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumen											0%
	Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or re											0%
	A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer											0%
	An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/prod My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed											0% 0%
	Other											20%
-	Total											100%
	New question added in 2019  When considering the most signficant instance of distortion or suppression, v	what form	did it take	:?								
_	%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
	My product was not published										50%	
	I was discouraged from writing a product										0%	
	My analytic line was dictated to me										0%	
	The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review										0%	NA aft
	Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my produc  A briefer either suppressed or misrepresented the analysis										0%	NA aff
	An internal agency senior changed, deleted, or added minor analytic points										50%	
	My comments or dissent on a product were suppressed or distorted										0%	
-	Other										0%	
	Total										100%	
	Question wording changed in 2021											
	Question responses changed in 2021											
-	What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?							***				
-	% I did not receive feedback or explanation	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	<b>2021</b>
	i did not receive reedback or explanation The analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication											20%
	The analysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards											0%
	Dissent or alterantive analysis was not accepted											20%
	Concurrence from a particular component was required for publication											0%
	Content was changed or deleted to better meet customer needs											0%
	Content was changed or deleted because of differeing views from the field											0%
	Content was changed or deleted because it was too long, complicated, or te											0%
	Information to which I do not have access changed the analysis The assessment was policy prescriptive											0% 0%
	The assessment was policy prescriptive Other											60%
-	Total											100%
	No. 1 and 1											
	New question added in 2019 What was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow	ing this in	cident?									
	the primary recupact of explanation given to you during of follow	1110			2042	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
	%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013				2019	2021
	% I was told my product did not meet threshold and/or was not a high enoug	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2010	2017	2010	0%	2021
		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2010	2017	2010		2021

I was told that concurrence from a particular agency or office was required I was told that my analysis was changed or deleted so that the product woul I was told additional/special compartmented information (which I do not ha I was told my assessment was policy prescriptive I was told that my product did not meet appropriate tradecraft standards I was told that my analysis was changed or deleted in response to policymak I was told that my analysis was changed in response to differing views from I was told that my analysis was too complicated or technical and has to be si I did not receive any feedback or explanation as to why my product was dist Other										0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 50% 25% 25%	NA after	FY19
Question responses changed in 2022												
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience with distort	ion and/o			rsis?								
 % Analyst within my IC alamost /CCMD	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	<b>2022</b> 0%
 Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Manager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Senior executive/military officer within my IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) Other Total												50% 50% 50% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100%
Question wording changed in 2021												
Question responses changed in 2021	/		-f									
 Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion %	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Manager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Senior executive within my IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) US Government official outisde of the IC Other		-	-								20% 60% 0% 20% 0% 0% 0%	IA after F
Total											100%	
New response categories added to the question in 2019												
 Source %	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Internal colleague First-level manager Mid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element Non-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) Non-analyst senior within your IC element IC senior leadership External IC colleague or supervisor DNI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) US government official outside the IC Editor or senior reviewer of an IC publication Other Total  New response categories in 2018										0% 0% 50% 25% 25% 0% 0% 0% 0%	NA after	
 Source	2010	2011	2012	2042	2011	2045	2046	2017	2010	2010	2024	2022
 % Internal colleague First-level manager Mid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element External colleague Non-intelligence senior External IC supervisor Non-supervisory reviewer DNI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) US government official outside the IC Other  Original response categories	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 0% 50% 25% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100%	2019 NA	2021 after FY18	2022
 Source												
%  Non-supervisory professional within your IC element Supervisor within your IC element Intelligence professional outside your IC element US government official outside the IC Other	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016 23% 69% 8% 0% 0%	2017 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	2018	2019 NA after	2021 FY17	2022
Question wording changed in 2022 Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Question design changed in 2021 In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for your maintain an existing analytic line or status quo on a topic	our most si	ignficant ex	xperience v	vith distort	ion and/or 2014	suppression 2015	on of analy:	sis? 2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
 Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure											0% 20% 20% 40% 20%	0% 0% 0% <b>100%</b> 0%

In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive following to an individual's perspective											100%
	•										
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Not a factor											0%
Somewhat a factor											0%
A moderate factor											80%
An important factor											20%
Unsure											0%
Total											100%
In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for Support/protect operational equities	or your most si	ignficant e	xperience v	ith distort	ion and/or	suppressio	n of analy	sis?			
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Not a factor											40%
Somewhat a factor											0%
A moderate factor											0%
An important factor											20%
Unsure Total											40% 100%
	for your most s	ianficant a	vnorionco v	ith distort	ion and for	cummroccio	n of analy				10070
In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for Support/protect bureaucratic interests											
% Not a factor	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	<b>2021</b> 0%
Somewhat a factor											0%
A moderate factor											0%
An important factor											60%
·											_
Unsure Total											40% 100%
In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive fo	or your most s	ignficant e	xperience v	vith distort	ion and/or	suppressio	n of analy	sis?			
Provoke or prevent policymaker action or response									2010	2010	2021
% Not a factor	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Not a factor											60%
Somewhat a factor											0%
A moderate factor											0%
An important factor											20%
Unsure											20%
Total											100%
In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive for Support or oppose a policy agenda or narrative	or your most si	ignficant e	xperience v	vith distort	ion and/or	suppressio	n of analy	sis?			
