Total Population 1,007

estion wording changed in 2021												
nich of the following best describes your work role?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
alyst	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2010	2017	2010	105	78	96
nager of analysts										14	13	8
alytic enabler										12	9	15
ner cal										5 136	103	123
DE										7.82%	9.15%	8.28%
										7.0270	3.1370	0.2070
ginal response categories												
nat is your work role?												
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
nager of analysts alyst	63 278	35 279	37 183	15 179	32 269	21 125	4 29	20 95	11 85	NA	after FY18	
ner (<i>new in 2017</i>)	276	2/3	103	1/3	203	123	23	23	10	IVA	aitei Fi 10	
ral	341	314	220	194	301	146	33	138	106			
DE	4.32%	4.59%	5.84%	6.32%	4.73%	7.50%	16.78%	7.75%	9.00%			
estion wording changed in 2021												
w long have you been involved in analytic work in the IC?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
s than 5 years	196	178	101	99	118	38	2010	2017	18	36	37	37
9 years	45	55	38	39	68	35	4	37	26	15	12	16
- 19 years	100	81	71	56	108	73	16	35	39	52	35	46
years or more							3	36	28	33	20	25
ral	341	314	210	194	294	146	25	137	111	136	104	124
estion wording changed in 2021												
estion wording changed in 2021												
the past year, have you experienced distortion and/or suppression of	analysis in viol	ation of IC	D 203?									
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
	·										6	12
al											90 96	101 113
ai DE											9.51%	8.69%
w response categories added to the question in 2019												
ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or	suppress anal	ysis on wh	ich you we	re working	in the face	of persua	sive eviden	ce which su	pported yo	our origina	l assessmer	ıt?
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
5										15	A -6 FV4	0
i, I suspect but am not sure it has occurred										101	A after FY1	9
al										122		
DE										8.32%		
ginal response categories												
estion wording changed in 2018												
						2015	2016	2017	2010	2010	2021	2022
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or	2010 321	2011 286	2012 192	2013 172	2014 256	106	26	102	79		2021 after FY18	2022
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014							2022
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or	2010 321 20	2011 286 27	2012 192 18	2013 172 22	2014 256 22	106 20	26 4	102 11	79 13			2022
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or i al	2010 321 20 341	2011 286 27 313	2012 192 18 210	2013 172 22 194	2014 256 22 278	106 20 126	26 4 30	102 11 113	79 13 92			2022
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or al DE estion wording changed in 2021	2010 321 20 341	2011 286 27 313	2012 192 18 210	2013 172 22 194	2014 256 22 278	106 20 126	26 4 30	102 11 113	79 13 92			2022
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or al BE estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021	2010 321 20 341	2011 286 27 313	2012 192 18 210	2013 172 22 194	2014 256 22 278	106 20 126	26 4 30	102 11 113	79 13 92			2022
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or al DE estion wording changed in 2021	2010 321 20 341 4.32%	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 18 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	NA	after FY18	
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or i al be estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis?	2010 321 20 341	2011 286 27 313	2012 192 18 210	2013 172 22 194	2014 256 22 278	106 20 126	26 4 30	102 11 113	79 13 92		2021	2022
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or is all ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or is all ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or is all ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or ibit costs of the past year, do you believe that year, do you	2010 321 20 341 4.32%	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 18 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	NA	after FY18	2022
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or al BE estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021	2010 321 20 341 4.32%	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 18 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	NA	2021 0	2022 0
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or al al be estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis? instantly (daily) quently (weekly or monthly)	2010 321 20 341 4.32%	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 18 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	NA	2021 0	2022 0 4
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or all be estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis? Instantly (daily) quently (weekly or monthly) casionally (more than twice but less than monthly) elely (Once or twice) al	2010 321 20 341 4.32%	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 18 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	NA	2021 0 1 2 3 6	2022 0 4 4 4 12
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or all be estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis? Instantly (daily) quently (weekly or monthly) casionally (more than twice but less than monthly) elely (Once or twice) al	2010 321 20 341 4.32%	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 18 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	NA	2021 0 1 2 3 6	2022 0 4 4 4
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or is all DE estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis? instantly (daily) quently (weekly or monthly) casionally (more than twice but less than monthly) ely (Once or twice) al	2010 321 20 341 4.32%	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 18 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	NA	2021 0 1 2 3 6	2022 0 4 4 4 12
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or is al ible estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis? Instantly (daily) quently (weekly or monthly) casionally (more than twice but less than monthly) rely (Once or twice) al ible w response categories added to the question in 2019	2010 321 20 341 4.32%	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 18 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	NA	2021 0 1 2 3 6	2022 0 4 4 4 12
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or is all is estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis? instantly (daily) quently (weekly or monthly) casionally (more than twice but less than monthly) rely (Once or twice) al is the property of the prop	2010 321 20 341 4.32%	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 18 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	NA	2021 0 1 2 3 6	2022 0 4 4 4 12
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or is al ible estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis? Instantly (daily) quently (weekly or monthly) casionally (more than twice but less than monthly) rely (Once or twice) al ible w response categories added to the question in 2019	2010 321 20 341 4.32% 2010	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 188 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	2019	2021 0 1 2 3 6 39.89%	2022 0 4 4 12 28.12%
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or is all DE estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis? Instantly (daily) quently (weekly or monthly) casionally (more than twice but less than monthly) rely (Once or twice) all DE w response categories added to the question in 2019 estion wording changed in 2019 er the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your part of the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of year year how often did you face distortion or suppression of year year how often did you face distortion or suppression of year year how often did you face distortion or suppression of year year how often did you face distortion or suppression of year year year year year year year year	2010 321 20 341 4.32%	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 18 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	2019 2019	2021 0 1 2 3 6	2022 0 4 4 4 12
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or is all is estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis? instantly (daily) quently (weekly or monthly) casionally (more than twice but less than monthly) rely (Once or twice) al is the property of the prop	2010 321 20 341 4.32% 2010	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 188 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	2019	2021 0 1 2 3 6 39.89%	2022 0 4 4 12 28.12%
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or is all is all estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis? instantly (daily) quently (weekly or monthly) casionally (more than twice but less than monthly) ely (Once or twice) all is all	2010 321 20 341 4.32% 2010	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 188 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	2019 2019 3	2021 0 1 2 3 6 39.89%	2022 0 4 4 4 12 28.12%
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or is all is all is estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis? instantly (daily) quently (weekly or monthly) casionally (more than twice but less than monthly) rely (Once or twice) all is estion wording changed in 2019 er the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your adulty or monthly basis	2010 321 20 341 4.32% 2010	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 188 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	2019 2019 3 1	2021 0 1 2 2 3 6 39.89%	2022 0 4 4 4 12 28.12%
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or is all is all is estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis? instantly (daily) quently (weekly or monthly) casionally (more than twice but less than monthly) ely (Once or twice) all is the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your adaily or monthly basis a monthly basis a monthly basis reral times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) 3 times ce	2010 321 20 341 4.32% 2010	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 188 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	2019 2019 3 1 5 6 6	2021 0 1 2 2 3 6 39.89%	2022 0 4 4 4 12 28.12%
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or is all it is all	2010 321 20 341 4.32% 2010	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 188 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	2019 3 1 5 6 6 6 21	2021 0 1 2 2 3 6 39.89%	2022 0 4 4 4 12 28.12%
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or is all is all is estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis? instantly (daily) quently (weekly or monthly) casionally (more than twice but less than monthly) ely (Once or twice) all is the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your adaily or monthly basis a monthly basis a monthly basis reral times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) 3 times ce	2010 321 20 341 4.32% 2010	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 188 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	2019 2019 3 1 5 6 6	2021 0 1 2 2 3 6 39.89%	2022 0 4 4 4 12 28.12%
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or it all it all it all it all it is al	2010 321 20 341 4.32% 2010	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 188 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	2019 3 1 5 6 6 6 21	2021 0 1 2 2 3 6 39.89%	2022 0 4 4 4 12 28.12%
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or al DE estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis? Instantly (daily) quently (weekly or monthly) casionally (more than twice but less than monthly) ely (Once or twice) al DE w response categories added to the question in 2019 estion wording changed in 2019 er the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of you a daily or monthly basis a monthly basis a monthly basis creal times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) 3 times the the the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your did in the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your did in the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your did in the year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your did in the year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your did in the year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your did in the year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your did in the year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your did you face distortion or your did you face dis	2010 321 20 341 4.32% 2010	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 188 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	2019 3 1 5 6 6 6 21	2021 0 1 2 2 3 6 39.89%	2022 0 4 4 4 12 28.12%
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or is all it is all	2010 321 20 341 4.32% 2010 2010	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 18 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17% 2015	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74% 2018	2019 3 1 5 6 6 21 21.16%	2021 0 1 2 3 6 39.89%	2022 0 4 4 4 12 28.12%
estion wording changed in 2018 fring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or al be estion wording changed in 2021 estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis? estantly (daily) quently (weekly or monthly) casionally (more than twice but less than monthly) ely (Once or twice) al be w response categories added to the question in 2019 estion wording changed in 2019 er the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of you a daily or monthly basis areal times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) 3 times ce al be w response categories in 2018 w often do you face distortion/suppression of your analysis?	2010 321 20 341 4.32% 2010	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 188 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17%	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74%	2019 3 1 5 6 6 6 21	2021 0 1 2 2 3 6 39.89%	2022 0 4 4 4 12 28.12%
al lestion wording changed in 2018 al lestion wording changed in 2021 estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis? estantly (daily) quently (weekly or monthly) assionally (more than twice but less than monthly) ely (Once or twice) al estion wording changed in 2019 er the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your analysis eral times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) al times eral times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) a times eral times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) ere the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your analysis? w response categories in 2018 w response categories in 2018 w often do you face distortion/suppression of your analysis?	2010 321 20 341 4.32% 2010 2010	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 18 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17% 2015	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74% 2018	2019 2019 3 1 5 6 21 21.16%	2021 0 1 2 3 6 39.89% 2021	2022 0 4 4 4 12 28.12%
estion wording changed in 2018 ring the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or al DE estion wording changed in 2021 estion responses changed in 2021 w often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analysis? Instantly (daily) quently (weekly or monthly) casionally (more than twice but less than monthly) ely (Once or twice) al DE w response categories added to the question in 2019 estion wording changed in 2019 er the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of you a daily or monthly basis a monthly basis a monthly basis creal times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) 3 times the the the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your did the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your did the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your did the your did t	2010 321 20 341 4.32% 2010 2010	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 18 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17% 2015	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74% 2018	2019 2019 3 1 5 6 21 21.16%	2021 0 1 2 3 6 39.89%	2022 0 4 4 4 12 28.12%
ing the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or in the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort or it is to be a daily or monthly basis a monthly basis are attempted to the times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) times a year (less than 12 bu	2010 321 20 341 4.32% 2010 2010	2011 286 27 313 4.60%	2012 192 18 210 6.02%	2013 172 22 194 6.32%	2014 256 22 278 5.00%	106 20 126 8.17% 2015	26 4 30 17.62%	102 11 113 8.69%	79 13 92 9.74% 2018 2018	2019 2019 3 1 5 6 21 21.16%	2021 0 1 2 3 6 39.89% 2021	2022 0 4 4 4 12 28.12%

How many times has someone attempted to distort or suppress analysis	on which you	were work	ing in the f	ace of ners	uasive evid	ence?						
l	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Once	9	8	7	12	3	10	1					
Twice	2	10	1	3	7	4	1		NΔ	after FY17		
Three times	4	3	5	0	4	1	0		IVA	aiter F117		
More than three times	5	6	5	7	8	5	2					
Total	20	27	18	22	22	20	4					
New question added in 2022 When considering your most signficant expeirence with distortion and/or	cumproccion	of analysis	which An	alutic Stane	ard was pri	imarily via	latad?					
7	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Analytic Standard A - Objective						2015	2020	2027	2020			2
Analytic Standard B - Independent of political consideration												5
Analytic Standard C - Timely												3
Analytic Standard D - Based on all available sources of intelligence												
information												2
Anatlyic Standard E - Implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft												
Standards												0
Other												0
Total OBJ												12
New question added in 2022												
When considering your most significant experience with distortion and/o												
n Adistributed to the second of the line	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
A dictated/predetermined analytic line												3
A changed analytic line absent sufficient sourcing or argumentation												_
Distanted as a second independent of the control of												2
Distorted or suppressed judgements, sourcing, uncertainty, assumptions,												1
or confidence language Distorted or suppressed analysis of alternativess or dissent												1
Discouraged analysis/production on a particular topic absent sufficient												1
reasoning												2
Delayed, shelved, or recalled analysis absent sufficient reasoning												2
Distorted or suppressed input to, or comments on, a product												0
Information to which I did not have access changed the analysis												0
Analysis mischaracterized in conveyance to a consumer												0
A deliberately distorted product classification												0
Other												1
Total OBJ												12
Question wording changed in 2021												
Question responses changed in 2021												
When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or s												
n	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
My product was not published											0	
The product was deliberately delayed											2	
I was discouraged from writing a product											1	
The anlytic line was dictated to me											2	
The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification,												
argumentation, and/or sourcing											0	
Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or											N	A after F
removed											0	
A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer											U	
An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the											0	
assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed											0	
comments of dissent on a product were distorted of suppressed											1	
Other											0	
Total OBJ											6	
<mark>New question added in 2019</mark> When considering the most signficant instance of distortion or suppressic	n what form	adid it taka	3									
when considering the <u>most significant instance</u> of distortion of suppression	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
My product was not published										5		
was discouraged from writing a product										4		
My analytic line was dictated to me										6		
The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during												
review/coordination										1		
Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my												
product were changed during review/coordination										1	NA after	FY19
A briefer either suppressed or misrepresented the analysis										0		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										0		
A briefer either suppressed or misrepresented the analysis An internal agency senior changed, deleted, or added minor analytic points and/or supporting evidence to brief other officials										0		

points and/or supporting evidence to brief other officials										1			
My comments or dissent on a product were suppressed or distorted													
										2			
Other										1			
Total OBJ										21			
Question wording changed in 2021													
Question responses changed in 2021													
What feedback or explanation did you receive on this matter?													