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Not a factor											40%
Somewhat a factor											0%
A moderate factor											0%
An important factor											0%
Unsure											60%
Total											100%
New response categories added to the question in 2019											
Motive	2010	2011	2012	2012	2011	2045	2016	2047	2010	2010	2024
% Militarian de la companya de la co	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Maintain an existing analytic line										0%	
Support views of an internal supervisor/management										25%	
Support views of internal colleague(s)										0%	
Support views of external colleague(s)										0%	
Company design of external managements:											
Support views of external management										0%	
										0%	NA after
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s)										0% 0%	NA after
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities	10									0% 0% 25%	NA after
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency	10									0% 0% 25% 0%	NA after
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic	γo									0% 0% 25% 0% 0%	NA after
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was	уо									0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50%	NA after
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic	y o									0% 0% 25% 0% 0%	NA after
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total	y o									0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50%	NA after
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018 Motive										0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 0% 100%	
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018 Motive %	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	<b>2018</b> 0%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50%	NA after
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018  Motive  % Maintain existing analytic line		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 0% 100%	
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018 Motive  Maintain existing analytic line Support views of internal supervisor/management		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 50%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 0% 100%	
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018  Motive  Maintain existing analytic line Support views of internal supervisor/management Support views of internal colleague		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 50% 0%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 0% 100%	
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018 Motive  % Maintain existing analytic line Support views of internal supervisor/management Support views of internal colleague Support views of external colleague		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 50% 0% 0%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 0% 100%	
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018  Motive  Maintain existing analytic line Support views of internal supervisor/management Support views of external colleague Support views of external colleague Support views of external management		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 50% 0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 0% 100%	
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018 Motive  Maintain existing analytic line Support views of internal supervisor/management Support views of external colleague Support views of external management Support views of government/policy official	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 50% 0% 0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 0% 100%	2021
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018 Motive  Maintain existing analytic line Support views of internal supervisor/management Support views of external colleague Support views of external colleague Support views of external management	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 50% 0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 0% 100%	
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018 Motive  Maintain existing analytic line Support views of internal supervisor/management Support views of external colleague Support views of external management Support views of government/policy official	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 50% 0% 0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 0% 100%	2021
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018 Motive % Maintain existing analytic line Support views of internal supervisor/management Support views of internal colleague Support views of external colleague Support views of external management Support views of government/policy official To support increase/decrease of resources for operational, military or USC Unintentional	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 50% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 0% 100%	2021
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018 Motive % Maintain existing analytic line Support views of internal supervisor/management Support views of internal colleague Support views of external management Support views of government/policy official To support increase/decrease of resources for operational, military or USC Unintentional Unsure what the motive was	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 50% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 25%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 0% 100%	2021
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018 Motive  Maintain existing analytic line Support views of internal supervisor/management Support views of internal colleague Support views of external colleague Support views of external colleague Support views of government/policy official To support increase/decrease of resources for operational, military or USC Unintentional Unsure what the motive was Other	<b>2010</b>	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 50% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 25%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 0% 100%	2021
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018 Motive  Maintain existing analytic line Support views of internal supervisor/management Support views of internal colleague Support views of external colleague Support views of external management Support views of government/policy official To support increase/decrease of resources for operational, military or USC Unintentional Unsure what the motive was	<b>2010</b>	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 50% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 25%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 0% 100%	2021
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018 Motive % Maintain existing analytic line Support views of internal supervisor/management Support views of internal colleague Support views of external management Unsure what the motive was Other To suppress information deemed embarrassing to the Department, Agency	<b>2010</b>	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 50% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 25% 25%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 0% 100%	2021
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018 Motive % Maintain existing analytic line Support views of internal supervisor/management Support views of internal colleague Support views of external colleague Support views of external management Support views of government/policy official To support increase/decrease of resources for operational, military or USC Unintentional Unsure what the motive was Other To suppress information deemed embarrassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action\response	<b>2010</b>	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 50% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 25% 25% 0%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 0% 100%	2021
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018 Motive  Maintain existing analytic line Support views of internal supervisor/management Support views of internal colleague Support views of external colleague Support views of external management Support views of government/policy official To support increase/decrease of resources for operational, military or USC Unintentional Unsure what the motive was Other To suppress information deemed embarrassing to the Department, Agenc To prevent policymaker action\response	<b>2010</b>	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 50% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 25% 25% 0%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 0% 100%	2021
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018 Motive % Maintain existing analytic line Support views of internal supervisor/management Support views of internal colleague Support views of external colleague Support views of external management Support views of seternal management Support views of seternal management Support views of seternal management Support views of government/policy official To support increase/decrease of resources for operational, military or USC Unintentional Unsure what the motive was Other To suppress information deemed embarrassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action\response  Original response categories Motive % To support supervisor within your IC element	<b>2010</b> G ţ						<b>2016</b> 0%	<b>2017</b>	0% 50% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 25% 25% 0% 100%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 100%	2021 after FY18
Support views of governemnet/policy official(s) To support operational equities To suppress information deemed embarassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action or response on a topic Not sure what the motive was Other Total  New response categories in 2018 Motive  % Maintain existing analytic line Support views of internal supervisor/management Support views of internal colleague Support views of external management Support views of external management Support views of government/policy official To support increase/decrease of resources for operational, military or USC Unintentional Unsure what the motive was Other To suppress information deemed embarrassing to the Department, Agency To prevent policymaker action\response	<b>2010</b> G ţ						2016	2017	0% 50% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 25% 25% 0% 100%	0% 0% 25% 0% 0% 50% 100%	2021 after FY18

I am unsure what the motive was Inadvertent/unintended To avoid conflicting with an existing analytic line Other							54% 15% 23% 8%	0% 0% 0% 0%		NA after	FY17	
Question wording changed in 2022												
Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of dis	2010	or suppre 2011	ession of a 2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
No												50%
Yes												50%
												100%
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of die % No Yes	sortion and 2010	or suppre	ession of a 2012	nalysis? 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 80% 20% 1 100%	2022 NA after F
During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or su												
% No	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	<b>2016</b> 20%	<b>2017</b> 0%	<b>2018</b> 50%	<b>2019</b> 50%	2021	2022
Yes (Yes/Partially)							80%	0%	50%	50%	NA after	FY19
Total							100%	0%	100%	100%		
Question wording changed in 2022  Did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds?												
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Yes												100%
No Total												100%
Total												100%
Question wording changed in 2021												
Did you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Yes											0%	
No Table												NA after F
Total											100%	
New question added in 2019												
Did you go to your IC element's ombudsman for help?  %	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Yes	2010	2011		2010	2027	2020	2010	2027	2010	0%	NA after	
No Table										100%	NA ditei	F113
Total										100%		
Question wording changed in 2022												
Question responses changed in 2022 Why did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman?												
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Did not believe anything would change												0%
Did not know who to go to for help Concerns regarding retribution												0% 0%
Concerns regarding confidentiality												0%
Concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individu												0%
Time constraints/press of business I was dissuaded from seeking assistance												0% 0%
Did not know a process exists for addressing the matter												0%
Presumed others involved would seek assistance												09
Other Total												09
New question added in 2021 Why did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman?												
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Concerns regarding retribution											0%	
Concerns regarding confidentiality  Concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances of individual(s)											0% 0%	
Time constraints/press of businsess												NA after I
Kept the issue at the management level											0%	
Did not believe the analytic ombudsman would help Other											0% 100%	
Total											100%	
Question responses shapped in 2022												
Question responses changed in 2022 What is the primary reason you did not seek assistance?												
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Did not believe anything would change						· <u> </u>			· <u> </u>			100%
Did not know who to go to for help  Concerns regarding retirubtion												0% 0%
Concerns regarding confidentiality												0%
Concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individu												0%
Time constraints/press of business I was dissuaded from seeking assistance												0% 0%
Did not know a process exists for addressing the matter												0%

Total											
New response categories added to the question in 2021											
Question wording changed in 2021											
What is the primary reason you did not seek assistance?	2010	2011	2012	2012	2014	2015	2016	2017	2010	2019	2021
% Did not believe anything would change	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	75%
Did not know who to go to for help											0%
Concerns regading retirbution											0%
Concerns regarding confidentiality											0% N
Concerns regading rank, tenure, or other power imbalances of individual(s) i											25%
Time constraints/press of business											0%
Other Total											100%
Total											10070
New response categories added to the question in 2019											
Question wording changed in 2019  What is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the att	empted di	stortion or	suppression	n?							
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Fear of retribution										0%	
Concerns about the lack of confidentiality Did not believe anything would change										0% 50%	
Person in question was considered "senior" to me										0%	NA after
Didn't know who to go to for help										0%	
Other										50%	
Total										100%	
New response categories in 2018											
Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra		204-	20/-	2012	204 -	201-	2015	201-	2010	2040	202-
% Fear of retribution	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	<b>2018</b> 50%	2019	2021
Did not believe anyone would listen									50%		
Did not believe anything could change									0%		
Person in question considered "senior" to me									0%	NA a	after FY18
Didn't know who to go to for help									0%		
Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other									0% 0%		
Other									100%		
Why did you not cook assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (D	ank 21										
Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra %	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Fear of retribution	-					-	-	-	0%		
Did not believe anyone would listen									100%		
Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me									100% 0%	NA a	after FY18
Didn't know who to go to for help									0%	IVA d	
Didn't know about Ombudsman process									0%		
Other									0%		
									100%		
Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra	ank 3) 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017			
	2010	2011	2012			2012			2010	2010	2021
Fear of retribution				2013	2014		2020	2027	<b>2018</b> 0%	2019	2021
Did not believe anyone would listen				2013	2014		2020	2027		2019	2021
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change				2013	2014		2020		0% 0% 0%		
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me				2013	2014		2010		0% 0% 0% 50%		2021 after FY18
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help				2013	2014		2010	2027	0% 0% 0% 50% 0%		
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process				2013	2014		2020		0% 0% 0% 50% 0% 50%		
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help				2013	2014		2010		0% 0% 0% 50% 0%		
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other	ank 41			2013	2014				0% 0% 0% 50% 0% 50%		
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra	ank 4) 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 0% 100%		
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra		2011	2012						0% 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 0% 100%	NA a	after FY18
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra % Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen		2011	2012						0% 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 0% 100%	NA a	after FY18
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra  ### Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change		2011	2012						0% 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 0% 100%	NA a	2021
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra % Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me		2011	2012						0% 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 0% 100%	NA a	after FY18
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra  ### Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change		2011	2012						0% 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 100%	NA a	2021
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra % Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help		2011	2012						0% 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 0% 100% 100% 2018 100% 0% 0% 0%	NA a	2021
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra  Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process		2011	2012						0% 0% 0% 50% 50% 0% 100% 2018 100% 0% 0% 0%	NA a	2021
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra  # Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra	2010 ank 5)			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 100% 100%	2019 NA a	2021 after FY18
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra  Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra	2010	2011	2012						0% 0% 0% 50% 50% 50% 100% 100% 2018 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	NA a	2021
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra  # Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra	2010 ank 5)			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 100% 100%	2019 NA a	2021 after FY18
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Reference of the property of the process of the pr	2010 ank 5)			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 0% 0% 0% 50% 0% 100% 100% 2018 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	2019 NA a	2021 after FY18
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re  ### Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re  ###################################	2010 ank 5)			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 0% 0% 0% 50% 50% 100% 100% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100%	2019 NA a	2021 after FY18
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re % Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re % Fear of retribution Did not believe anything could change Person in duestion considered "senior" to me Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help	2010 ank 5)			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 0% 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 100%  2018 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100%	2019 NA a	2021 after FY18 2021
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra % Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra % Fear of retribution Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra % Pear of retribution Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process	2010 ank 5)			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 0% 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 100%  2018 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	2019 NA a	2021 after FY18 2021
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re % Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re % Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help	2010 ank 5)			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 0% 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 100%  2018 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100%	2019 NA a	2021 after FY18 2021
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra % Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra % Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other	2010 ank 5) 2010			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 0% 0% 50% 50% 50% 100% 100% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	2019 NA a	2021 after FY18 2021
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re % Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re % Fear of retribution Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re % Fear of retribution Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other	2010 ank 5) 2010			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 0% 0% 0% 50% 50% 50% 100% 100% 100% 0% 0% 0% 100% 10	2019 NA a	2021 after FY18 2021
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re % Fear of retribution Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re % Fear of retribution Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re % Fear of retribution Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re % Fear of retribution	2010  ank 5)  2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 0% 0% 0% 50% 0% 50% 100%  2018 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% 100%  2018 0% 0% 0% 100%	2019 NA a	2021 after FY18 2021 2021
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re % Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re % Fear of retribution Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other  Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Re % Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anyone would change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other	2010  ank 5)  2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 0% 0% 0% 50% 50% 50% 100% 100% 100% 0% 0% 0% 100% 10	2019 NA a	2021 after FY18 2021 2021

Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process									100%			
Other									0%			
									100%			
Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (I	Rank 7)											
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Fear of retribution									0%			
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change									0% 0%			
Person in question considered "senior" to me									0%	NA	after FY18	
Didn't know who to go to for help									0%			
Didn't know about Ombudsman process									0%			
Other									100%			
									10070			
New question added in 2021												
Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
% Yes	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	91%	939
No											9%	79
Total											100%	1009
New question added in 2021												
Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing conce	erns to ensu	ire analysis	s adheres t	ICD 203 p	rinciples?							