n	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022	
I did not receive feedback or explanation												2	
											1	3	
The analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication											0	0	
The analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication The anlysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards											0	0	
											0	0	
											0 0 2	0 1 0	
The anlysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards											0 0 2	1	
The anlysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards Dissent or alterantive analysis was not accepted											0 0 2	1	
The anlysis did not meet one or more of the analytic tradecraft standards Dissent or alterantive analysis was not accepted											0 0 2	1	

											1	0
ntent was changed or deleted because it was too long, complicated, or chical											0	0
ormation to which I do not have access changed the analysis e assessment was policy prescriptive											0	0
ner tal OBJ											6	5 12
w question added in 2019												
at was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow												
ras told my product did not meet threshold and/or was not a high enoug	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
iority for publication										2		
vas told my logical argumentation was flawed or not convincing vas told the sourcing I relied on was not reliable, complete, or persuasive										4		
nough										2		
vas told that dissent was not accepted in this instance because it did not late to the main analytic line										0		
was told that concurrence from a particular agency or office was required or publication										0		
vas told that my analysis was changed or deleted so that the product												
ould better meet customer needs was told additional/special compartmented information (which I do not										1		
ave access to) refuted or changed my analysis											A after FY1	.9
was told my assessment was policy prescriptive was told that my product did not meet appropriate tradecraft standards										0		
										0		
was told that my analysis was changed or deleted in response to olicymaker sensitivities										0		
was told that my analysis was changed in response to differing views om the field										0		
was told that my analysis was too complicated or technical and has to be												
mplified to the point that it was misleading did not receive any feedback or explanation as to why my product was										0		
istorted or suppressed										4		
ther otal OBJ										7 21		
uestion responses changed in 2022												
tho was the primary source of your most significant experience with distort												
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
lanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD												3
eviewer within my IC element/CCMD												2
enior executive/military officer within my IC element/CCMD ntelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD												3
stelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.)												0
ther otal OBJ												3 12
and a second second second second												
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021												
/no was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortio					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
	2010	ppression 2011	of analysis 2012	? 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD flanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 1	2022
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD flanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1	2022
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD flanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC elmement/CCMD ttelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 1 0 0	2022 A after F
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD flanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC elmement/CCMD ttelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 1 0 0	
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD Ianager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC elmement/CCMD ttelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD ttelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) S Government official outisde of the IC					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 1 0 0 1 N	
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD lanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC elmement/CCMD itelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD itelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) S Government official outisde of the IC ther					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 1 0 0 1 N	
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD lanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC elmement/CCMD telligence professional from another IC element/CCMD telligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) S Government official outisde of the IC ther					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 1 0 0 1 N	
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD lanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC element/CCMD senior executive within my IC element/CCMD stelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD stelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) S Government official outisde of the IC ther otal OBJ ew response categories added to the question in 2019 burce	2010	2011	2012	2013							1 1 0 0 1 N 0 1 2 6	A after F
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD lanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC element/CCMD telligence professional from another IC element/CCMD telligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) S Government official outisde of the IC ther otal OBJ					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 1 0 0 1 N	
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD lanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC element/CCMD telligence professional from another IC element/CCMD telligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) S Government official outisde of the IC ther etal OBJ ew response categories added to the question in 2019 purce ternal colleague rst-level manager	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 2 3	1 1 0 0 1 N 0 1 2 6	A after F
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD lanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC element/CCMD stelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD tetelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) S Government official outisde of the IC ther ther tal OBJ ew response categories added to the question in 2019 purce ternal colleague rst-level manager lid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 2 3 3	1 1 0 0 1 N 0 1 2 6	A after F
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD lanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC element/CCMD telligence professional from another IC element/CCMD telligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) S Government official outisde of the IC ther otal OBJ ew response categories added to the question in 2019 burce ternal colleague rst-level manager lid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element on-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs)	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 2 3	1 1 0 0 1 N 0 1 2 6	A after F
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD anager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC element/CCMD telligence professional from another IC element/CCMD telligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) S Government official outisde of the IC ther total OBJ ew response categories added to the question in 2019 purce ternal colleague rst-level manager id-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element on-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) on-analyst senior within your IC element senior leadership	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 2 3 3 3 3 2 4	1 1 0 0 1 N 0 1 2 6	A after F
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD lanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC element/CCMD titelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD titelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) S Government official outisde of the IC ther ther tal OBJ ew response categories added to the question in 2019 burce ternal colleague rst-level manager lid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element on-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) on-analyst senior within your IC element senior leadership kternal IC colleague or supervisor	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 2 3 3 3 2 4 0	1 1 0 0 1 N 0 1 2 6	A after F
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD lanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC element/CCMD tetelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD tetelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) S Government official outisde of the IC ther that OBJ ew response categories added to the question in 2019 burce teternal colleague rst-level manager lid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element on-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) on-analyst senior within your IC element 'senior leadership 'teternal IC colleague or supervisor NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.)	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 2 3 3 3 3 2 4	1 1 0 0 1 N 0 1 2 6	A after F
halyst within my IC element/CCMD anager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD halve were within my IC element/CCMD telligence professional from another IC element/CCMD telligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) 5. Government official outisde of the IC ther tal OBJ ew response categories added to the question in 2019 burce ternal colleague rst-level manager id-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element on-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) on-analyst senior within your IC element senior leadership ternal IC colleague or supervisor NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) 5. Government official outside the IC littor or senior reviewer of an IC publication	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 2 3 3 3 2 4 0 1 0	1 1 0 0 1 N 0 1 2 6	A after F
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD lanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC element/CCMD telligence professional from another IC element/CCMD telligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) S Government official outisde of the IC ther otal OBJ ew response categories added to the question in 2019 ource ternal colleague rest-level manager iid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element on-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) on-analyst senior within your IC element senior leadership ternal IC colleague or supervisor NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) S government official outside the IC littor or senior reviewer of an IC publication ther	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 2 3 3 3 2 4 0 1	1 1 0 0 1 N 0 1 2 6	A after F
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD lanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC element/CCMD telligence professional from another IC element/CCMD telligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) S Government official outisde of the IC ther otal OBJ ew response categories added to the question in 2019 purce ternal colleague rst-level manager id-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element on-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) on-analyst senior within your IC element senior leadership cternal IC colleague or supervisor NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) S government official outside the IC littor or senior reviewer of an IC publication ther	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 2 3 3 3 2 4 0 1 0 1 2	1 1 0 0 1 N 0 1 2 6	A after F
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD lanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC element/CCMD telligence professional from another IC element/CCMD telligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) S Government official outisde of the IC ther tal OBJ ew response categories added to the question in 2019 burce ternal colleague rst-level manager id-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element on-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) on-analyst senior within your IC element senior leadership sternal IC colleague or supervisor NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) S government official outside the IC ditor or senior reviewer of an IC publication ther tal OBJ	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 2 3 3 3 2 4 0 1 0 1 2	1 1 0 0 1 N 0 1 2 6	A after F
nalyst within my IC element/CCMD anager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC element/CCMD telligence professional from another IC element/CCMD telligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) S Government official outisde of the IC ther total OBJ ew response categories added to the question in 2019 burce ternal colleague or supervisor within your IC element on-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) on-analyst senior within your IC element senior leadership teternal IC colleague or supervisor NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) S government official outside the IC littor or senior reviewer of an IC publication ther tal OBJ	2010	2011	2012	2013					2018	2019 2 3 3 3 2 4 0 1 0 1 2	1 1 0 0 1 N 0 1 2 6	A after F
halyst within my IC element/CCMD anager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD telligence professional from another IC element/CCMD telligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) 5 Government official outisde of the IC ther tatal OBJ ew response categories added to the question in 2019 burce ternal colleague rst-level manager id-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element on-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) on-analyst senior within your IC element senior leadership ternal IC colleague or supervisor NI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) 5 government official outside the IC littor or senior reviewer of an IC publication ther total OBJ ew response categories in 2018 burce ternal colleague	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019 2 3 3 3 2 4 0 1 0 1 2 21	1 1 0 0 1 N 1 2 6	2022 FY19
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortio Inalyst within my IC element/CCMD Ananger/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Ananger/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Ananger/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Antelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD Antelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) AND	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 2018	2019 2 3 3 3 2 4 0 1 0 1 2 21	1 1 0 0 1 N 1 2 6	2022 FY19

on-supervisory reviewer									0 1			
II element (NIC, NIM, etc.)									0			
government official outside the IC									1			
ther otal OBJ									13			
ital Obj									13			
riginal response categories												
ource	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
on-supervisory professional within your IC element	3	7	5	3	12	12	2016	4	2018	2019	2021	2022
upervisor within your IC element	13	11	9	8	8	8	1	5				
ntelligence professional outside your IC element	4	11	7	11	8	9	2	4		NA after	FY17	
S government official outside the IC	3	5	4	6	2	5	1	1				
otal OBJ	20	5 27	18	22	22	36	5	15				
otal Obj	20	21	10	22	22	30	3	13				
uestion wording changed in 2022												
uestion wording changed in 2021												
Question responses changed in 2021												
luestion design changed in 2021 In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a mo	otive for your most s	ignficant ex	kperience w	ith distort	ion and/or	suppressio	on of analys	sis?				
faintain an existing analytic line or status quo on a topic	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				,		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
ot a factor											4	3
omewhat a factor . moderate factor											1	2 1
n important factor											1	4
Insure											0	2
otal OBJ		_									6	12
your percentian to what output ways the fellender feet	ative for your	ianficant	vnorion	ith diata	ion and/	ellbare '	n of ar-l	rie?				
n your perception, to what extent were the following factors a mo lign to an individual's perspective	ouve for your most s	igniicant ex	kperience w	ıın aistort	ion and/or	suppression	or analys	515 [
ingri to an muividual's perspective	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
lot a factor				-		-	-		-		1	2
omewhat a factor											0	1
moderate factor											0	1
n important factor nsure											2	7 1
otal OBJ											6	12
your perception, to what extent were the following factors a mo	otive for your most s	ignficant ex	kperience w	ith distort	ion and/or	suppression	on of analys	sis?				
upport/protect operational equities	2016	2011	2012	2012	2011	2015	2016	2017	2010	2010	2024	2022
lot a factor	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022 8
												0
											1	1
omewhat a factor												1 0
omewhat a factor . moderate factor n important factor											1 2 0	0
omewhat a factor moderate factor n important factor nsure											1 2 0 1	0 0 3
omewhat a factor . moderate factor n important factor Insure											1 2 0	0
omewhat a factor moderate factor n important factor nsure otal OBJ	otive for your most s	ignficant ex	xperience w	ith distort	ion and/or	suppressio	on of analys	sis?			1 2 0 1	0 0 3
omewhat a factor moderate factor n important factor insure otal OBJ n your perception, to what extent were the following factors a mo											1 2 0 1 6	0 0 3 12
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor insure otal OBJ n your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests	otive for your most s 2010	ignficant ex	xperience w 2012	ith distort	ion and/or 2014	suppression 2015	on of analys 2016	sis? 2017	2018	2019	1 2 0 1 6	0 0 3 12
omewhat a factor moderate factor n important factor nsure otal OBJ n your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests ot a factor									2018	2019	1 2 0 1 6	0 0 3 12 2022 5
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor insure otal OBJ syour perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests total factor omewhat a factor									2018	2019	1 2 0 1 6	0 0 3 12 2022 5 1
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor insure otal OBJ n your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests lot a factor									2018	2019	1 2 0 1 6	0 0 3 12 2022 5
omewhat a factor , moderate factor in important factor insure otal OBJ n your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests lot a factor omewhat a factor in important factor insure									2018	2019	1 2 0 1 6	0 0 3 12 2022 5 1 2 3 1
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor insure otal OBJ n your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests lot a factor omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor									2018	2019	1 2 0 1 6	0 0 3 12 2022 5 1 2
omewhat a factor moderate factor n important factor nsure otal OBJ n your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests ot a factor moderate factor moderate factor n important factor nsure otal OBJ	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 2 0 1 6	0 0 3 12 2022 5 1 2 3 1
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor insure otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests ot a factor moderate factor in important factor insure otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport otal OBJ	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 2 0 1 6	0 0 3 12 2022 5 1 2 3 1
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests ot a factor omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a more otal OBJ	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 2 0 1 6	0 0 3 12 2022 5 1 2 3 1
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests ot a factor omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nisure otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport of the factor o	2010 Dive for your most s	2011	2012 xperience w	2013	2014	2015	2016 on of analys	2017 2017 sis?			2021 1 0 1 0 0 0 5 0	0 0 3 12 2 5 1 2 2 3 1 12
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor insure otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests ot a factor moderate factor inimportant factor insure otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport ovoke or prevent policymaker action or response	2010 Dive for your most s	2011	2012 xperience w	2013	2014	2015	2016 on of analys	2017 2017 sis?			2021 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 3 12 2022 5 1 2 3 1 12 2022 6 6
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests ot a factor omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor insure otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport factor in provident factor otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport factor in factor in the factor factor in factor factor factor factor factor factor factor factor moderate factor	2010 Dive for your most s	2011	2012 xperience w	2013	2014	2015	2016 on of analys	2017 2017 sis?			2021 2021 1 0 0 0 5 0 6	0 0 3 12 5 1 2 2 3 1 12 2 2 3 1 12 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 2 2 2
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests ot a factor omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nisure otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport of the factor o	2010 Dive for your most s	2011	2012 xperience w	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017 2017 sis?			2021 2021 1 0 0 5 0 6	0 0 3 12 2022 5 1 2 3 1 12 2022 6 0 0 2 2
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests ot a factor omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ o your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests ot a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ o your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport of the factor o	2010 Dive for your most s	2011	2012 xperience w	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017 2017 sis?			2021 2021 1 0 0 0 5 0 6	2022 5 1 2 3 1 12 2022 6 0 2 2 2 2 2
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ If your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests of a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ If your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport for the factor in the factor	2010 Dive for your most s	2011	2012 xperience w	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017 2017 sis?			2021 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 5 0 6	0 0 3 12 2022 5 1 2 3 1 12 2022 6 0 0 2 2
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ vour perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests ot a factor omewhat a factor moderate factor inimportant factor insure otal OBJ vour perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport voucke or prevent policymaker action or response ot a factor omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor in important factor one what in factor in important factor in importa	2010 Detive for your most s 2010	2011 ignficant ex 2011	xperience w	2013 tith distort	2014 ion and/or 2014	2015 suppressic 2015	2016 on of analys	2017 sis? 2017			2021 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 5 0 6	2022 5 1 2 3 1 12 2022 6 0 2 2 2 2 2
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a more upport/protect bureaucratic interests ot a factor omewhat a factor moderate factor important factor insure otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a more vovoke or prevent policymaker action or response ot a factor omewhat a factor important factor	2010 potive for your most s 2010 potive for your most s	2011 ignficant e) 2011	xperience w 2012	2013 ith distort	2014 ion and/or 2014 ion and/or	2015 suppression 2015	2016 on of analys 2016 on of analys	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019	2021 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 5 0 6	2022 5 1 2 3 1 12 2022 6 0 2 2 2 2 12
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure part of a factor moderate factor in property protect bureaucratic interests ot a factor moderate factor in important factor moderate factor in important factor source otal OBJ vyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a moderate factor in important factor source otal OBJ vyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a moderate factor moderate factor moderate factor moderate factor moderate factor in important factor moderate factor noil in properties of the factor moderate factor moderate factor properties of the factor moderate factor moderate factor properties of the factor moderate facto	2010 Detive for your most s 2010	2011 ignficant ex 2011	xperience w	2013 tith distort	2014 ion and/or 2014	2015 suppressic 2015	2016 on of analys	2017 sis? 2017			2021 2021 1 0 0 0 5 0 6 2021 2 1 2 1 6	0 0 3 12 5 1 2 3 1 12 6 0 2 2 2 2 12
momewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor sure potal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal object of a factor moderate factor moderate factor important factor moderate factor sure potal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal object of the factor or response pot a factor moderate factor in important factor sure potal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ	2010 potive for your most s 2010 potive for your most s	2011 ignficant e) 2011	xperience w 2012	2013 ith distort	2014 ion and/or 2014 ion and/or	2015 suppression 2015	2016 on of analys 2016 on of analys	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019	2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021	2022 5 1 2 3 1 1 2 2 6 0 2 2 2 1 1 2
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests of a factor omewhat a factor moderate factor important factor insure otal OBJ your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport of provided in the factor of the	2010 potive for your most s 2010 potive for your most s	2011 ignficant e) 2011	xperience w 2012	2013 ith distort	2014 ion and/or 2014 ion and/or	2015 suppression 2015	2016 on of analys 2016 on of analys	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019	2021 2021 1 0 0 0 5 0 6 2021 2 1 2 1 6	0 0 3 12 5 1 2 3 1 12 6 0 2 2 2 2 12
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ I your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests ot a factor omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ I your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport of the factor of the facto	2010 potive for your most s 2010 potive for your most s	2011 ignficant e) 2011	xperience w 2012	2013 ith distort	2014 ion and/or 2014 ion and/or	2015 suppression 2015	2016 on of analys 2016 on of analys	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019	2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021 2021	0 0 3 12 5 1 1 2 2022 6 0 0 2 2 2 12
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ n your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests ot a factor omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ n your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moreover or prevent policymaker action or response ot a factor omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ n your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moreover or oppose a policy agenda or narrative ot a factor omewhat a factor omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor a moderate factor in important factor factor	2010 potive for your most s 2010 potive for your most s	2011 ignficant e) 2011	xperience w 2012	2013 ith distort	2014 ion and/or 2014 ion and/or	2015 suppression 2015	2016 on of analys 2016 on of analys	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019	2021 2021 1 0 0 5 0 6 2021 2 1 6	0 0 3 12 5 1 2 2 3 1 12 6 0 2 2 2 2 12 12
omewhat a factor , moderate factor in important factor insure otal OBJ n your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests lot a factor omewhat a factor in important factor insure	2010 potive for your most s 2010 potive for your most s	2011 ignficant e) 2011	xperience w 2012	2013 ith distort	2014 ion and/or 2014 ion and/or	2015 suppression 2015	2016 on of analys 2016 on of analys	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019	2021 2021 1 0 0 0 5 0 0 6 2021 2 1 6	2022 5 1 12 5 1 12 2 3 1 12 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 1 1 2 5 6 0 0 2 2 2 2 3 1 1 2 3 3 1 2 3 3 4 5 5 6 6 6 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ n your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests ot a factor omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ n your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport or prevent policymaker action or response ot a factor moderate factor in important factor moderate factor in important factor moderate factor in important factor moderate factor otal OBJ n your perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport or oppose a policy agenda or narrative ot a factor moderate factor in important factor moderate factor in important factor moderate factor moderate factor in important factor in important factor in important factor in important factor	2010 potive for your most s 2010 potive for your most s	2011 ignficant e) 2011	xperience w 2012	2013 ith distort	2014 ion and/or 2014 ion and/or	2015 suppression 2015	2016 on of analys 2016 on of analys	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019	2021 2021 1 0 0 5 0 6 2021 2 1 6	0 0 3 12 5 1 2 2 3 1 12 6 0 2 2 2 2 12 12
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure part of a factor moderate factor in proper open on the strength of the following factors a mount of the factor moderate factor moderate factor moderate factor moderate factor nimportant factor move or prevent policymaker action or response ot a factor moderate factor not a factor moderate factor nimport or oppose a policy agenda or narrative ot a factor moderate factor nimport or oppose a policy agenda or narrative ot a factor moderate factor nimport or oppose a factor nimport or oppose a factor not a factor moderate factor nimport or oppose a factor not a factor moderate factor nimport or oppose a factor not a factor moderate factor nimport or oppose a factor not a factor moderate factor nimport or oppose a factor not a factor moderate factor nimport or oppose a factor not a fact	2010 potive for your most s 2010 potive for your most s	2011 ignficant e) 2011	xperience w 2012	2013 ith distort	2014 ion and/or 2014 ion and/or	2015 suppression 2015	2016 on of analys 2016 on of analys	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019	2021 2021 1 0 0 5 0 6 2021 2 1 6	0 0 3 12 5 1 2 2 3 1 12 6 0 2 2 2 2 12 12
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure part of a factor moderate factor in proper open on the strength of the following factors a mount of the factor moderate factor moderate factor moderate factor moderate factor nimportant factor move or prevent policymaker action or response ot a factor moderate factor not a factor moderate factor nimport or oppose a policy agenda or narrative ot a factor moderate factor nimport or oppose a policy agenda or narrative ot a factor moderate factor nimport or oppose a factor nimport or oppose a factor not a factor moderate factor nimport or oppose a factor not a factor moderate factor nimport or oppose a factor not a factor moderate factor nimport or oppose a factor not a factor moderate factor nimport or oppose a factor not a factor moderate factor nimport or oppose a factor not a fact	2010 potive for your most s 2010 potive for your most s	2011 ignficant e) 2011	xperience w 2012	2013 ith distort	2014 ion and/or 2014 ion and/or	2015 suppression 2015	2016 on of analys 2016 on of analys	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019	2021 2021 1 0 0 5 0 6 2021 2 1 6	0 0 3 12 5 1 2 2 3 1 12 6 0 2 2 2 2 12 12
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport/protect bureaucratic interests of a factor omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor insure otal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a moupport of the factor	2010 Detive for your most s 2010 Detive for your most s	2011 ignficant experience of the control of the co	xperience w 2012 xperience w 2012	2013 with distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014 ion and/or 2014	2015 suppressic 2015 suppressic 2015	2016 2016 on of analys 2016 2016	2017 sis? 2017 2017	2018	2019 2019 2019	2021 2021 1 0 0 5 0 6 2021 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 3 12 5 1 1 2 2022 6 0 0 2 2 2 12 12
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure part of a factor moderate factor in property protect bureaucratic interests out a factor moderate factor interest factor moderate factor interest f	2010 Detive for your most s 2010 Detive for your most s	2011 ignficant experience of the control of the co	xperience w 2012 xperience w 2012	2013 with distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014 ion and/or 2014	2015 suppressic 2015 suppressic 2015	2016 2016 on of analys 2016 2016	2017 sis? 2017 2017	2018	2019 2019	2021 2021 1 0 0 5 0 6 2021 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 3 12 5 1 1 2 2022 6 0 0 2 2 2 12 12
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure part of the factor moderate factor in important factor process of the factor process of the factor moderate factor moderate factor moderate factor not important factor source potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception and the factor moderate factor moder	2010 Detive for your most s 2010 Detive for your most s	2011 ignficant experience of the control of the co	xperience w 2012 xperience w 2012	2013 with distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014 ion and/or 2014	2015 suppressic 2015 suppressic 2015	2016 2016 on of analys 2016 2016	2017 sis? 2017 2017	2018	2019 2019 1 1 2	2021 2021 1 0 0 5 0 6 2021 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 3 12 5 1 1 2 2022 6 0 0 2 2 2 12 12
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure part of a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure provide or prevent policymaker action or response ot a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure otal OBJ I your perception, to what extent were the following factors a more ovoke or prevent policymaker action or response ot a factor moderate factor in important factor in important factor moderate factor in important f	2010 Detive for your most s 2010 Detive for your most s	2011 ignficant experience of the control of the co	xperience w 2012 xperience w 2012	2013 with distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014 ion and/or 2014	2015 suppressic 2015 suppressic 2015	2016 2016 on of analys 2016 2016	2017 sis? 2017 2017	2018	2019 2019 2019 1 1 2 0	2021 2021 1 0 0 5 0 6 2021 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 3 12 5 1 1 2 2022 6 0 0 2 2 2 12 12
omewhat a factor moderate factor in important factor nsure part of the factor moderate factor in important factor process of the factor process of the factor moderate factor moderate factor moderate factor not important factor source potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception, to what extent were the following factors a more potal OBJ Tyour perception and the factor moderate factor moder	2010 Detive for your most s 2010 Detive for your most s	2011 ignficant experience of the control of the co	xperience w 2012 xperience w 2012	2013 with distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014 ion and/or 2014	2015 suppressic 2015 suppressic 2015	2016 2016 on of analys 2016 2016	2017 sis? 2017 2017	2018	2019 2019 1 1 2	2021 2021 1 0 0 5 0 6 2021 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 1 2 0 0 1 2 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2022 5 1 2 3 1 12 2022 6 0 2 2 2 2 2 12 5 1 12 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

Bureau prevent policymaker action or response on a topic t sure what the motive was her										2 0 5 7		
tal OBJ										21		
ew response categories in 2018 otive												
ouve	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
aintain existing analytic line									6			
upport views of internal supervisor/management									0			
upport views of internal colleague									1			
upport views of external colleague upport views of external management									0			
ipport views of government/policy official									0			
support increase/decrease of resources for operational, military or USG										NA	after FY18	,
rogram									2	INA	alter F110	
nintentional									0			
nsure what the motive was ther									0			
o suppress information deemed embarrassing to the Department, Agency									3			
r Bureau									0			
p prevent policymaker action\response									1			
otal OBJ									13			
riginal response categories lotive												
Otive	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
o support supervisor within your IC element	12	11	5	7	5	2	0	1		2023		-0-2
o support IC personnel or management outside your IC element	12	11	3	14	11	4	0	8				
o support government official outside the IC	7	6	7	11	6	5	3	4				
am unsure what the motive was			1	4	7	5	1	2		NA after	FY17	
advertent/unintended o avoid conflicting with an existing analytic line					7	2 9	0 1	1 6				
ther	4	6	8	0	4	3	1	2				
otal OBJ	20	27	18	22	22	30	6	15				
uestion wording changed in 2022												
id you seek assistance to address this matter?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
0	2010			2010	2027	2015	2010	2017		2015		4
es												7
otal												11
otal OE uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021	ortion and	or suppre	ssion of an	alvsis?								11 29.39%
otal OE uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 d you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of disconsists occurrences to the second of the second occurrences occurrences of the second occurrences occurren	ortion and	or suppres	ssion of an 2012	alysis? 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 1 5 N 6 39.89%	
otal IOE uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 id you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of disc o es otal	2010				2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 5 M 6	29.39%
otal IOE suestion wording changed in 2021 suestion responses changed in 2021 id you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsess oostal IOE suring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sup	2010 pression? 2010	2011		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 5 M 6	29.39%
otal IOE suestion wording changed in 2021 suestion responses changed in 2021 id you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistency of the superior of the	2010 pression? 2010 5	2011 2011 8	2012 2012 6	2013 2013 5	2014 6	2015 7	2016 0	2017 3	2018 8	2019 15	1 5 N 6 39.89%	29.39% 2022 NA after F
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 id you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disc o es sotal IOE uring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sup	2010 pression? 2010 5 11	2011 2011 8 14	2012 2012 6 10	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 5 M 6 39.89%	29.39% 2022 NA after F
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 id you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disc o es otal OOE uring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sup oo es ertially	2010 pression? 2010 5	2011 2011 8	2012 2012 6	2013 2013 5	2014 6	2015 7	2016 0	2017 3	2018 8	2019 15	1 5 N 6 39.89%	29.39% 2022 NA after F
octal OE uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 d you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of discosts octal OE uring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or suppose octal	2010 pression? 2010 5 11 4	2011 2011 8 14 5 27	2012 2012 6 10 2	2013 2013 5 17	2014 6 16	2015 7 13	2016 0 4	2017 3 8	2018 8 5	2019 15 6	1 5 N 6 39.89%	29.39% 2022 NA after F
tal OE section wording changed in 2021 section responses changed in 2021 d you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disc os s tal OE suring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sup os s rtially tal OE	2010 ppression? 2010 5 11 4 20	2011 2011 8 14 5 27	2012 2012 6 10 2 18	2013 2013 5 17	2014 6 16	2015 7 13	2016 0 4	2017 3 8	2018 8 5	2019 15 6	1 5 N 6 39.89%	29.39% 2022 NA after F
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 id you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disc o es statal OOE uring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sup o es artially otal OOE uestion wording changed in 2022	2010 ppression? 2010 5 11 4 20	2011 2011 8 14 5 27	2012 2012 6 10 2 18	2013 2013 5 17	2014 6 16	2015 7 13	2016 0 4	2017 3 8	2018 8 5	2019 15 6	1 5 N 6 39.89%	29.39% 2022 NA after F
otal IOE suestion wording changed in 2021 suestion responses changed in 2021 id you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of discoses oes otal IOE suring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sup oes es artially otal	2010 ppression? 2010 5 11 4 20	2011 2011 8 14 5 27	2012 2012 6 10 2 18	2013 2013 5 17	2014 6 16	2015 7 13	2016 0 4	2017 3 8	2018 8 5	2019 15 6	1 5 N 6 39.89%	29.39% 2022 NA after F
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 id you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of discoses o es so total IOE uring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sup o es so artially total IOE usestion wording changed in 2022 id you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds?	2010 ppression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69%	2011 8 14 5 27 18.61%	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89%	2013 2013 5 17 22 20.66%	2014 6 16 22 20.66%	2015 7 13 20 21.69%	2016 0 4 4 48.90%	2017 3 8 11 29.39%	2018 8 5 13 27.00%	2019 15 6 21 21.16%	1 5 N 6 39.89% 2021 NA after	29.39% 2022 NA after F 2022 FFY19
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 id you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of discording to the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year.	2010 ppression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69%	2011 8 14 5 27 18.61%	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89%	2013 2013 5 17 22 20.66%	2014 6 16 22 20.66%	2015 7 13 20 21.69%	2016 0 4 4 48.90%	2017 3 8 11 29.39%	2018 8 5 13 27.00%	2019 15 6 21 21.16%	1 5 N 6 39.89% 2021 NA after	29.39% 2022 NA after F 2022 r FY19 2022 5
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 id you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance in dealing with disconsistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support in the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support in the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support in the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support in the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support in the past year.	2010 ppression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69%	2011 8 14 5 27 18.61%	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89%	2013 2013 5 17 22 20.66%	2014 6 16 22 20.66%	2015 7 13 20 21.69%	2016 0 4 4 48.90%	2017 3 8 11 29.39%	2018 8 5 13 27.00%	2019 15 6 21 21.16%	1 5 N 6 39.89% 2021 NA after	29.39% 2022 NA after F 2022 2022 2022
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion wording changed in 2021 id you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disc o es s btal IOE uring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sup o es artially total IOE uestion wording changed in 2022 id you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? es o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	2010 ppression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69%	2011 8 14 5 27 18.61%	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89%	2013 2013 5 17 22 20.66%	2014 6 16 22 20.66%	2015 7 13 20 21.69%	2016 0 4 4 48.90%	2017 3 8 11 29.39%	2018 8 5 13 27.00%	2019 15 6 21 21.16%	1 5 N 6 39.89% 2021 NA after	29.39% 2022 NA after F 2022 r FY19 2022 5
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 id you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance in dealing with disconsistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support in the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support in the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support in the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support in the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support in the past year.	2010 opression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69%	2011 2011 8 14 5 27 18.61%	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89%	2013 2013 5 17 22 20.66%	2014 6 16 22 20.66%	2015 7 13 20 21.69%	2016 0 4 4 48.90%	2017 3 8 11 29.39%	2018 8 5 13 27.00%	2019 15 6 21 21.16%	1 5 f 6 39.89% 2021 NA after	29.39% 2022 NA after F 2022 2022 5 7
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 d you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disc or seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disc or seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugaring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugaring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugaring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugaring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugaring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugaring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugaring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugaring the past year.	2010 ppression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69%	2011 8 14 5 27 18.61%	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89%	2013 2013 5 17 22 20.66%	2014 6 16 22 20.66%	2015 7 13 20 21.69%	2016 0 4 4 48.90%	2017 3 8 11 29.39%	2018 8 5 13 27.00%	2019 15 6 21 21.16%	1 5 N 6 39.89% 2021 NA after	29.39% 2022 NA after F 2022 r FY19 2022 5
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 d you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disc os statal OE uring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sup os striall OE uestion wording changed in 2022 d you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? uestion wording changed in 2022 d you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? uestion wording changed in 2021 d you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman?	2010 opression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69%	2011 2011 8 14 5 27 18.61%	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89%	2013 2013 5 17 22 20.66%	2014 6 16 22 20.66%	2015 7 13 20 21.69%	2016 0 4 4 48.90%	2017 3 8 11 29.39%	2018 8 5 13 27.00%	2019 15 6 21 21.16%	1 5 N 6 39.89% 2021 NA after	29.39% 2022 NA after F 2022 5 7 2022
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 d you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistated OE uring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds?	2010 opression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69%	2011 2011 8 14 5 27 18.61%	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89%	2013 2013 5 17 22 20.66%	2014 6 16 22 20.66%	2015 7 13 20 21.69%	2016 0 4 4 48.90%	2017 3 8 11 29.39%	2018 8 5 13 27.00%	2019 15 6 21 21.16%	2021 2021 2021 2021	29.39% 2022 NA after F 2022 2022 5 7
otal OE uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 id you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of discorptions of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Description of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Description of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Description of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Description of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Description of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Description of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Description of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Description of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Description of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Description of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Description of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Description of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or	2010 opression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69%	2011 2011 8 14 5 27 18.61%	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89%	2013 2013 5 17 22 20.66%	2014 6 16 22 20.66%	2015 7 13 20 21.69%	2016 0 4 4 48.90%	2017 3 8 11 29.39%	2018 8 5 13 27.00%	2019 15 6 21 21.16%	1 5 N 6 39.89% 2021 NA after	29.39% 2022 NA after F 2022 5 7 2022
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 d you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disc obs state OE uring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs strictally OE uestion wording changed in 2022 d you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? significant experience of disc obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug obs state of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sug	2010 opression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69%	2011 2011 8 14 5 27 18.61%	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89%	2013 2013 5 17 22 20.66%	2014 6 16 22 20.66%	2015 7 13 20 21.69%	2016 0 4 4 48.90%	2017 3 8 11 29.39%	2018 8 5 13 27.00%	2019 15 6 21 21.16%	2021 2021 2021 2021	29.39% 2022 NA after F 2022 5 7 2022
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 id you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of discoses to be seed to b	2010 opression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69% 2010	2011 8 14 5 27 18.61% 2011	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89% 2012	2013 5 17 22 20.66% 2013	2014 6 16 22 20.66% 2014	2015 7 13 20 21.69% 2015	2016 0 4 4 48.90% 2016	2017 3 8 11 29.39% 2017	2018 8 5 13 27.00% 2018	2019 15 6 21 21.16% 2019	2021 2021 2021 2021 2021	2022 NA after F 2022 FFY19 2022 5 7
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 id you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance in dealing with distortion or suggested and the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or suggested and the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or suggested and the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or suggested and the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or suggested and the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or suggested and the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or suggested and you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? Description wording changed in 2022 and you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? Description wording changed in 2021 and you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? Description wording changed in 2021 and you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? Description wording changed in 2021 and you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? Description wording changed in 2021 and you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman?	2010 opression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69%	2011 2011 8 14 5 27 18.61%	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89%	2013 2013 5 17 22 20.66%	2014 6 16 22 20.66%	2015 7 13 20 21.69%	2016 0 4 4 48.90%	2017 3 8 11 29.39%	2018 8 5 13 27.00%	2019 15 6 21 21.16% 2019	2021 2021 2021 2021	29.39% 2022 NA after F 2022 5 7 2022