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Yes											85%	939
No Total											15% 100%	100
											100/0	100
New question added in 2022												
What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better pron	note analys 2010	2011	2012	203 princi 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	202.
Training on the Analytic Standards	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2010	2017	2016	2019	2021	289
Promote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address concern												149
Consistent and transparent product review and feedback practices												28
Consistent and transparent product coordination practices												0
Communication, guidance, and strategic messaging about the Analytic Stanc												3
Leadership commitment to preserve objective analysis independent from po Address resource-to-task imbalances												109
Other												79
Total												1009
New avertion added in 2022												
New question added in 2022  Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons	sibilities?											
New question added in 2022  Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons %	sibilities? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022.3
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	779
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	<b>77</b> 9
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	775 235
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	<b>77</b> 9
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	<b>77</b> 9
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	<b>77</b> 9
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman?	2010											779 239 1009
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? %	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	779 239 1009
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman?	2010											202 1009
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes	2010								<b>2018</b> 100%	<b>2019</b> 48%	<b>2021</b> 45%	202 1009
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No	2010								2018 100% 0%	<b>2019</b> 48% 52%	<b>2021</b> 45% 55%	202 1009
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total	2010								2018 100% 0%	<b>2019</b> 48% 52%	<b>2021</b> 45% 55%	202 1009
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No	2010								2018 100% 0%	<b>2019</b> 48% 52%	<b>2021</b> 45% 55%	202 1009
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022	2010								2018 100% 0%	<b>2019</b> 48% 52%	2021 45% 55% 100%	2022 1009 2020 1009
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 0% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100%	2021 45% 55% 100%	2022 1009 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 0% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100%	2021 45% 55% 100%	2022 1009 2020 1009 2022 2022 2022 2022
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 0% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100%	2021 45% 55% 100%	2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 0% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100%	2021 45% 55% 100%	2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 0% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100%	2021 45% 55% 100%	2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022 2022
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 0% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100%	2021 45% 55% 100%	775 235 1005 2022 1006 1005 1005 1005 1005 1005
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 0% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100%	2021 45% 55% 100%	775 23: 1005 2022 1006 05: 1005 2022.3:
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Do you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman? % Yes	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 0% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100%	2021 45% 55% 100%	2022 1009 0% 1009 1009 2022.33 729 288 1009
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and responsed with the second seco	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 0% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100%	2021 45% 55% 100%	2022.33 713 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009 200
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and responsed.  We so No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman?  We so No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  We yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?  We you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman?  New question added in 2022 Do you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman?  We yes No	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 0% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100%	2021 45% 55% 100%	2022.33 713 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009 200
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 0% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100%	2021 45% 55% 100%	2022 1009 2022 1009 1009 1009 1009 2022 2022
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Do you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman? % Yes No Total	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 0% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100%	2021 45% 55% 100%	2022.33 713 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009 2009 200
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Do you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman? % Yes No Total	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 0% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100%	2021 45% 55% 100%	775 2331 2009 2022 1009 000 1009 2022.3: 725 285 1000 2022.3: 715 295
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Do you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman? % Yes No Total	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 0% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100%	2021 45% 55% 100%	77: 233: 1009 2021: 1009 1009 2022.3: 72: 28: 1009 2022.3: 71: 29: 1009
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Do you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman? % Yes No Total  Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 In terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you	2010  2010  2010  2010	2011 2011	2012 2012 2012	2013 2013 2013	2014 2014 2014	2015 2015 2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 100% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100% 2019	2021 45% 55% 100% 2021	779 233 1009 2022 1009 2022 307 1009 2022 2022 319 209 1009 2022 319 2009 2022 319 2009
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  Output  New question added in 2022 Do you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman? % Yes No Total  Output  New question added in 2022 Do you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman? % Yes No Total  In terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you satisfactory A mixed performance	2010  2010  2010  2010	2011 2011	2012 2012 2012	2013 2013 2013	2014 2014 2014	2015 2015 2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 100% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100% 2019	2021 45% 55% 100% 2021 2021 2021 3% 9%	77: 23: 100: 100: 2022.3: 72: 28: 100: 100: 2022.3: 71: 29: 100: 2022.3: 71: 7: 7: 7: 7: 7: 7: 7: 7: 7: 7: 7: 7: 7:
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Do you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman? % Yes No Total  Ouestion wording changed in 2022 Do you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman? % Yes No Total  Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 In terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you satisfactory A mixed performance Unsatisfactory A mixed performance Unsatisfactory	2010  2010  2010  2010	2011 2011	2012 2012 2012	2013 2013 2013	2014 2014 2014	2015 2015 2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 100% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100% 2019	2021 45% 55% 100% 2021 2021 3% 9% 9% 3%	2022.33 779 239 1009 1009 2022.33 729 289 1009 2022.33 710 299 1009
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Do you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman? % Yes No Total  Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 In terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you % Satisfactory A mixed performance Unsatisfactory No opinion	2010  2010  2010  2010	2011 2011	2012 2012 2012	2013 2013 2013	2014 2014 2014	2015 2015 2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 100% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100% 2019	2021 45% 55% 100% 2021 2021 3% 9% 3% 84%	2022.33 1009 2022.33 1009 1009 2022.33 2022.33 1009 2022.33 207 207 207 207 207 207 207 207 207 207
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  Ouestion added in 2022 Do you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman? % Yes No Total  Question wording changed in 2022 In terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you satisfactory A mixed performance Unsatisfactory A mixed performance Unsatisfactory	2010  2010  2010  2010	2011 2011	2012 2012 2012	2013 2013 2013	2014 2014 2014	2015 2015 2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 100% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100% 2019	2021 45% 55% 100% 2021 2021 3% 9% 9% 3%	77' 23' 100' 100' 100' 100' 100' 2022.3. 72' 28' 100' 100' 2022.3. 71' 29' 100' 100' 2022.3. 71' 29' 100'
Are you aware of your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds role and respons % Yes No Total  New question added in 2018 Question wording changed in 2021 Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities? % Yes No Total  New question added in 2022 Do you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman? % Yes No Total  Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 In terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you % Satisfactory A mixed performance Unsatisfactory No opinion	2010  2010  2010  2010	2011 2011	2012 2012 2012	2013 2013 2013	2014 2014 2014	2015 2015 2015	2016	2017	2018 100% 100% 100%	2019 48% 52% 100% 2019	2021 45% 55% 100% 2021 2021 3% 9% 3% 84%	2022.3 1000 1000 1000 2022.3 72 28 1000 2022.3 73 74 77 70 0