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 d you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disc observed in the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugarial to the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugarial to the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugarial to the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugarial to the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugarial to the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugarial year. Description wording changed in 2022 d you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? Description wording changed in 2022 d you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? Description wording changed in 2021 d you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? Description wording changed in 2021 d you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? Description wording changed in 2019 d you go to your IC element's ombudsman for help?	2010 opression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69% 2010	2011 8 14 5 27 18.61% 2011	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89% 2012	2013 5 17 22 20.66% 2013	2014 6 16 22 20.66% 2014	2015 7 13 20 21.69% 2015	2016 0 4 4 48.90% 2016	2017 3 8 11 29.39% 2017	2018 8 5 13 27.00% 2018	2019 15 6 21 21.16% 2019 2019	2021 2021 2021 2021 2021	2022 NA after F 2022 FFY19 2022 5 7
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 id you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance of the seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sup	2010 opression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69% 2010	2011 8 14 5 27 18.61% 2011	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89% 2012	2013 5 17 22 20.66% 2013	2014 6 16 22 20.66% 2014	2015 7 13 20 21.69% 2015	2016 0 4 4 48.90% 2016	2017 3 8 11 29.39% 2017	2018 8 5 13 27.00% 2018	2019 15 6 21 21.16% 2019	2021 2021 2021 2021 2021	2022 NA after F 2022 FFY19 2022 5 7
uestion wording changed in 2021 destion responses changed in 2021 d you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance in dealing with distortion or sugaring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugaring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugaring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugaring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugaring the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or sugaring the past year, did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? Separation wording changed in 2022 d you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? Separation wording changed in 2021 d you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? Separation added in 2019 d you go to your IC element's ombudsman for help?	2010 opression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69% 2010	2011 8 14 5 27 18.61% 2011	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89% 2012	2013 5 17 22 20.66% 2013	2014 6 16 22 20.66% 2014	2015 7 13 20 21.69% 2015	2016 0 4 4 48.90% 2016	2017 3 8 11 29.39% 2017	2018 8 5 13 27.00%	2019 15 6 21 21.16% 2019 2019	2021 2021 2021 2021 2021	2022 NA after F 2022 FFY19 2022 5 7
uestion wording changed in 2021 uestion responses changed in 2021 d you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? Use the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Use the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Use the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Use the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Use the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Use the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Use the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Use the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Use the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Use the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Use the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Use the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Use the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year. Use the past year	2010 opression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69% 2010	2011 8 14 5 27 18.61% 2011	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89% 2012	2013 5 17 22 20.66% 2013	2014 6 16 22 20.66% 2014	2015 7 13 20 21.69% 2015	2016 0 4 4 48.90% 2016	2017 3 8 11 29.39% 2017	2018 8 5 13 27.00%	2019 15 6 21 21.16% 2019 2019	2021 2021 2021 2021 2021	2022 NA after F 2022 FFY19 2022 5 7
tal DE restion wording changed in 2021 restion responses changed in 2021 d you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? Solution of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? Solution of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in	2010 ppression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69% 2010	2011 8 14 5 27 18.61% 2011	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89% 2012	2013 5 17 22 20.66% 2013	2014 6 16 22 20.66% 2014	2015 7 13 20 21.69% 2015	2016 0 4 4 48.90% 2016	2017 3 8 11 29.39% 2017	2018 8 5 13 27.00%	2019 15 6 21 21.16% 2019 2019	2021 2021 2021 2021 2021	2022 NA after F 2022 FFY19 2022 5 7
tal DE restion wording changed in 2021 restion responses changed in 2021 d you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of the past year, did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? Solution of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? Solution of the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in dealing with distortion or support of your seek assistance in	2010 pression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69% 2010 2010	2011 8 14 5 27 18.61% 2011	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89% 2012	2013 5 17 22 20.66% 2013	2014 6 16 22 20.66% 2014	2015 7 13 20 21.69% 2015	2016 0 4 4 48.90% 2016	2017 3 8 11 29.39% 2017	2018 8 5 13 27.00% 2018	2019 15 6 21 21.16% 2019 2019 2019	2021 2021 2021 2021 A fter NA after	2022 NA after F 2022 FY19 2022 2 5 7 2022 VA after F
tal DE Justion wording changed in 2021 Justion responses changed in 2021 d you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance in dealing with this most significant experience of disconsistance in dealing with distortion or suggested and the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or suggested and the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or suggested and the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion or suggested and you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? Justion wording changed in 2022 d you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? Justion wording changed in 2021 d you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? Justion wording changed in 2021 d you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman? Justion Wording changed in 2019 d you go to your IC element's ombudsman for help?	2010 ppression? 2010 5 11 4 20 21.69% 2010	2011 8 14 5 27 18.61% 2011	2012 2012 6 10 2 18 22.89% 2012	2013 5 17 22 20.66% 2013	2014 6 16 22 20.66% 2014	2015 7 13 20 21.69% 2015	2016 0 4 4 48.90% 2016	2017 3 8 11 29.39% 2017	2018 8 5 13 27.00%	2019 15 6 21 21.16% 2019 2019	2021 2021 2021 2021 2021	2022 NA after F 2022 FFY19 2022 5 7

Concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among individual(s) involved Time constraints/press of business I was dissuaded from seeking assistance Did not know a process exists for addressing the matter Presumed others involved would seek assistance Other Total OBJ New question added in 2021 Why did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman? In concerns regarding retribution Concerns regarding confidentiality Concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances of individual(s) involved I did involved in 201													0
The content process of planes 1985 198	ncerns regarding confidentiality ncerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among												Ü
was discontained from sealing securities of controller grows controller from the adequating the central form from from the adequating the adequating the adequating from from the adequating the adequation of the adequating from from from from the adequating the adequation of the adequating from from from from from from from from	* *												1
The control for a phonose cents for additional for a phonose cents for additional control cont													0
The first of CO 19 1													0
The Column Control (Column Column Col													1
The proper process of the proper analysis combuforman? 1006 1007 1008 1009													2 5
with the protect and seath substance from your analysis continuously and you will be set to the protect of the	31 063												3
1													
The contractivity of the contr	y did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
The content propose of parties, tensure, or dama power metalations or the content mission of the content mission o	cerns regarding retribution												
Table And Engineering Controlled												0	
The containant prise of businesses 1												1	
The proper for the amanifest on employed control potential or the control proper of the													A after F
The stand GRI AND STAN STAN STAN STAN STAN STAN STAN STAN													
And to the primary reason you did not seek assistance? ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance and the primary reason you did not seek assistance and the primary reason you did not seek assistance and the primary reason you did not seek assistance and the primary reason you did not seek assistance and the primary reason you did not seek assistance and the primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did not seek assistance of individually) ***The primary reason you did no													
The serious processor proud and rotes soltanes? The serious processor proce												4	
The section of the line of anything would crange in the circums which go to the line of anything would crange in the circums which go to the line of the line of the line of t	action responses changed in 2022												
Inclusione sympting soulid change													
Index from whom the togo to for help		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Incomes regarding rethrichtion incomes signating confidentially incomes regarding cank, tenure, or other power imbalances among horizontal, privological, pr													0
The contract regarding confidentially controlled in the contractive flower of the power implainance among biolated join provinced in contractive flower of the contractive flo	• •												0
initialization whowing constraints/gross of business as discussed from seeking assistance or constraints/gross of business are decided from seeking assistance in deciding whith distortion or supression? (Bank 1) and so to leave anything would change anything could rhange anything co	ncerns regarding confidentiality												0
The contraints/press of business sessionated of the special grassitations of agreed special grassitations of the special grassitatio													1
The foreing process discord addressing the matter summed others involved would seek assistance here and color involved would seek assistance? ***TRANSPORT************************************													0
seamed others involved would seek assistance here ***Cart Color Design Categories added to the question in 2021 1921 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2015 2019 2021 2015 2019 2021 2015 2019 2021 2015 2019 2021 2015 2019 2021 2019 2021 2019 2021 2019 2021 2019 2021 2019 2021 2019 2021 2019 2021	**												1
The control of the control of the question in 2011 ***Propose categories added to the question in 2021 ***Propose categories added to the question in 2021 ***Propose categories added to the question of 2021 ***Propose categories and the control of the contr													1
that is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rank 1) 1 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 1 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 1 2010 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2018 2019													0 1
Section Sect													4
Section Sect	y response sategories added to the question in 2021												
The control of the co													
1 not believe anything would change	at is the primary reason you did not seek assistance?												
In the now who to go to for help	not helieve anything would change	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019		2022
necess regarding confidentiality necessary continues of individual(s) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0													
0 memocnstraints/press of business													
0 memocnstraints/press of business												O NA	A after F
The continue of the continue of the question in 2019 Part 1													
The Line Line Line Line Line Line Line Lin													
Market is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the attempted distortion or suppression 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2019													
Market is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the attempted distortion or suppression 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2019													
hat is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the attempted distortion or suppression? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 ar of retribution 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 ar of retribution 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 3 NA after FY19 (and to believe anything could change some in question was considered "senior" to me diversioned some seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rank 1) 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2018 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2019 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2													
2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021													
incerns about the lack of confidentiality do not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me do not believe anything would change some son in question was considered senior. The me should be supposed to the supposed to the me should be supposed to the supposed to	estion wording changed in 2019	empted dis	stortion or	suppressio	on?								
do not believe anything would change 'son in question was considered 'senior' to me do 't know who to go to for help 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	estion wording changed in 2019					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Control Cont	estion wording changed in 2019 at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the att or of retribution					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2	2021	2022
the field of the lite of the land of the l	estion wording changed in 2019 at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the att or of retribution decrns about the lack of confidentiality					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2 0		
tal OBJ we response categories in 2018 hy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rank 1) 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 ar of retribution 3 d not believe anyone would listen 4 do the believe anything could change 5 don't know who to go to for help 6 don't know about Ombudsman process 7 tal OBJ by did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rank 2) ar of retribution 7 do to believe anyone would listen 8 The state of the s	estion wording changed in 2019 at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the att r of retribution icerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2 0		
we response categories in 2018 thy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rank 1) 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 at or of retribution at not believe anyone would listen at not know who to go to for help at not believe anyone would listen at not believe anyone would listen at not believe anyone would listen 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 are of retribution at of retribution at of retribution at of the lieve anyone would listen at ont believe anyone would listen at one believe anyone would listen by did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rank 3) by did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rank 3) at a contractive to a	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the atternormal of retribution scerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2 0 3 0		
hy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rank 1) 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 ar of retribution d not believe anyone would listen d not believe anything could change first know who to go to for help dn't know about Ombudsman process for the did to the leve anyone would listen 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the attern of retribution accerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help ter					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2 0 3 0 0		
2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 ar of retribution ar of retribution believe anything could change and those believe anything could change are of the county of th	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the attern of retribution accerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help ter					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2 0 3 0 0		
ar of retribution	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the attern of retribution accerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help all of the primary of the pri	2010				2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2 0 3 0 0		
In the believe anything could change 1	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the attern of retribution accerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help all of the primary of the pri	2010 ank 1)	2011	2012	2013						2 0 3 0 0 1	A after FY1	
Section In question considered "senior" to me	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the atter of retribution incerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help it was to so the confidentiality in the confidentiality in the confidentiality in the confidence of the confidentiality in the confidentiality is an individual to the confidentiality in the confidentiality is also in the confidentiality in the confidentiality is an individual to	2010 ank 1)	2011	2012	2013					2018 3	2 0 3 0 0 1	A after FY1	•
dn't know who to go to for help 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the attern of retribution accerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help lack of the primary of the pr	2010 ank 1)	2011	2012	2013					2018 3 2	2 0 3 0 0 1	A after FY1	•
the first know about Ombudsman process 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the attern of retribution accerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me in the know who to go to for help the real or the categories in 2018 and you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rain of retribution not believe anyone would listen not believe anyone would change	2010 ank 1)	2011	2012	2013					2018 3 2 1	2 0 3 0 0 1 6	2021	•
tal OBJ hy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rank 2) 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 ar of retribution d not believe anything could change drown in question considered "senior" to me don't know who to go to for help dn't know about Ombudsman process her tal OBJ hy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rank 3) 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the atter of retribution in the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help al OBJ wresponse categories in 2018 y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra or of retribution not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me	2010 ank 1)	2011	2012	2013					2018 3 2 1 2	2 0 3 0 0 1 6	2021	•
hy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rank 2) ar of retribution d not believe anyone would listen d not believe anything could change soon in question considered "senior" to me dn't know who to go to for help dn't know about Ombudsman process her tal OBJ Again and the leading with distortion or supression? (Rank 3) 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the atter of retribution accerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me in t know who to go to for help lack of the lack of confidentiality along the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me in t know who to go to for help lack of the lack of t	2010 ank 1)	2011	2012	2013					2018 3 2 1 2 0 0	2 0 3 0 0 1 6	2021	•
2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 ar of retribution d not believe anyone would listen d not believe anything could change rson in question considered "senior" to me first how who to go to for help first know about Ombudsman process her 10 1 11 1 12 1 13 1 14 1 15 1 16 1 17 1 18 1 18 1 19 1	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the atternor of retribution occurs about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help ter al OBJ w response categories in 2018 y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra r of retribution not believe anyone would listen not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know who to go to for help n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process ter	2010 ank 1)	2011	2012	2013					2018 3 2 1 2 0 0	2 0 3 0 0 1 6	2021	•
ar of retribution 0 I not believe anyone would listen 2 I not believe anyone would listen 3 I not believe anyone would listen 3 I not believe anything could change 3 I not believe anyt	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the atternor of retribution occurs about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help ter al OBJ w response categories in 2018 y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra r of retribution not believe anyone would listen not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know who to go to for help n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process ter	2010 ank 1)	2011	2012	2013					2018 3 2 1 2 0 0	2 0 3 0 0 1 6	2021	•
In not believe anytone would listen If not believe anything could change If thow who to go to for help If throw who to go to for help If throw about Ombudsman process If the country is the count	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the atter of retribution accerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help ler al OBJ wresponse categories in 2018 y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rair of retribution not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know who to go to for help n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman procession?	2010 ank 1) 2010 ank 2)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 3 2 1 2 0 0 0	2 0 3 0 0 1 6	2021 after FY18	2022
Son in question considered "senior" to me	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the atter of retribution incerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help ler al OBJ w response categories in 2018 y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra or of retribution not believe anyone would listen not believe anyone would listen not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process ler al OBJ y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra	2010 ank 1) 2010 ank 2)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 3 2 1 2 0 0 0 8	2 0 3 0 0 1 6	2021 after FY18	•
Constitution Cons	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the atter of retribution accerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help ler al OBJ we response categories in 2018 y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rail of retribution not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process ler al OBJ y did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rail or of retribution not believe anything could listen to the light of the light o	2010 ank 1) 2010 ank 2)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 3 2 1 2 0 0 0 0 8	2 0 3 0 0 1 6	2021 after FY18	2022
## did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rank 3) 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the atternor of retribution of retribution of retribution of retribution of the lack of confidentiality of believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me of the know who to go to for help of the lack of confidentiality of believe anything would change of the lack of confidentiality of the lack of confiden	2010 ank 1) 2010 ank 2)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 3 2 1 2 0 0 0 8 2 2 2 3 2 4 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 3 0 0 0 1 1 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2021 after FY18	2022
tal OBJ hy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rank 3) 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the atter of retribution incerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help it was to satisfact the serior of th	2010 ank 1) 2010 ank 2)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 3 2 1 2 0 0 8 2018 0 2 3 2 2 3 4 6 7 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	2 0 3 0 0 0 1 1 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2021 after FY18	2022
hy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Rank 3) 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the atter of retribution accerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help along the serior of th	2010 ank 1) 2010 ank 2)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 3 2 1 2 0 0 0 8 2018 0 2 3 3 2 0 0 0 3 3 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 3 0 0 0 1 1 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2021 after FY18	2022
2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the atter of retribution incerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help it along the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Raw or of retribution in the service of the serv	2010 ank 1) 2010 ank 2)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 3 2 1 2 0 0 8 2018 0 2 3 2 0 0 0 1	2 0 3 0 0 0 1 1 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2021 after FY18	2022
	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the atter of retribution incerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help it along the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Raw or of retribution in the service of the serv	2010 ank 1) 2010 ank 2)	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 3 2 1 2 0 0 8 2018 0 2 3 2 0 0 0 1	2 0 3 0 0 0 1 1 6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2021 after FY18	2022
or retribution 0	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the atter of retribution accerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help along the service of the servi	ank 1) 2010 ank 2) 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 3 2 1 2 0 0 0 8 2 2 3 2 2 0 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 0 3 0 0 1 1 6 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2021 after FY18 2021 after FY18	2022
	at is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the atter of retribution incerns about the lack of confidentiality not believe anything would change son in question was considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help it along the seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Raw or of retribution not believe anyone would listen not believe anyone would change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know who to go to for help n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process it is along the serior of retribution not believe anyone would listen not believe anyone would listen not believe anyone would is not process it is not believe anyone would is not believe anyone would is not believe anyone would listen not believe anyone would listen not believe anyone would listen not believe anyone would change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process it necessarily in the serior was not believe anyone would is ten not believe anything could change son in question considered "senior" to me n't know who to go to for help n't know who to go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process it necessarily in the serior was not go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process it necessarily in the serior was not go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process it necessarily in the serior was not go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process it necessarily in the serior was not go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process it necessarily in the serior was not go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process it necessarily in the serior was not go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process it necessarily in the serior was not go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process it necessarily in the serior was not go to for help n't know about Ombudsman process it necessarily in the serio	ank 1) 2010 ank 2) 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 3 2 1 2 0 0 8 2018 2018 2018	2 0 3 0 0 1 1 6 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2021 after FY18 2021 after FY18	2022

oid not believe anyone would listen									1			
id not believe anything could change									3			
rson in question considered "senior" to me									2	NA	after FY18	
dn't know who to go to for help									0			
dn't know about Ombudsman process her									0			
otal OBJ									6			
	S I. 4\											
hy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (F	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
ear of retribution									2			
id not believe anyone would listen									1			
oid not believe anything could change erson in question considered "senior" to me									0	NΔ	after FY18	
pidn't know who to go to for help									0	IVA	urter i i i i	
oidn't know about Ombudsman process									2			
Other									0			
otal OBJ									5			
hy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (F												
ear of retribution	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
oid not believe anyone would listen									0			
old not believe anything could change									1			
erson in question considered "senior" to me									0	NA	after FY18	
vidn't know who to go to for help									2			
lidn't know about Ombudsman process Other									0			
otal OBJ									3			
Vhy did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (F	Rank 61											
vny dia you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (F	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
ear of retribution									0			
old not believe anyone would listen									1			
oid not believe anything could change erson in question considered "senior" to me									0	NA	after FY18	
pidn't know who to go to for help									1			
lidn't know about Ombudsman process									1			
otal ORI									0			
otal OBJ									3			
Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (F												
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
ear of retribution bid not believe anyone would listen									0			
oid not believe anything could change									0			
erson in question considered "senior" to me									0	NA	after FY18	
idn't know who to go to for help									0			
idale la sur ale sue Orahudanan arasasa												
oidn't know about Ombudsman process Other									0			
idn't know about Ombudsman process Ither otal OBJ												
otal OBJ									0			
Other									0			
otal OBJ lew question added in 2021 re you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0	2019	2021	2022
otal OBJ lew question added in 2021 re you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? es	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 2 2	2019	88	96
otal OBJ lew question added in 2021 are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? es lo	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 2 2	2019	88 8	
ither otal OBJ lew question added in 2021 ure you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? es lo otal	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 2 2	2019	88	96 10
otal OBJ lew question added in 2021 re you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? es lo otal MOE	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0 2 2	2019	88 8 96	96 10 106
Idea question added in 2021 Idea question added in 2021 Idea que empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Idea question added in 2021 Idea question added in 2021						2015	2016	2017	0 2 2	2019	88 8 96	96 10 106
otal OBJ lew question added in 2021 re you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? es lo otal MOE						2015	2016	2017	0 2 2	2019	88 8 96	96 10 106
Idew question added in 2021 Idew question added in 2021 Idew you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Ideo	erns to ensu	re analysis	adheres to	ICD 203 pri	inciples?				2018		88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93
Icw question added in 2021 Icw question added in 2021 Icw you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Icw good in 2021 Icw question added in 2021 Icw question added in 2021 Icw question added in 2021 Icw your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing conce	erns to ensu	re analysis	adheres to	ICD 203 pri	inciples?				2018		88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11
Idea question added in 2021 Idea question added in 2021 Idea que empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Idea question added in 2021 Idea question added in 2	erns to ensu	re analysis	adheres to	ICD 203 pri	inciples?				2018		88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104
Idew question added in 2021 Idew question added in 2021 Idew you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Ideo	erns to ensu	re analysis	adheres to	ICD 203 pri	inciples?				2018		88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11
Item question added in 2021 Item question added in 2021 Item you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Item question added in 2021	erns to ensu 2010	re analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pri 2013	inciples? 2014				2018		88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104
Item question added in 2021 Item question added in 2021 Item you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Item question added in 2021 Item question added in 2022	erns to ensu 2010	re analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pri 2013	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94 9.62%	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104 9.10%
Idea question added in 2021 Idea question added in 2022	erns to ensu 2010	re analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pri 2013	inciples? 2014				2018		88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104
Idew question added in 2021 Idew question added in 2021 Ides you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Ides of the principles of the	erns to ensu 2010	re analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pri 2013	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94 9.62%	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104 9.10%
iew question added in 2021 re you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? es to total AOE tew question added in 2021 robes your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing conce es to total AOE tew question added in 2021 robes your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing conce es to total AOE tew question added in 2022 What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better promote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address oncerns	erns to ensu 2010	re analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pri 2013	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94 9.62%	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104 9.10%
iew question added in 2021 re you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? es to total AOE tew question added in 2021 robes your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing conce es to total AOE tew question added in 2021 robes your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing conce es to total AOE tew question added in 2022 What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better promote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address oncerns	erns to ensu 2010	re analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pri 2013	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94 9.62%	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104 9.10% 2022 38
iew question added in 2021 re you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? es to to total time question added in 2021 rese your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing concess to to total time question added in 2021 rese your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing concess to total time question added in 2022 that is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better prome raining on the Analytic Standards romote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address concerns onsistent and transparent product review and feedback practices	erns to ensu 2010	re analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pri 2013	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94 9.62%	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104 9.10%
iew question added in 2021 re you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? es to total A/OE does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing concerts total A/OE lew question added in 2021 res total A/OE lew question added in 2021 res total A/OE lew question added in 2022 What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better proming on the Analytic Standards romote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address oncerns onsistent and transparent product review and feedback practices onnsistent and transparent product coordination practices omnsistent and transparent product coordination practices ommunication, guidance, and strategic messaging about the Analytic	erns to ensu 2010	re analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pri 2013	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94 9.62%	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104 9.10% 2022 38 6
Idew question added in 2021 Ides you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Ides you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Ides you go to	erns to ensu 2010	re analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pri 2013	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94 9.62%	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104 9.10% 2022 38 6
Idea question added in 2021 Idea question added in 2022 Idea question added in 2021 Idea question ad	erns to ensu 2010	re analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pri 2013	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94 9.62%	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104 9.10% 2022 38 6
idew question added in 2021 ies you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? ies lo otal A/OE iew question added in 2021 iew question added in 2022 iew question added in 2021 iew question adde	erns to ensu 2010	re analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pri 2013	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94 9.62%	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104 9.10% 2022 38 6
Idew question added in 2021 Ides you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Ides you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Ides you go to	erns to ensu 2010	re analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pri 2013	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94 9.62%	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104 9.10% 2022 38 6 13 9
Idea question added in 2021 Idea question added in 2022 Idea question ad	erns to ensu 2010	re analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pri 2013	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94 9.62%	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104 9.10% 2022 38 6 13 9 8
Idea question added in 2021 Ire you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Ites you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Ites so to total AOE Idea question added in 2021 Idea question added in 2022 Idea question added in 2021 Idea quest	erns to ensu 2010	re analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pri 2013	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94 9.62%	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104 9.10% 2022 38 6 13 9 8
tew question added in 2021 re you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? es to total	erns to ensu 2010	re analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pri 2013	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94 9.62%	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104 9.10% 2022 38 6 13 9 8
Idea question added in 2021 Idea question added in 2022 Idea question added in 2024 Idea question added in 2024 Idea question added in 2024 Idea question added in 2022 Idea question added in 2022	erns to ensu 2010 note analysi 2010 ibilities?	re analysis 2011 is that adhe 2011	eres to ICD 2012	2013 2013 203 princip 2013	les? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94 9.62%	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104 9.10% 2022 38 6 13 9 8 13 11 106 9.00%
Idea question added in 2021 Idea question added in 2022 Idea question ad	erns to ensu 2010	re analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pri 2013	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	88 8 96 9.51% 2021 82 12 94 9.62%	96 10 106 9.00% 2022 93 11 104 9.10% 2022 38 6 13 9 8

tal DE												105 9.05%
												9.05%
w question added in 2018 estion wording changed in 2021												
you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombuds?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
;	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	43	81	64	66
									0	51	31	5
tal DE									43 14.62%	132 7.95%	95 9.57%	71 11.21%
w question added in 2022 e you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?												
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
es D												73 31
otal OE												104 9.10%
OE .												9.10%
ew question added in 2022 by you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman?												
you know now to contact the ic Analytic Ombushian:	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
es D												58 15
otal												73
OE												11.05%
uestion wording changed in 2022												
ew question added in 2021 terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate yo	ur experien	ce with the	President	's Daily Bri	ef over the	past year?						
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
tisfactory mixed performance											14 4	17 14
nsatisfactory											3	4
o opinion otal											72 93	71 106
OE											9.68%	9.00%
ew question added in 2022												
hat was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles												
me constraints	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022 4
evels of review												0
hreshold for publication												3
ressure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of ternatives and/or dissent												3
ressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic												
fforts to distort or suppress analysis due to considerations regarding the												1
onsumer												2
nformation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization ther												0 5
otal												18
IOE												22.89%
uestion wording changed in 2022												
ew question added in 2021 terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate yo	ur experien	ce with the	National I	ntelligence	Council ov	er the past	year?					
TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY O	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
atisfactory mixed performance											19 5	28 7
nsatisfactory											0	2
o opinion otal											67 91	66 103
											9.80%	9.15%
UE												
ew question added in 2022 That was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles												
ew question added in 2022 That was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles	in your exp 2010	perience wit	th the Nati 2012	onal Intelli	gence Cour 2014	cil? 2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
w question added in 2022 hat was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles me constraints vels of review							2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2 2
that was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles me constraints vels of review preshold for publication							2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2
we question added in 2022 That was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles me constraints vels of review reshold for publication essure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of							2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2 2
that was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles me constraints vels of review reschold for publication ressure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of terratives and/or dissent							2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2 2 0
w question added in 2022 That was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles me constraints evels of review preshold for publication essure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of ternatives and/or dissent essure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic							2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2 2 0
we question added in 2022 That was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles me constraints resels of review reschold for publication ressure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of ternatives and/or dissent ressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic forts to distort or suppress analysis due to considerations regarding the onsumer							2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2 2 0 0 1
we question added in 2022 //hat was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles me constraints evels of review reshold for publication ressure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of ternatives and/or dissent ressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic fforts to distort or suppress analysis due to considerations regarding the onsumer formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization							2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2 2 0 0
we question added in 2022 That was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles me constraints resold for review reschold for publication ressure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of ternatives and/or dissent ressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic forts to distort or suppress analysis due to considerations regarding the ressumer formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization ther							2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2 2 0 0 1 0 0 3 8
we question added in 2022 I/hat was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles me constraints evels of review reschold for publication ressure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of ternatives and/or dissent ressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic reforts to distort or suppress analysis due to considerations regarding the summer formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization ther							2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2 2 0 0 1 0 0 3
ew question added in 2022 I/hat was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles me constraints evels of review reshold for publication ressure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of ternatives and/or dissent ressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic forts to distort or suppress analysis due to considerations regarding the snsumer formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization ther tal IOE uestion wording changed in 2022							2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2 2 0 0 1 0 0 3 8
hat was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles me constraints vels of review reshold for publication essure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of renatives and/or dissent essure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic forts to distort or suppress analysis due to considerations regarding the nsumer formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization ther tal OE sestion wording changed in 2022	2010	2011	2012				2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2 2 0 0 1 0 0 3 8
that was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles me constraints wels of review reschold for publication ressure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of ternatives and/or dissent ressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic forts to distort or suppress analysis due to considerations regarding the insumer formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization ther total OE uestion wording changed in 2022 we question added in 2021 ow many levels of review are involved in the analytic product type that you	2010	2011	2012				2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2 2 0 0 1 0 0 3 8 34.51%
that was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles me constraints vels of review reschold for publication reschold for publication ressure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of ternatives and/or dissent ressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic forts to distort or suppress analysis due to considerations regarding the insumer formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization ther tatal OE uestion wording changed in 2022	2010	2011	2012 pport?	2013	2014	2015						2 2 0 0 1 0 0 0 3 3 8 34.51%

ore than 5 nsure												6	3
tal DE												92 9.74%	96 9.51%
												9.74%	9.51%
w question added in 2021 w frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss fe	edback from product re	eview?											