%	2010	2011	2012	2013	ily Brief? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Time constraints											
Levels of review											
Threshold for publication											
Pressure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of a											
Pressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic											
Efforts to distort or suppress analysis due to considerations regarding the co											
Information sharing constraints, including compartmentalization											
Other											
Total											
Question wording changed in 2022											
New question added in 2021 In terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate yo	ur experien	nce with the	National I	ntelligenc	e Council o	er the pas	t year?				
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Satisfactory											15%
A mixed performance											9%
Unsatisfactory											3%
No opinion Total											73% 100%
Total											100%
New question added in 2022											
What was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles											
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Time constraints											
Levels of review											
Threshold for publication											
Pressure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of											
Pressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic											
Efforts to distort or suppress analysis due to considerations regarding the co											
Information sharing constraints, including compartmentalization											
Other Total											
Total											
Question wording changed in 2022											
New question added in 2021											
How many levels of review are involved in the analytic product type that yo	u typically 2010	prepare/su 2011	pport? 2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
One	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2010	2017	2010	2019	0%
2 - 3											55%
4-5											27%
More than 5											12%
Unsure											6%
Total											
Total											100%
Total											
New question added in 2021											
New question added in 2021 How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from produ			2012	2012	2014	2015	2016	2017	2010	2010	100%
New question added in 2021 How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from produ	uct review? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	100% 2021
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from produ  %  Always			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 33%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from production of the control of th			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 33% 27%
New question added in 2021 How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from production of the seedback from			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 33% 27% 12%
New question added in 2021 How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from production of the state of t			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 33% 27% 12% 12%
New question added in 2021 How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from produ % Always Frequently Sometimes Rarely Never			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 33% 27% 12% 12% 0%
New question added in 2021 How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from production of the state of t			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 33% 27% 12% 12%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from production of the control of th			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 33% 27% 12% 12% 0% 15%
New question added in 2021 How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from production of the producti			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 33% 27% 12% 12% 0% 15%
New question added in 2021 How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from production of the analytic	2010	2011	ically prep	are/suppo	rt?						2021 33% 27% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from production of the product	2010	2011				2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 33% 27% 12% 12% 0% 15%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product of the frequently for the frequently frequently frequently frequently frequently for the frequently freque	2010	2011	ically prep	are/suppo	rt?						2021 33% 27% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021 How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from products  Always Frequently Sometimes Rarely Never Not applicable to my work role Total  Question wording changed in 2022 Question responses changed in 2022 What is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic product  Difficulty generating attention to the product  Difficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter	2010	2011	ically prep	are/suppo	rt?						2021 33% 27% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021 How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from products  Always Frequently Sometimes Rarely Never Not applicable to my work role Total  Question wording changed in 2022 Question responses changed in 2022 Question responses changed in 2022 What is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic procured by the product polificulty generating attention to the product polificulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter Overlapping roles or "lanes in the road"	2010	2011	ically prep	are/suppo	rt?						2021 33% 27% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product of the content of the c	2010	2011	ically prep	are/suppo	rt?						2021 33% 27% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product of the frequently for the frequently for the frequently form of the frequently for the frequently frequ	2010	2011	ically prep	are/suppo	rt?						2021 33% 27% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021 How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product of the content of the co	2010	2011	ically prep	are/suppo	rt?						2021 33% 27% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from products  Always Frequently Sometimes Rarely Never Not applicable to my work role Total  Question wording changed in 2022 Question responses changed in 2022 What is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic products Difficulty generating attention to the product Difficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter Overlapping roles or "lanes in the road" Efforts to distort or suppress the analysis Adjudicating differering perspectives Pressure to reach consensus Information sharing constraints	2010	2011	ically prep	are/suppo	rt?						2021 33% 27% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from production of the production of the production of the production of the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic process. What is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic process. Overlapping roles or "lanes in the road"  Efforts to distort or suppress the analysis adjudicating differering perspectives Pressure to reach consensus Information sharing constraints Time constraints	2010	2011	ically prep	are/suppo	rt?						2021 33% 27% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from products  Always Frequently Sometimes Rarely Never Not applicable to my work role Total  Question wording changed in 2022 Question responses changed in 2022 What is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic products Difficulty generating attention to the product Difficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter Overlapping roles or "lanes in the road" Efforts to distort or suppress the analysis Adjudicating differering perspectives Pressure to reach consensus Information sharing constraints	2010	2011	ically prep	are/suppo	rt?						2021 33% 27% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from production of the production of the production of the production of the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic process. What is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic process. Overlapping roles or "lanes in the road"  Efforts to distort or suppress the analysis adjudicating differering perspectives Pressure to reach consensus Information sharing constraints Time constraints	2010	2011	ically prep	are/suppo	rt?						2021 33% 27% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product of the content of the c	2010	2011	ically prep	are/suppo	rt?						2021 33% 27% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021 How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product of the control of the co	2010	2011	ically prep	are/suppo	rt?						2021 33% 27% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product of the p	2010	2011	ically prep	are/suppo	rt?						2021 33% 27% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from products  Always Frequently Sometimes Rarely Never Not applicable to my work role Total  Question wording changed in 2022 Question responses changed in 2022 Question responses changed in 2022 What is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic product Difficulty generating attention to the product Difficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter Overlapping roles or "lanes in the road" Efforts to distort or suppress the analysis Adjudicating differering perspectives Pressure to reach consensus Information sharing constraints Time constraints Pressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements No challenges Not applicable to my work role Other Total	2010	2011	ically prep	are/suppo	rt?						2021 33% 27% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from production of the analytic process. A production of the analytic process of the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic process. Difficulty generating attention to the production of th	duct type th	hat you typ 2011	ically prep: 2012	are/suppo 2013	rt? 2014						2021 33% 27% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from products  Always Frequently