. , ,		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
ways												27	31
requently ometimes												29 18	39 10
arely												6	15
ever												3	0
ot applicable to my work role												9	8
otal												92	103
OE												9.74%	9.15%
uestion wording changed in 2022													
uestion responses changed in 2022						_							
hat is the primary challenge to effective coordination for		type th: 2010	at you typi 2011	cally prepa 2012	are/suppor 2013	t? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
ifficulty generating attention to the product	_	-010			2010	2027	2010			2010	2015		8
ifficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertine	ent subject												
natter experts													14
verlapping roles or "lanes in the road"													19
fforts to distort or suppress the analysis													0
djudicating differering perspectives													6
essure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints													5
normation sharing constraints me constraints													6 15
ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements													0
o challenges													10
ot applicable to my work role													8
ther													8
otal IOE													99 9.35%
OL.													2.33%
ew question added in 2021													
hen considering the analytic product type that you typi		he prim 2010	ary challer 2011	nge to effe 2012	ctive coord	ination? 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
ifficulty generating attention to the product			-011	-012	2013	2014	2013	2010	2017	2010	2013	13	-022
ifficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertine	ent subject												
natter experts												19	
Overlapping roles or "lanes in the road"													
												27	
fforts to distort or suppress the analysis												0	10 -64-5
												0	IA after F
Efforts to distort or suppress the analysis Pressure to reach consensus Information sharing constraints												0	IA after F
ressure to reach consensus nformation sharing constraints												0 3 N	IA after F
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements												0 3 9 10 0	IA after F
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther												0 3 9 10 0 7	IA after F
ressure to reach consensus fformation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther otal												0 3 9 10 0 7	A after F
ressure to reach consensus fformation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther otal												0 3 9 10 0 7	A after F
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther otal toE question wording changed in 2018												0 3 9 10 0 7	A after F
ressure to reach consensus iformation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther otal IOE ressure to mording changed in 2018 I/hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a	analytic products, is cor											0 N 3 9 10 0 7 88 9.98%	
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther otal noE tuestion wording changed in 2018 When thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a	analytic products, is cor	nsiderat 2010	iion of alte 2011	rnative exp 2012	planations 2013	and/or out 2014	comes a ro 2015	utine part 2016	of the anal 2017	tytic process 2018	2019	0 N 3 9 10 0 7 88 9.98%	2022
Pressure to reach consensus information sharing constraints Time constraints Tressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements other Otal WIGE Question wording changed in 2018 When thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a fees	analytic products, is cor										2019 115	0 N 3 9 10 0 7 7 88 9.98%	2022 87
ressure to reach consensus rformation sharing constraints ime constraints rressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements otal MOE Question wording changed in 2018 When thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a	analytic products, is cor										2019	0 N 9 10 0 7 88 9.98%	2022
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther otal AOE Question wording changed in 2018 When thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es	analytic products, is cor										2019 115 1	0 N 3 9 10 0 7 7 88 9.98%	2022 87 5
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total total total v/hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es o o tot applicable to my work role total	analytic products, is cor										2019 115 1 9	0 N 9 10 0 7 888 9.98% 2021 79 3 9	2022 87 5 9
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther otal doc uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a ess o ot applicable to my work role otal	analytic products, is cor										2019 115 1 9 125	0 N 9 10 0 0 7 88 9.98% 2021 79 3 9 91	2022 87 5 9
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es o ot applicable to my work role otal IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 uestion wording changed in 2018 uestion wording changed in 2018	analytic products, is cor 2	2010	2011								2019 115 1 9 125	0 N 9 10 0 0 7 88 9.98% 2021 79 3 9 91	2022 87 5 9
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total rioe uestion wording changed in 2018 frhen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a res o ot applicable to my work role otal rioe uestion wording changed in 2018 uestion wording changed in 2018 uestion wording changed in 2018	analytic products, is cor 2 2 ves a routine part of th	2010 ne proce	2011 ss?	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20%	0 N 3 N 9 100 0 7 7 88 9.98% 2021 79 3 9 91 9.80%	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25%
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther otal noE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es o ot applicable to my work role otal IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation	analytic products, is cor 2 2 ves a routine part of th	2010 ne proce 2010	2011 sss? 2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20%	0 N 3 N 9 10 0 7 7 88 9.98% 2021 79 3 9 91 9.80%	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25%
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther otal noE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es o ot applicable to my work role otal iOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation	analytic products, is cor 2 2 ves a routine part of th	2010 ne proce	2011 ss?	2012 2012 56	2013 2013 26	2014 2014 32	2015 2015 10	2016 2016 3	2017 2017 9	2018	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20%	0 N 3 N 9 100 0 7 7 88 9.98% 2021 79 3 9 91 9.80%	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25%
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total total total typen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es o o tot applicable to my work role total tota	analytic products, is cor 2 2 ves a routine part of th	ne proce 2010	2011 sss? 2011 86 227	2012	2013	2014 2014 32 239	2015	2016 2016 3 26	2017 2017 9 104	2018 2018 10	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20%	0 N 3 N 9 10 0 7 7 88 9.98% 2021 79 3 9 91 9.80%	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25%
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es o o ot applicable to my work role total IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation o es o o o o es o o o o o o o o o o o o	analytic products, is cor 2 2 ves a routine part of th	ne proce 2010 121 220	2011 sss? 2011 86	2012 2012 56 151	2013 2013 26 168	2014 2014 32	2015 2015 10 111	2016 2016 3	2017 2017 9	2018 2018 2018 10 73	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20%	0 N 3 N 9 10 0 7 7 88 9.98% 2021 79 3 9 91 9.80%	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25%
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IOE question wording changed in 2018 //hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es o ot applicable to my work role otal IOE question wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation o es es o	analytic products, is cor 2 2 ves a routine part of th	2010 see proce 2010 121 220 341	2011 sss? 2011 86 227 313	2012 2012 56 151 207	2013 2013 26 168 194	2014 2014 32 239 271	2015 2015 10 111 121	2016 2016 3 26 29	2017 2017 9 104 113	2018 2018 10 73 83	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20%	0 N 3 N 9 10 0 7 7 88 9.98% 2021 79 3 9 91 9.80%	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25%
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es o o tot applicable to my work role total IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation es es total IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation es es total IOE uestion responses changed in 2019	analytic products, is cor 2 2 ves a routine part of th 2	ne proce 2010 121 220 341	sss? 2011 86 227 313 4.60%	2012 2012 56 151 207	2013 2013 26 168 194	2014 2014 32 239 271	2015 2015 10 111 121	2016 2016 3 26 29	2017 2017 9 104 113	2018 2018 10 73 83	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20%	0 N 3 N 9 10 0 7 7 88 9.98% 2021 79 3 9 91 9.80%	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25%
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es o o ot applicable to my work role total IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation o es o es o total IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation o es o es o total IOE uestion responses changed in 2019 ow often are the results of these alternative consideration	ves a routine part of th	ee proce 2010 121 220 341 .32%	sss? 2011 86 227 313 4.60%	2012 2012 56 151 207 6.07%	2013 26 168 194 6.32%	2014 32 239 271 5.09%	2015 2015 10 111 121 8.36%	2016 2016 3 26 29 17.93%	2017 2017 9 104 113 8.69%	2018 2018 10 73 83 10.30%	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20%	0 N 3 N 9 100 00 7 7 88 9.98% 2021 79 3 9 91 9.80% 2021 after FY18	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25%
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IOE ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IOE ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IOE ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IOE ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ressure to incorporate ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ressure to incorporate input from non-	ves a routine part of th	ne proce 2010 121 220 341	sss? 2011 86 227 313 4.60%	2012 2012 56 151 207	2013 2013 26 168 194	2014 2014 32 239 271	2015 2015 10 111 121	2016 2016 3 26 29	2017 2017 9 104 113	2018 2018 10 73 83	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20%	0 N 3 N 9 10 0 7 7 88 9.98% 2021 79 3 9 91 9.80%	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25%
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IOE question wording changed in 2018 //hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es o o tapplicable to my work role otal IOE question wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation o es otal oce guestion wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation oce es otal oce over the products of these alternative consideration of the preparative consideration of the products of these alternative consideration of the preparative con	ves a routine part of th	ee proce 2010 121 220 341 .32%	sss? 2011 86 227 313 4.60%	2012 2012 56 151 207 6.07%	2013 26 168 194 6.32%	2014 32 239 271 5.09%	2015 2015 10 111 121 8.36%	2016 2016 3 26 29 17.93%	2017 2017 9 104 113 8.69%	2018 2018 10 73 83 10.30%	2019 115 1 9 9 125 8.20%	0 N 3 N 9 9 10 0 0 7 88 8 9.98% 9.98% 9.98% 9.98% 2021 79 9 1 9.80% 2021 after FY18	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25% 2022
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IOE question wording changed in 2018 When thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es o o tot applicable to my work role total IOE question wording changed in 2018 When preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation es so total IOE question wording changed in 2018 When preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation es so total IOE question responses changed in 2019 ow often are the results of these alternative consideration liveys requently	ves a routine part of th	ee proce 2010 121 220 341 .32%	sss? 2011 86 227 313 4.60%	2012 2012 56 151 207 6.07%	2013 26 168 194 6.32%	2014 32 239 271 5.09%	2015 2015 10 111 121 8.36%	2016 2016 3 26 29 17.93%	2017 2017 9 104 113 8.69%	2018 2018 10 73 83 10.30%	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20% 2019 NA	0 N 3 N 9 9 10 0 0 7 7 88 9 9.98% 2021 79 3 9 91 9.80% 2021 after FY18	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25% 2022
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther otal INDE question wording changed in 2018 when thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es o o ot applicable to my work role otal INDE question wording changed in 2018 when the preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation o es es o o o o total o o e es o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	ves a routine part of th	ee proce 2010 121 220 341 .32%	sss? 2011 86 227 313 4.60%	2012 2012 56 151 207 6.07%	2013 26 168 194 6.32%	2014 32 239 271 5.09%	2015 2015 10 111 121 8.36%	2016 2016 3 26 29 17.93%	2017 2017 9 104 113 8.69%	2018 2018 10 73 83 10.30%	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20% 2019 NA 2019 33 44	0 N 3 N 9 9 10 0 7 7 88 9.98% 2021 79 3 9 91 9.80% 2021 after FY18	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25% 2022 2022
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IODE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a ses oo ot applicable to my work role total IODE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation oo ses total IODE uestion responses changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation oo ses total IODE uestion responses changed in 2019 ow often are the results of these alternative consideration livelys requently ometimes arely ever	ves a routine part of th	ee proce 2010 121 220 341 .32%	sss? 2011 86 227 313 4.60%	2012 2012 56 151 207 6.07%	2013 26 168 194 6.32%	2014 32 239 271 5.09%	2015 2015 10 111 121 8.36%	2016 2016 3 26 29 17.93%	2017 2017 9 104 113 8.69%	2018 2018 10 73 83 10.30%	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20% 2019 NA 2019 33 44 35 6	0 N 3 N 9 9 10 0 7 7 88 9.98% 2021 79 3 9 91 9.80% 2021 200 36 20 20 2 1 1	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25% 2022 30 35 20 2
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es o o ot applicable to my work role total IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternative o es stal IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternative o es stal IOE uestion responses changed in 2019 ow often are the results of these alternative considerati Iways equently ometimes arely ever btal	ves a routine part of th	ee proce 2010 121 220 341 .32%	sss? 2011 86 227 313 4.60%	2012 2012 56 151 207 6.07%	2013 26 168 194 6.32%	2014 32 239 271 5.09%	2015 2015 10 111 121 8.36%	2016 2016 3 26 29 17.93%	2017 2017 9 104 113 8.69%	2018 2018 10 73 83 10.30%	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20% 2019 NA 2019 33 44 35 5 6 123	0 N 3 N 9 9 10 0 7 7 888 9.98% 2021 79 9 91 9.80% 2021 after FY18 2021 20	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25% 2022 30 35 20 2 2 0 87
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther otal fOE question wording changed in 2018 When thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es lo lot applicable to my work role	ves a routine part of th	ee proce 2010 121 220 341 .32%	sss? 2011 86 227 313 4.60%	2012 2012 56 151 207 6.07%	2013 26 168 194 6.32%	2014 32 239 271 5.09%	2015 2015 10 111 121 8.36%	2016 2016 3 26 29 17.93%	2017 2017 9 104 113 8.69%	2018 2018 10 73 83 10.30%	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20% 2019 NA 2019 33 44 35 6	0 N 3 N 9 9 10 0 7 7 88 9.98% 2021 79 3 9 91 9.80% 2021 200 36 20 20 2 1 1	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25% 2022 30 35 20 2
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther ther total IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a ses to o tot applicable to my work role total IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternative consistency ses total IOE uestion responses changed in 2019 ow often are the results of these alternative considerative lever constraints uestion responses changed in 2019 ow often are the results of these alternative considerative lever constraints uestion responses changed in 2019 ow often are the results of these alternative considerative lever constraints	ves a routine part of th	ee proce 2010 121 220 341 .32%	sss? 2011 86 227 313 4.60%	2012 2012 56 151 207 6.07%	2013 2013 26 168 194 6.32%	2014 32 239 271 5.09%	2015 2015 10 111 121 8.36%	2016 2016 3 26 29 17.93%	2017 2017 9 104 113 8.69%	2018 2018 10 73 83 10.30%	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20% 2019 NA 2019 33 44 35 5 6 123	0 N 3 N 9 9 10 0 7 7 888 9.98% 2021 79 9 91 9.80% 2021 after FY18 2021 20	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25% 2022 30 35 20 2 2 0 87
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es oo oot applicable to my work role total IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation oo es stal IOE uestion responses changed in 2019 ow often are the results of these alternative considerati liways equently ometimes arely ever total IOE uestion wording changed in 2019 ow often are the results of these alternative considerati liways equently ometimes arely ever total IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 ow often are the results from your analysis of alternation IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 ow often are the results from your analsysis of alternation	ves a routine part of th dions included in the put	blished 2010	2011 sss? 2011 86 227 313 4.60% product? 2011	2012 2012 56 151 207 6.07%	2013 2013 26 168 194 6.32%	2014 32 239 271 5.09%	2015 10 111 121 8.36%	2016 3 26 29 17.93% 2016	2017 2017 9 104 113 8.69%	2018 10 73 10.30%	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20% 2019 NA 2019 33 44 35 5 6 123 8.28%	0 N 3 N 9 9 10 0 0 7 88 8 9.98% 2021 79 91 9.80% 2021 20 36 6 20 2 2 1 79 9 10.58%	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25% 2022 30 35 20 2 2 0 87 10.04%
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IOE question wording changed in 2018 //hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es to to to applicable to my work role total IOE question wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternative to es total IOE question wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternative to es total IOE question responses changed in 2019 tow often are the results of these alternative considerative liways requently to mentimes arely ever total IOE question wording changed in 2018 total IOE question responses thanged in 2019 total IOE question wording changed in 2018 total IOOE question wording changed in 2018 total IOOE	ves a routine part of th dions included in the put	ne proce 2010 121 220 341 .32%	2011 ss? 2011 86 227 313 4.60% product? 2011	2012 2012 56 151 207 6.07%	2013 2013 26 168 194 6.32%	2014 32 239 271 5.09%	2015 2015 10 111 121 8.36%	2016 2016 3 26 29 17.93%	2017 2017 9 104 113 8.69% 2017	2018 2018 10 73 83 10.30% 2018	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20% 2019 NA 2019 33 44 35 5 6 123	0 N 3 N 9 9 10 0 7 7 888 9.98% 2021 79 9 91 9.80% 2021 after FY18 2021 20	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25% 2022 30 35 20 2 2 0 87
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IOE question wording changed in 2018 //hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es o o ot applicable to my work role otal IOE question wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation o es otal IOE question wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation o es otal IOE question responses changed in 2019 ow often are the results of these alternative consideration lively ever otal IOOE question wording changed in 2018 ow often are the results from your analsysis of alternation output ou	ves a routine part of th dions included in the put	blished 2010	2011 sss? 2011 86 227 313 4.60% product? 2011	2012 2012 56 151 207 6.07%	2013 2013 26 168 194 6.32%	2014 32 239 271 5.09%	2015 10 111 121 8.36%	2016 3 26 29 17.93% 2016	2017 2017 9 104 113 8.69% 2017	2018 2018 10 73 83 10.30% 2018	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20% 2019 NA 2019 33 44 35 5 6 123 8.28%	0 N 3 N 9 9 10 0 0 7 88 8 9.98% 2021 79 91 9.80% 2021 20 36 6 20 2 2 1 79 9 10.58%	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25% 2022 30 35 20 2 2 0 87 10.04%
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther otal ADE Luestion wording changed in 2018 When thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es to loo tot applicable to my work role total ADE Luestion wording changed in 2018 When preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation loo les total ADE Luestion wording changed in 2018 When preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation loo les total ADE Luestion responses changed in 2019 Luestion responses changed in 2018 Lucetion wording ch	ves a routine part of th dions included in the put	blished 2010	2011 sss? 2011 86 227 313 4.60% product? 2011	2012 2012 56 151 207 6.07%	2013 2013 26 168 194 6.32%	2014 32 239 271 5.09%	2015 10 111 121 8.36%	2016 3 26 29 17.93% 2016	2017 2017 9 104 113 8.69% 2017	2018 2018 10 73 83 10.30% 2018	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20% 2019 NA 2019 33 44 35 5 6 123 8.28%	0 N 3 N 9 9 10 0 7 7 888 9.98% 2021 79 9 9 1 9.80% 2021 2021 20 2 2 1 7 9 10.58% 2021 2021 200 2 2 1 1 7 9 10.58%	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25% 2022 30 35 20 2 2 0 87 10.04%
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints me constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a ses o ot applicable to my work role otal IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation o ses solution IOE uestion responses changed in 2019 ow often are the results of these alternative considerati liways requently ometimes arely ever outline uestion wording changed in 2018 ow often are the results from your analsysis of alternation IOE uestion responses changed in 2019 ow often are the results of these alternative considerati liways are uestion wording changed in 2018 ow often are the results from your analsysis of alternation lives ow often are the results from your analsysis of alternation lives solution IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 ow often are the results from your analsysis of alternation lives outline IOE uestion wording changed in 2018 ow often are the results from your analsysis of alternation lives uestion wording changed in 2018 ow often are the results from your analsysis of alternation lives	ves a routine part of th dions included in the put	blished 2010	2011 sss? 2011 86 227 313 4.60% product? 2011	2012 2012 56 151 207 6.07%	2013 2013 26 168 194 6.32%	2014 32 239 271 5.09%	2015 10 111 121 8.36%	2016 3 26 29 17.93% 2016	2017 9 104 1.69% 2017 2017	2018 2018 10 73 10.30% 2018 2018	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20% 2019 NA 2019 33 44 35 5 6 123 8.28%	0 N 3 N 9 9 10 0 0 7 88 8 9.98% 2021 79 91 9.80% 2021 20 36 6 20 2 2 1 79 9 10.58%	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25% 2022 30 35 20 2 2 0 87 10.04%
ressure to reach consensus formation sharing constraints ime constraints ressure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements ther total IOE question wording changed in 2018 //hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing a es o o ot applicable to my work role otal IOE question wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation o es otal IOE question wording changed in 2018 //hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternation o es otal IOE question responses changed in 2019 ow often are the results of these alternative consideration lively ever otal IOOE question wording changed in 2018 ow often are the results from your analsysis of alternation output ou	ves a routine part of th dions included in the put	blished 2010	2011 sss? 2011 86 227 313 4.60% product? 2011	2012 2012 56 151 207 6.07%	2013 2013 26 168 194 6.32%	2014 32 239 271 5.09%	2015 10 111 121 8.36%	2016 3 26 29 17.93% 2016	2017 2017 9 104 113 8.69% 2017	2018 2018 10 73 83 10.30% 2018	2019 115 1 9 125 8.20% 2019 NA 2019 33 44 35 5 6 123 8.28%	0 N 3 N 9 9 10 0 7 7 888 9.98% 2021 79 9 9 1 9.80% 2021 2021 20 2 2 1 7 9 10.58% 2021 2021 200 2 2 1 1 7 9 10.58%	2022 87 5 9 101 9.25% 2022 30 35 20 2 2 0 87 10.04%

Companies Comp	ne constraints	2010	2011	he publishe 2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Company Comp	-												
Content of the content of the call and so the periods of the content of the con	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												
Content of the Consume would be ancest to alternatives 1													
The control marked is 2021 If all the following is the plinary causes the alternative connectations were excluded from the published product? AND WAST MANUE WAST WAST WAST WAST WAST WAST WAST WAST	·												
182 182													
The part of the following in the primary reason the alternative considerations were excluded from the published products 1 218 241 241 241 241 241 241 241 241 241 241	tal												
The control of the Cholowing is the grimany research well elementary control research and the grimany control of the control o	OE .												69.23%
The contribution of the primary research and the primary research and the primary research and the primary research and the product of the pr	ny question added in 2021												
The proper prope		were exclu	ded from th	he publishe	d product?								