Sometimes Rarely Never Not applicable to my work role Total  Question wording changed in 2022 Question responses changed in 2022 Question responses changed in 2022 What is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic product Difficulty generating attention to the product Difficulty generating attention to the product Difficulty government of language in the road" Efforts to distort or suppress the analysis Adjudicating differering perspectives Pressure to reach consensus Information sharing constraints Time constraints Pressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements No challenges Not applicable to my work role Other Total  New question added in 2021 When considering the analytic product type that you typically prepare, whan	duct type th	hat you typ 2011	ically prep: 2012	are/suppo 2013	rt? 2014						2021 33% 27% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from products  Always Frequently Sometimes Rarely Never Not applicable to my work role Total  Question wording changed in 2022 Question responses changed in 2022 Question responses changed in 2022 What is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic product Difficulty generating attention to the product Difficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter Overlapping roles or "lanes in the road" Efforts to distort or suppress the analysis Adjudicating differering perspectives Pressure to reach consensus Information sharing constraints Time constraints Pressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements No challenges Not applicable to my work role Other Total  New question added in 2021 When considering the analytic product type that you typically prepare, wha % Difficulty generating attention to the product	duct type the 2010	hat you typi 2011	ically prepi 2012	are/suppo 2013	rt? 2014 dination?	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 33% 27% 12% 12% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product of frequently for your have an opportunity to discuss feedback from product frequently.  Sometimes  Rarely  Never  Not applicable to my work role  Total  Question wording changed in 2022  Question responses changed in 2022  Question responses changed in 2022  What is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic product Difficulty generating attention to the product Difficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter Overlapping roles or "lanes in the road"  Efforts to distort or suppress the analysis  Adjudicating differering perspectives  Pressure to reach consensus Information sharing constraints  Time constraints  Pressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements  No challenges  Not applicable to my work role Other  Total  New question added in 2021  When considering the analytic product type that you typically prepare, what is the product Difficulty generating attention to the product Difficulty generating attention to the product Difficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter Difficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject matter.	duct type the 2010	hat you typi 2011	ically prepi 2012	are/suppo 2013	rt? 2014 dination?	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 33% 27% 12% 12% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from products  Always Frequently Sometimes Rarely Never Not applicable to my work role Total  Question wording changed in 2022 Question responses changed in 2022 Physical State of the state o	duct type the 2010	hat you typi 2011	ically prepi 2012	are/suppo 2013	rt? 2014 dination?	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 33% 27% 12% 12% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from products  Always Frequently Sometimes Rarely Never Not applicable to my work role Total  Question wording changed in 2022 Question responses changed in 2022 Question responses changed in 2022 Question responses changed in 2022 What is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic product point of the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic product point of the product point point of the product point of the product point of the product p	duct type the 2010	hat you typi 2011	ically prepi 2012	are/suppo 2013	rt? 2014 dination?	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 33% 27% 12% 12% 0% 15% 100%
New question added in 2021  How frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from products  Always Frequently Sometimes Rarely Never Not applicable to my work role Total  Question wording changed in 2022 Question responses changed in 2022 Physical State of the state o	duct type the 2010	hat you typi 2011	ically prepi 2012	are/suppo 2013	rt? 2014 dination?	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 33% 27% 12% 12% 15% 100%

	essure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements :her											10%
To	ntal											100%
	uestion wording changed in 2018					.,						
%	hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing analytic products, i	s considera 2010	tion of alte	rnative ex 2012	planations a 2013	and/or out 2014	2015	outine part 2016	of the ana 2017	ytic proces 2018	2019	2021
Ye	20	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2010	2017	2010	77%	77%
No											5%	19%
No	ot applicable to my work role										18%	3%
To	otal										100%	100%
Q	uestion wording changed in 2018											
	hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part											
% No		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	<b>2016</b> 42%	<b>2017</b> 27%	<b>2018</b> 42%	2019	2021
Ye								58%	73%	58%	NA	after FY1
	otal							100%	100%	100%		
Q	uestion responses changed in 2019											
	ow often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the	e published										
%		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
	ways equently										0% 26%	13% 50%
	metimes										53%	29%
	rely										11%	8%
	ever										11%	0%
To	tal										100%	100%
	uestion wording changed in 2018											
Но %	ow often are the results from your analsysis of alternatives included in dis	seminated 2010	products? 2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
	ways	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2010	0%	0%	2019	2021
	sually								36%	14%		
	metimes								45%	43%	NA	after FY1
	rely								9% 9%	43% 0%		
	ever otal								100%	100%		
No W	uestion responses changed in 2022 ew question added in 2021 hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations			_	_							
W %	ew question added in 2021 hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations	were exclu 2010	ided from ti	he publish	ed product? 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
W % Tii	ew question added in 2021 hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints			_	_		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
W % Tir	ew question added in 2021 hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations			_	_		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
W % Tii Pr Pe	thich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations  me constraints  oduct template constraints  crecived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments  crecived as not relevant or did not add value to the product			_	_		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
W % Tin Pr Pe Pe	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints received to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments received as not relevant or did not add value to the product received that the consumer would be averse to alternatives			_	_		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
W % Tii Pr Pe Pe Ot	thich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations  me constraints  oduct template constraints  crecived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments  crecived as not relevant or did not add value to the product			_	_		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
W % Tii Pr Pe Pe Ot	thich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints received to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments received as not relevant or did not add value to the product received that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther			_	_		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
W % Tii Pr Pe Pe Ot	thich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints received to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments received as not relevant or did not add value to the product received that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther			_	_		2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Www.	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints orceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments received as not relevant or did not add value to the product received that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther	2010 were exclu	2011	2012	2013	2014						
We will be with the will be with the will be with the will be with the will be will be with the will be will be with the will be willi	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints order template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives there that the consumer would be averse to alternatives that the consumer would be averse to alternatives that the consumer would be averse to alternative the consumer would be averse to alternative that the consumer would be averse to alternative the consumer would be ave	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
New Water New Year Ne	me question added in 2021 hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints received to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments received as not relevant or did not add value to the product received that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther tal	2010 were exclu	2011	2012	2013	2014						
No W % State of the state of th	me question added in 2021 hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints erceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther total  aw question added in 2021 hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product	2010 were exclu	2011	2012	2013	2014						2021 0% 0% 50%