Some precision and residence and add evaluate to the product. ***********************************							2015	2016	2017	2018	2019		2022
Separate processes and recovers and and any dark give to the growth. The processes of discolaries for increased by increases of discolaries of alternatives and processes and processes of alternatives and processes and processes of alternatives and processes of alternatives and processes and processes of alternatives and processes and													
Set processor for control to customary and processor set processor												0	
was disconnected for excessed records by recivened to disclination of alternatives were precised the Continue would be aerea to disclination of alternatives were precised to disclination of alternatives with the aeria by the continue would be aerea to disclination of alternatives with the aeria by the continue would be aerea to disclination of alternatives and year and the continue would be aerea to disclination of alternatives and year and the continue and year and the continue and year and the continue and year at a series to product conveying significant difference in analytic judgments and year	was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product											3 N	IA after F
was percented the contourner would the entert of inclusion of alternatives of the contourner would be entert of inclusion of alternatives to the analytic judgments analytic integratives to the analytic judgments analytic j	was discouraged or removed by reviewer												
The part of the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analytic judgments and/or dissent in the analytic judgment type. Blat yout spically prepare? 2012 2012 2013 2014 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2018 2019 2019 2012 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019	was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternatives												
See contains add all 2022 that is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analytic judgments and/or dissert in the analytic product type that you typically prepare? ### 2010 #2011 #2012 #2013 #2014 #2013 #2014 #2015 #2016 #2017 *2018 #2019 #2011 #2012 ### 2010 #2011 #2012 #2013 #2014 #2013 #2014 #2015 #2016 #2017 *2018 #2019 #2011 #2012 ### 2010 #2011 #2012 #2013 #2014 #2013 #2014 #2015 #2016 #2017 *2018 #2019 #2011 #2012 ### 2010 #2011 #2012 #2013 #2014 #2015 #2016 #2017 *2018 #2019 #2011 #2012 ### 2010 #2011 #2012 #2013 #2014 #2015 #2016 #2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2019 *2011 *2012 *2013 *2014 *2015 *2016 *2017 *2018 *2019 *2													
That is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analytic judgments													
The stand to the primary haldenge to conveying significant differences in availytic jungenters and products on a value of the part of the	OE .											30.30%	
2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2016 2017 2018 2019 2012 2012 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019	ew question added in 2022												
secure from antian the enisting analytic innor status on an atopic innor status on an atopic innor status on an atopic innor status on an analytic judgment in the consumer is averes to products conveying differing view sundemine the main analytic judgment in the consumer is averes to products conveying differing view in the consumer is averes to products conveying differing view in the consumer is averes to products conveying differing view in the consumer is averes to products conveying differing view in the consumer is averes to products conveying differing view in the consumer is averes to products conveying differing view in the consumer is averaged to product the consumer in a very surface of the consumer in a very surface view in in a very surface	hat is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in analytic												
The part of the consumer is averse to products conveying differing views undermine the main analytic judgment returnation that differing views undermine the main analytic judgment returnation of the products of the product	essure to maintain the existing analytic line or status are an adda.	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Part	essure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic												9
The part year, were you involved in denetic interesting in part of a continuous and of in 2022 The part year, were you involved in domestic externisin-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in domestic externisin-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in domestic externisin-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in domestic externisin-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in domestic externisin-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in domestic externisin-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in domestic externisin-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in domestic externisin-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in domestic externisin-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in domestic externisin-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in domestic externisin-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in domestic externisin-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in domestic externisin-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in domestic externisin-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in domestic externisin-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in domestic externisin-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in ponter security-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in ponter security-related intelligence analysis. The part year, were you involved in ponter security-related intelligence analysis? The part year, were you involved in ponter security-related intelligence analysis. The part year, were you involved in ponter security-related intelligence analysis. The part year, were you involved in ponter security-related intelligence analysis. The part year, were you involved in po	erception that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing												-
Section and asked in 2022 Section and sked i	ews												3
Section (Part All Property Part All Property P	erception that differing views undermine the main analytic judgement												
Ministry													
Micro definating ead, collaboration, and coordination rots or material registry and protecting compartmentalization 19 control and along control and any control any control and any control and any control any control a													
Section and saked in 2022	olicies delineating lead, collaboration, and coordination roles												
24 algolizable to my work role her stage in 2012 10 algolizable to my work role her stage in 2012 10 algolizable to my work role her stage in 2012 10 algolizable to my work role her stage in 2012 10 algolizable to my work role 10 algolizable 10 algolizab	formation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization												
The control of the co	o challenges												
Applies that the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis? The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence anal	ot applicable to my work role												
Design on taked in 2022 ***Marketin added in 2021 ***The past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in description of a security related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in bonder security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in bonder security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in bonder security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in bonder security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in bonder security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in bonder security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in bonder security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in bonder security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in bonder security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in bonder security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in bonder security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in bonder security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in bonder security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in bonder security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in bonder security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in bonder security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past													
isseltion not asked in 2022 In a supersign added in 2021 In past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligence analysis? In a supersign added in 2021 In a supersi													
tal is a section not asked in 2022 ***Section 10 asked in 2022 ***Section 10 asked in 2022 ***Section 10 asked in 2021 ***Section 10 asked in 2022 ***Section 10 asked in 2021 ***Sectio			2011	2012	2012	2014	2015	2016	2017	2010	2010	2021	2022
103 Ma ster? 104 1022 105 103 Variety of the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis? 106 107 108 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109	es	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	0	
we question added in 2022 ***The past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis?** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis.** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis.** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis.** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis.** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis.** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis.** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis.** ***The past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis.** ***The past year, were you involved in border sec	0											N	IA after F
the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 1 1 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	otal											103	
the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 1 1 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2													
the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence analysis? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022													
2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 15													
103 NA after F 104 105 107 108 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109 109	ew question added in 2021	nce analysis	?										
stal 104 104	ew question added in 2021			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
sequestion not asked in 2022 sew question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 100	ew question added in 2021 I the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligen as			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1	
the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019	ew question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligen 25 0			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 103	
the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence analysis? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019	ew question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligen 25			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 103	
2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2011 2012 2011 2012 2011 2012 2011 2012 2011 2012 2011 2012 2011 2012 2011 2012 2011 2012 2011 2012 2011 2	uestion not asked in 2022 ew question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligences o otal uestion not asked in 2022			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 103	
A plant of the considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or suppression of analysis, which of the following was the primary Analytic Standard Violated? Property	ew question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelliger o tal uestion not asked in 2022			2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 103	
total testion not asked in 2022 ave question added in 2021 then considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or suppression of analysis, which of the following was the primary Analytic Standard Violated? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 Dispective dependent of political considerations mely seed on all available sources of intelligence information plements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards ther 1 NA after F 2 Usestion not asked in 2022 usestion not asked in 2022 usestion wording changed in 2021 as the assistance effective in resolving the issue? 2 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligences to tal uestion not asked in 2022 ew question added in 2021	2010	2011									1 103 104	IA after F
set on to tasked in 2022 we question not asked in 2022 we question added in 2021 then considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or suppression of analysis, which of the following was the primary Analytic Standard Violated? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 ojective dependent of political considerations mely seed on all available sources of intelligence information uplements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards ther ther otal OBJ as the assistance effective in resolving the issue? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2022 2031 2042 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2032 2043 2044 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2041 2042 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2041 2042 2046 2047 2048 2049 2041 2042 2047 2048 2049 2041 2042 2048 2049 2041 2042 2048 2049 2041 2042 2049 2041 2042 2049 2041 2042 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2041 2042 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2041 2042 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2041 2042 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2041 2042 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2041 2042 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2041 2042 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2041 2042 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2041 2042 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2041 2042 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2041 2042 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2048 2048 2048 2048 2048 2048 2048	the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligents by control con	2010	2011									1 103 104	IA after F
every question not asked in 2022 avery question added in 2021 hen considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or suppression of analysis, which of the following was the primary Analytic Standard Violated? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 Defective 2	the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligents obtail uestion not asked in 2022 aw question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are	2010	2011									1 103 104	IA after F
then considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or suppression of analysis, which of the following was the primary Analytic Standard Violated? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022	the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligences to botal uestion not asked in 2022 ew question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are to botal	2010	2011									1 103 104 2021 4 100	IA after F
then considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or suppression of analysis, which of the following was the primary Analytic Standard Violated? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2018 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019	the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligents to be a second or s	2010	2011									1 103 104 2021 4 100	IA after F
2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022	the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligents to be a second or the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligents to be a second or the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are second or the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are second or the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are second or the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are second or the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are second or the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are second or the past year.	2010	2011									1 103 104 2021 4 100	IA after F
dependent of political considerations dependent of political considerations mely seed on all available sources of intelligence information openments and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards ther openments and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards there openments and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards openments and exhibits Analytic Tr	the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligences to botal uestion not asked in 2022 ew question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are so to botal uestion not asked in 2022 ew question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are so to botal uestion not asked in 2022 ew question added in 2022 ew question added in 2022	nalysis?	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018		1 103 104 2021 4 100	IA after F
dependent of political considerations mely seed on all available sources of intelligence information splements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards ther that OBJ seed on all available sources of intelligence information splements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards ther state OBJ seed on all available sources of intelligence information splements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards state OBJ st	the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligents to be tall the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligents to be tall the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are tall the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are tall the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are tall the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are tall the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are tall the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are tall the past year.	nalysis? 2010 pression of	2011 2011 analysis, w	2012 which of the	2013	2014 was the pr	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	1 103 N 104 104 100 N 104 100 N 104	2022 IA after F
seed on all available sources of intelligence information O NA after F	the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligents by control description not asked in 2022 and the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are control description not asked in 2021 the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are control description not asked in 2022 and the past year you involved in border security-related intelligence are control description not asked in 2022 and the past year you involved in border security-related intelligence are control description not asked in 2021 then considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or supplied to the past year.	nalysis? 2010 pression of	2011 2011 analysis, w	2012 which of the	2013	2014 was the pr	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 4 100 104	2022 IA after F
ised on all available sources of intelligence information plejements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ew question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligences to obtail uestion not asked in 2022 ew question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are to obtail uestion not asked in 2022 ew question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are to obtail uestion not asked in 2022 ew question added in 2021 then considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or supplicitive	nalysis? 2010 pression of	2011 2011 analysis, w	2012 which of the	2013	2014 was the pr	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 4 100 104	2022 IA after F
ther 0 total OBJ destrion not asked in 2022 uestion wording changed in 2021 as the assistance effective in resolving the issue? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022	ew question added in 2021 It the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligent as o	nalysis? 2010 pression of	2011 2011 analysis, w	2012 which of the	2013	2014 was the pr	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 4 100 104	2022 IA after F
tal OBJ uestion not asked in 2022 uestion wording changed in 2021 as the assistance effective in resolving the issue? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 0 2	ew question added in 2021 It the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligences O otal Question not asked in 2022 ew question added in 2021 It the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are es O otal question not asked in 2022 ew question added in 2021 When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or sup in the past year in the past year in the past year in the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence even you involved in border security-related intelligence are your past year.	nalysis? 2010 pression of	2011 2011 analysis, w	2012 which of the	2013	2014 was the pr	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 4 100 104	2022 IA after F
suestion not asked in 2022 sestion wording changed in 2021 as the assistance effective in resolving the issue? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ew question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligences to obtail uestion not asked in 2022 ew question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are so obtail uestion not asked in 2022 ew question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are so obtail uestion not asked in 2022 ew question added in 2021 then considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or supplicative dependent of political considerations mely seed on all available sources of intelligence information inplements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards	nalysis? 2010 pression of	2011 2011 analysis, w	2012 which of the	2013	2014 was the pr	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 4 100 104 2021 2 2 2 2 1 0 1	2022 IA after F
sestion wording changed in 2021 as the assistance effective in resolving the issue? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligents by the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligents by the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are security-related intell	nalysis? 2010 pression of	2011 2011 analysis, w	2012 which of the	2013	2014 was the pr	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 4 100 104 2021 2021 2 2 1 0	2022 IA after F
as the assistance effective in resolving the issue? 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022	the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligents by the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligents by the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are security-related intell	nalysis? 2010 pression of	2011 2011 analysis, w	2012 which of the	2013	2014 was the pr	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 4 100 104 2021 2021 2 2 1 0	2022 IA after F
2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2021 2022 2	ew question added in 2021 It the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligent as o	nalysis? 2010 pression of	2011 2011 analysis, w	2012 which of the	2013	2014 was the pr	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 4 100 104 2021 2021 2 2 1 0	2022 IA after F
2	ew question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligences to be considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or supplements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards there you all available sources of intelligence information intelligence and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards there you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security-related intelligence and you were you involved in border security	nalysis? 2010 pression of	2011 2011 analysis, w	2012 which of the	2013	2014 was the pr	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 4 100 104 2021 2021 2 2 1 0	2022 IA after F
	the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligents tal destion not asked in 2022 ask question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are s o tal destion not asked in 2022 ask question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are s o tal destion not asked in 2022 ask question added in 2021 then considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or supplied to the past year your most significant experience of distortion and/or supplied in a destination of political considerations mely spel on all available sources of intelligence information per	nalysis? 2010 pression of 2010	2011 2011 analysis, w 2011	2012 vhich of the 2012	2013	2014 was the pr 2014	2015 imary Anal 2015	2016 lytic Standa 2016	2017 ard Violates 2017	2018	2019	2021 4 100 104 2021 2 2 2 1 0 1 0 6	2022 IA after F 2022 IA after F
	the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligents be betal uestion not asked in 2022 ew question added in 2021 the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence are security-related intellige	nalysis? 2010 pression of 2010	2011 2011 analysis, w 2011	2012 vhich of the 2012	2013	2014 was the pr 2014	2015 imary Anal 2015	2016 lytic Standa 2016	2017 ard Violates 2017	2018	2019	2021 2021 2021 2 2 2 1 0 6	2022 IA after F 2022 IA after F

Total											5	
MOE											43.72%	
Question not asked in 2022												
Did you receive assistance that was effective in resolving the problem?												
n	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 202	2
No	8	11	3	6	6	3	2	7	3	10		
Yes	2	2	1	5	3	4	0	0	1	2	NA after FY19	
Partially	5	6	8	6	7	6	2	1	1	3		
Total	15	19	12	17	16	13	4	8	5	15		
MOF	25 11%	22 27%	28 12%	23 57%	24 30%	27.00%	48 90%	3/1 51%	43 72%	25 11%		

Question wording changed in 2021 Which of the following best describes your work role?											