New WW % % Tiii Pre Pee Pee Otto	me constraints oduct template constraints orceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments precived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives precived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives precived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives precived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives precived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives precived and the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer	2010 were exclu	2011	2012	2013	2014						2021 0% 0% 50% 50%
No.  WW  %  Tiii  Pr  Pe  Pe  Pe  Ot  Tc  No.  W  %  Tiit  Pr  It  It  It	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints orceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments precived to undermine confidence on the product precived as not relevant or did not add value to the product precived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther tail  aw question added in 2021 hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives	2010 were exclu	2011	2012	2013	2014						2021 0% 50% 50% 0%
No.  WW  %  Tiii  Pr  Pe  Pe  Pe  Ot  Tc  No.  W  %  Tiit  Pr  It  It  It	me constraints oduct template constraints orceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments precived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives precived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives precived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives precived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives precived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives precived and the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer	2010 were exclu	2011	2012	2013	2014						2021 0% 0% 50% 50%
New Way Manager Manage	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints oduct template constraints orceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments proceived to not relevant or did not add value to the product proceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product proceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives there the product proceived that the consumer would be averse to alternative considerations and the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tal	2010 were exclu	2011	2012	2013	2014						2021 0% 50% 50% 0%
No W % % % % Tiir Pre Pre Pre Pre Pre Pre Pre Pre Pre Pr	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints ordeved to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments precived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments precived as not relevant or did not add value to the product precived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther tail  aw question added in 2021 hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tail	were exclu	2011 ided from ti 2011	2012 he publish	2013  ed product? 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017			2021 0% 50% 50% 0%
No W % % % % Tiir Pre Pre Pre Pre Pre Pre Pre Pre Pre Pr	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints serceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments serceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product serceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives there is a serceived that the product serceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives there is a serceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives there is a serceived that the consumer would be averse to alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives it allows a serceived and the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion added in 2022.  The primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analytic template in the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analytic template constraints.	were exclu	2011 ided from ti 2011	2012 he publish	ed product?	2014	2015	2016	2017			2021 0% 50% 50% 0%
No W W % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints oduct template constraints of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations received to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments received to an or relevant or did not add value to the product received that the consumer would be averse to alternatives ther of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives that is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analytic essure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic	were exclu	2011  ided from ti 2011	2012  the publish 2012	ed product? 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016 typically p	2017	2018	2019	2021 0% 0% 50% 50% 0%
No W W % M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints serceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives there that the consumer would be averse to alternatives the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives that is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analytic essure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic erception that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing view	were exclu	2011  ided from ti 2011	2012  the publish 2012	ed product? 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016 typically p	2017	2018	2019	2021 0% 0% 50% 50% 0%
No W W % M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints serceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives there is tall the product of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analytic essure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic erception that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing view creeption that differing views undermine the main analytic judgement	were exclu	2011  ided from ti 2011	2012  the publish 2012	ed product? 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016 typically p	2017	2018	2019	2021 0% 0% 50% 50% 0%
No W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints serceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives there that the consumer would be averse to alternatives the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives that is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analytic essure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic erception that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing view	were exclu	2011  ided from ti 2011	2012  the publish 2012	ed product? 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016 typically p	2017	2018	2019	2021 0% 0% 50% 50% 0%
No W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W W	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints oduct template constraints received to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments received to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments received to an or relevant or did not add value to the product proceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives there that the consumer would be averse to alternatives the product proceived that the consumer would be averse to alternative considerations are constraints oduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives that the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analytic essure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic reception that differing views undermine the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus	were exclu	2011  ided from ti 2011	2012  the publish 2012	ed product? 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016 typically p	2017	2018	2019	2021 0% 0% 50% 50% 0%
No. WW. School Process of the second	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints received to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments proceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments proceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives the consumer would be averse to alternatives the consumer would be averse to alternative considerations me constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives that is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analytic execution that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing view reception that differing views undermine the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus fificulty adjudicating conflicting input bilicies delineating lead, collaboration, and coordination roles formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization	were exclu	2011  ided from ti 2011	2012  the publish 2012	ed product? 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016 typically p	2017	2018	2019	2021 0% 0% 50% 50% 0%
No W W % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints oduct template constraints orceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments proceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments proceived to the product proceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives the product proceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives the product proceived that the consumer would be averse to alternative considerations thich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations are constraints oduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives that is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analytic essure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic proception that differing views undermine the main analytic judgment essure to reach consensus fficulty adjudicating conflicting input of challenges or chal	were exclu	2011  ided from ti 2011	2012  the publish 2012	ed product? 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016 typically p	2017	2018	2019	2021 0% 0% 50% 50% 0%
No. WW. WW. WW. WW. WW. WW. WW. WW. WW. W	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints received to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments proceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments proceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives the consumer would be averse to alternatives the consumer would be averse to alternative considerations me constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives that is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analytic execution that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing view reception that differing views undermine the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus fificulty adjudicating conflicting input bilicies delineating lead, collaboration, and coordination roles formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization	were exclu	2011  ided from ti 2011	2012  the publish 2012	ed product? 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016 typically p	2017	2018	2019	2021 0% 0% 50% 50% 0%
No W W % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints orceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments erceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product erceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives there to tall the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product exact the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall exact the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analytic essure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic erception that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing view erception that differing views undermine the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus efficulty adjudicating conflicting input solicies delineating lead, collaboration, and coordination roles formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization to challenges at applicable to my work role	were exclu	2011  ided from ti 2011	2012  the publish 2012	ed product? 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016 typically p	2017	2018	2019	2021 0% 0% 50% 50% 0%
No W W % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints serceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments serceived to a not relevant or did not add value to the product serceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives there is tall the service of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall was expected the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall was expected the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall was expected the customer is averse to products conveying differing view expected in that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing view expected that differing views undermine the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus friculty adjudicating conflicting input blicies delineating lead, collaboration, and coordination roles formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization that applicable to my work role there.	were exclu	2011  ided from ti 2011	2012  the publish 2012	ed product? 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016 typically p	2017	2018	2019	2021 0% 0% 50% 50% 0%
No W W % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints serceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments serceived to a not relevant or did not add value to the product serceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives there is tall the service of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall was expected the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall was expected the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall was expected the customer is averse to products conveying differing view expected in that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing view expected that differing views undermine the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus friculty adjudicating conflicting input blicies delineating lead, collaboration, and coordination roles formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization that applicable to my work role there.	were exclu	2011  ided from ti 2011	2012  the publish 2012	ed product? 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016 typically p	2017	2018	2019	2021 0% 0% 50% 50% 0%
No WW %6 Time It	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints serceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments serceived to a not relevant or did not add value to the product serceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives there is tall the service of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall was expected the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall was expected the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall was expected the customer is averse to products conveying differing view expected in that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing view expected that differing views undermine the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus friculty adjudicating conflicting input blicies delineating lead, collaboration, and coordination roles formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization that applicable to my work role there.	were exclu	2011  ided from ti 2011	2012  the publish 2012	ed product? 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016 typically p	2017	2018	2019	2021 0% 0% 50% 50% 0%
No. Otto	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints serceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments serceived to a not relevant or did not add value to the product serceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives there is tall the server of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall and the server of the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives tall are to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic exception that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing view exception that differing views undermine the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus friculty adjudicating conflicting input blicies delineating lead, collaboration, and coordination roles formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization or challenges to applicable to my work role there is a verse of the custom of the products conveying differences in analytic stall all the products conveying differences in analytic session of the products conveying differing view or contains the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus from the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus from the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus from the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus from the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus from the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus from the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus from the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus from the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus from the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus from the main analytic judgement essure to reach consensus from the main analytic judgement essure to main analytic judgement essure to main	were exclu 2010	2011  ided from ti 2011	2012  the publish 2012	ed product? 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016 typically p	2017	2018	2019	2021 0% 0% 50% 50% 0% 100%
No. Otto	hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints oduct template constraints received to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments received to an or relevant or did not add value to the product received that the consumer would be averse to alternatives there is tall a sew question added in 2021 hich of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerations me constraints was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product was discouraged or removed by reviewer was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives stall a sew question added in 2022 hat is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analytic reception that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing view reception that differing views undermine the main analytic judgmennt essure to reach consensus fficulty adjudicating conflicting input licities delineating lead, collaboration, and coordination roles formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization or challenges of applicable to my work role there.	were exclu 2010	2011  ided from ti 2011	2012  the publish 2012	ed product? 2013	2014 2014	2015	2016 typically p	2017	2018	2019	2021 0% 0% 50% 50% 0% 100%

Total											55% 100%	
											10070	
Question not asked in 2022												
New question added in 2021												
In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related in	telligence analysi:	s?										
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2
Yes											12%	NA af
No											88%	VA ai
Total											100%	
Question not asked in 2022												
New question added in 2021												
In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence	ence analysis?											
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	- 2
Yes											7%	NA at
No											93%	
Total											100%	
Question not asked in 2022												
New question added in 2021												
When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/					was the pr	imary Ana	lytic Standa	ard Violate				
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	
Objective											0%	
Independent of political considerations											40%	
Timely											0%	NA a
Based on all available sources of intelligence information											40%	
Implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards											20%	
Other											0%	
Total											100%	
Question not asked in 2022												
Question not asked in 2022  Question wording changed in 2021												
Question wording changed in 2021 Was the assistance effective in resolving the issue?												
Question wording changed in 2021 Was the assistance effective in resolving the issue? %	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	
Question wording changed in 2021 Was the assistance effective in resolving the issue? % No	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	100%	NA a
Question wording changed in 2021 Was the assistance effective in resolving the issue? %	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	100%	NA a