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Analyst	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2010	2017	2018	77%	76%
Manager of analysts										10%	139
Analytic enabler										9%	99
Other										4%	39
Total										100%	1009
Total										100%	1007
Original response categories What is your work role?											
what is your work role?	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
										2019	2021
Manager of analysts	18%	11%	17%	8%	11%	14%	12%	14%	10%		
Analyst	82%	89%	83%	92%	89%	86%	88%	69%	80%	NA	after F
Other (new in 2017)	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	17%	9%		
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
Question wording changed in 2021 How long have you been involved in analytic work in the IC?											
#	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Less than 5 years	57%	57%	48%	51%	40%	26%	8%	21%	16%	26%	369
5 - 9 years	13%	18%	18%	20%	23%	24%	16%	27%	23%	11%	129
10 - 19 years							64%	26%	35%	38%	349
20 years or more	29%	26%	34%	29%	37%	50%	12%	26%	25%	24%	199
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	1009
	100/0	100/0	100/0	100/0	100/0	100/0	100/0	100/0	100/0	100/0	
Question wording changed in 2021											
Question responses changed in 2021 In the past year, have you experienced distortion and/or suppression	on of analysis in vio	lation of IC	D 203?								
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Yes											69
No											949
Total											1009
Yes, I suspect but am not sure it has occurred No										5% 83%	NA at
											NA af
No										83%	NA af
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018	out or surross and	uris on wh	ich vou vo	zo working						83%	NA af
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort						2015	2016	2017	2019	83% 100%	
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to diste	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	83%	
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distress % No	2010 94%	2011 91%	2012 91%	2013 89%	2014 92%	84%	87%	90%	86%	83% 100% 2019	2023
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distort the state of the	2010 94% 6%	2011 91% 9%	2012 91% 9%	2013 89% 11%	2014 92% 8%	84% 16%	87% 13%	90% 10%	86% 14%	83% 100% 2019	2023
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distress % No	2010 94%	2011 91%	2012 91%	2013 89%	2014 92%	84%	87%	90%	86%	83% 100% 2019	2023
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distress No Yes Total	2010 94% 6%	2011 91% 9%	2012 91% 9%	2013 89% 11%	2014 92% 8%	84% 16%	87% 13%	90% 10%	86% 14%	83% 100% 2019	2021
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to diste % No Yes Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021	2010 94% 6% 100%	2011 91% 9%	2012 91% 9%	2013 89% 11%	2014 92% 8%	84% 16%	87% 13%	90% 10%	86% 14%	83% 100% 2019	2023
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the street of	2010 94% 6% 100%	91% 9% 100%	91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	83% 100% 2019 NA	202: after FY
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distry No Yes Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of anal	2010 94% 6% 100%	2011 91% 9%	2012 91% 9%	2013 89% 11%	2014 92% 8%	84% 16%	87% 13%	90% 10%	86% 14%	83% 100% 2019	2022 after FY
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distance to the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distance to the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distance to the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distance the past year. Yes Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of ana % Constantly (daily)	2010 94% 6% 100%	91% 9% 100%	91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	83% 100% 2019 NA	2021 after FY
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the second of the second	2010 94% 6% 100%	91% 9% 100%	91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	83% 100% 2019 NA	2021 after F) 2021 09 179
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the street of	2010 94% 6% 100%	91% 9% 100%	91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	83% 100% 2019 NA	2021 after F) 2021 09 179 339
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distry No Yes Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of ana % Constantly (daily) Frequently (weekly or monthly) Occasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) Rarely (Once or twice)	2010 94% 6% 100%	91% 9% 100%	91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	83% 100% 2019 NA	2021 after F\ 2021 09 179 339 509
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the street of	2010 94% 6% 100%	91% 9% 100%	91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	83% 100% 2019 NA	202: after F'
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distry No Yes Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of ana % Constantly (daily) Frequently (weekly or monthly) Occasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) Rarely (Once or twice)	2010 94% 6% 100%	91% 9% 100%	91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	83% 100% 2019 NA	2021 after F\ 2021 09 179 339 509
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distry No Yes Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of ana % Constantly (daily) Frequently (weekly or monthly) Occasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) Rarely (Once or twice)	2010 94% 6% 100%	91% 9% 100%	91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	83% 100% 2019 NA	2021 after F\ 2021 09 179 339 509
Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the state of th	2010 94% 6% 100%	91% 9% 100%	91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	83% 100% 2019 NA	2021 after F\ 2021 09 179 339 509
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the post year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the post year. No Yes Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of ana % Constantly (daily) Frequently (weekly or monthly) Occasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) Rarely (Once or twice) Total	2010 94% 6% 100%	91% 9% 100%	91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	83% 100% 2019 NA	2021 after F\ 2021 09 179 339 509
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year. Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of anal section of the past year. Year of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year. Prequently (daily) Frequently (weekly or monthly) Occasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) Rarely (Once or twice) Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Question wording changed in 2019	2010 94% 6% 100%	91% 9% 100%	91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	83% 100% 2019 NA	2022 2022 0% 177 33% 500 100%
Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year. Question wording changed in 2021 Question wording changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of ana % Constantly (daily) Frequently (weekly or monthly) Occasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) Rarely (Once or twice) Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Question wording changed in 2019 Over the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression	2010 94% 6% 100% sllysis? 2010	2011 91% 9% 100%	2012 91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	2014 92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	83% 100% 2019 NA	2022 2022 0% 177 33% 500 100%
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distry % No Yes Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of ana % Constantly (daily) Frequently (weekly or monthly) Occasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) Rarely (Once or twice) Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Question wording changed in 2019 Over the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression %	2010 94% 6% 100% sllysis? 2010	2011 91% 9% 100%	2012 91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	2014 92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	83% 100% 2019 NA 2019	2022 2022 2023 2023
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year wording changed in 2021 Question wording changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of ana % Constantly (daily) Frequently (weekly or monthly) Occasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) Rarely (Once or twice) Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Question wording changed in 2019 Over the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression % On a daily or monthly basis	2010 94% 6% 100% sllysis? 2010	2011 91% 9% 100%	2012 91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	2014 92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	2019 NA 2019 2019 2019	2022 2022 2023 2023
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distable to the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distable to the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distable to the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distable to the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distable yes Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of ana % Constantly (daily) Frequently (weekly or monthly) Occasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) Rarely (Once or twice) Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Question wording changed in 2019 Over the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression % On a daily or monthly basis On a monthly basis	2010 94% 6% 100% sllysis? 2010	2011 91% 9% 100%	2012 91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	2014 92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	83% 100% 2019 NA 2019 2019 14% 5%	2022 2022 2023 2023 2023
Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year of your year. Question wording changed in 2021 Question wording changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of ana % Constantly (daily) Frequently (weekly or monthly) Occasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) Rarely (Once or twice) Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Question wording changed in 2019 Over the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression % On a daily or monthly basis On a monthly basis Several times a year (less than 12 but more than 3)	2010 94% 6% 100% sllysis? 2010	2011 91% 9% 100%	2012 91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	2014 92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	2019 NA 2019 2019 2019	2022 2022 2023 2023
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year. No Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of ana % Constantly (daily) Frequently (weekly or monthly) Occasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) Rarely (Once or twice) Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Question wording changed in 2019 Over the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression % On a daily or monthly basis On a monthly basis Several times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) 2 - 3 times	2010 94% 6% 100% sllysis? 2010	2011 91% 9% 100%	2012 91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	2014 92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	2019 NA 2019 2019 14% 5% 24% 29%	2022 2022 2023 2023 2023
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year. No Yes Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of analy Constantly (daily) Frequently (weekly or monthly) Occasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) Rarely (Once or twice) Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Question wording changed in 2019 Over the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression On a daily or monthly basis On a monthly basis Several times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) 2 - 3 times Once	2010 94% 6% 100% sllysis? 2010	2011 91% 9% 100%	2012 91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	2014 92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	2019 NA 2019 2019 14% 5% 24% 29%	2021 2021 2021 2021 2021
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year for the past year for the past year for the past year for the past year how often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of anal constantly (daily) Frequently (weekly or monthly) Occasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) Rarely (Once or twice) Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Question wording changed in 2019 Over the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression on a daily or monthly basis On a daily or monthly basis On a monthly basis Several times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) 2 - 3 times Once Total	2010 94% 6% 100% sllysis? 2010	2011 91% 9% 100%	2012 91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	2014 92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	2019 NA 2019 2019 14% 5% 24% 29%	2021 2021 2021 2021 2021
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year. Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of ana % Constantly (daily) Frequently (weekly or monthly) Occasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) Rarely (Once or twice) Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Question wording changed in 2019 Over the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression % On a daily or monthly basis On a monthly basis Several times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) 2 - 3 times Once Total New response categories in 2018 How often do you face distortion/suppression of your analysis?	2010 94% 6% 100% silysis? 2010	2011 91% 9% 100%	2012 91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100% 2013	2014 92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	86% 14% 100%	2019 NA 2019 2019 14% 5% 24% 29% 29% 100%	2021 2021 09 179 339 500 1009
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to district the past year. Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of ana % Constantly (daily) Frequently (weekly or monthly) Occasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) Rarely (Once or twice) Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Question wording changed in 2019 Over the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression % On a daily or monthly basis Several times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) 2 - 3 times Once Total New response categories in 2018	2010 94% 6% 100% sllysis? 2010	2011 91% 9% 100%	2012 91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100%	2014 92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	2018 2018	2019 NA 2019 2019 14% 5% 24% 29%	2021 2021 2021 09 179 339 509 1009
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distribution of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distribution of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distribution of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distribution of yes. Yes Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of anal constantly (daily) Frequently (weekly or monthly) Occasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) Rarely (Once or twice) Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Question wording changed in 2019 Over the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your anothly basis Several times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) 2 - 3 times Once Total New response categories in 2018 How often do you face distortion/suppression of your analysis? % Constantly (Daily)	2010 94% 6% 100% silysis? 2010	2011 91% 9% 100%	2012 91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100% 2013	2014 92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	2018 2018 2018	2019 NA 2019 2019 14% 5% 24% 29% 29% 100%	2021 2021 2021 09 179 339 509 1009
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distered by the past year for the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of an adaily or monthly basis On a daily or monthly basis On a daily or monthly basis On a monthly basis Several times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) 2 - 3 times Once Total New response categories in 2018 New response categories in 2018 How often do you face distortion/suppression of your analysis? % Constantly (Daily) Frequently (Consistently, on a weekly or monthly basis)	2010 94% 6% 100% silysis? 2010	2011 91% 9% 100%	2012 91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100% 2013	2014 92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	2018 2018 2018	2019 NA 2019 14% 5% 29% 100%	2021 2021 09 179 339 509 1009
No Total Original response categories Question wording changed in 2018 During the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distribution of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distribution of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distribution of the past year, do you believe that anyone attempted to distribution of yes. Yes Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 How often did you experience distortion and/or suppression of anal constantly (daily) Frequently (weekly or monthly) Occasionally (more than twice but less than monthly) Rarely (Once or twice) Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Question wording changed in 2019 Over the past year how often did you face distortion or suppression of your anothly basis Several times a year (less than 12 but more than 3) 2 - 3 times Once Total New response categories in 2018 How often do you face distortion/suppression of your analysis? % Constantly (Daily)	2010 94% 6% 100% silysis? 2010	2011 91% 9% 100%	2012 91% 9% 100%	2013 89% 11% 100% 2013	2014 92% 8% 100%	84% 16% 100%	87% 13% 100%	90% 10% 100%	2018 2018 2018	2019 NA 2019 14% 5% 29% 100%	2021 after FY 2021 0 % 17 7 100 100 100 2021 NA after FY

How many times has someone attempted to distort or suppress analysis on $\ensuremath{\%}$	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Once	45%	30%	39%	55%	14%	50%	25%	2017	2016	2013	2021
Twice	10%	37%	6%	14%	32%	20%	25%				
Three times	20%	11%	28%	0%	18%	5%	0%		NA	after FY17	
More than three times	25%	22%	28%	32%	36%	25%	50%				
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%				
New question added in 2022											
When considering your most signficant expeirence with distortion and/or su %	ippression 2010	of analysis 2011	, which An	alytic Stand 2013	lard was p 2014	rimarily vio 2015	lated? 2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Analytic Standard A - Objective											
Analytic Standard B - Independent of political consideration											
Analytic Standard C - Timely											
Analytic Standard D - Based on all available sources of intelligence information											
Anatlyic Standard E - Implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft											
Standards											
Other											
Total											
New question added in 2022											
When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or sup %	pression of 2010	f analysis, v 2011	what form of 2012	did it take? 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
A dictated/predetermined analytic line											
A changed analytic line absent sufficient sourcing or argumentation											
Distorted or suppressed judgements, sourcing, uncertainty, assumptions,											
or confidence language											
Distorted or suppressed analysis of alternativess or dissent											
Discouraged analysis/production on a particular topic absent sufficient											
reasoning											
Delayed, shelved, or recalled analysis absent sufficient reasoning Distorted or suppressed input to, or comments on, a product											
Information to which I did not have access changed the analysis											
Analysis mischaracterized in conveyance to a consumer											
A deliberately distorted product classification											
Other											
Total											
Question wording changed in 2021											
Question responses changed in 2021											
When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or sup %	pression of 2010	f analysis, v 2011	what form of 2012	did it take? 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
My product was not published											0%
My product was not published The product was deliberately delayed											
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product											33% 17%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me											33% 17%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification,											33% 17% 33%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing											33% 17% 33%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification,											33% 17% 33%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or											33% 17% 33% 0%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the											33% 17% 33% 0% 0%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials											33% 17% 33% 0% 0%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the											33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials											33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed											33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019											33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 0%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression,	what form			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 0% 17% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019		n did it take 2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019 24%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 0%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most signficant instance of distortion or suppression, %	what form			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018		33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 0% 17% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, % My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me	what form			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	24%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 0% 17% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, % My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during	what form			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	24% 19% 29%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 0% 17% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, % My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review/coordination	what form			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	24% 19%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 0% 17% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, % My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review/coordination Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my	what form			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	24% 19% 29% 5%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 17% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, % My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review/coordination Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my product were changed during review/coordination	what form			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	24% 19% 29% 5%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 17% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, % My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review/coordination Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my product were changed during review/coordination A briefer either suppressed or misrepresented the analysis	what form			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	24% 19% 29% 5%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 17% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, % My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review/coordination Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my product were changed during review/coordination	what form			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	24% 19% 29% 5%	17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 0% 17% 0%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, % My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review/coordination Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my product were changed during review/coordination A briefer either suppressed or misrepresented the analysis An internal agency senior changed, deleted, or added minor analytic	what form			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	24% 19% 29% 5% 5% 0%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 17% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, % My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review/coordination Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my product were changed during review/coordination A briefer either suppressed or misrepresented the analysis An internal agency senior changed, deleted, or added minor analytic points and/or supporting evidence to brief other officials My comments or dissent on a product were suppressed or distorted	what form			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	24% 19% 29% 5% 5% 0% 5%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 17% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, % My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review/coordination Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my product were changed during review/coordination A briefer either suppressed or misrepresented the analysis An internal agency senior changed, deleted, or added minor analytic points and/or supporting evidence to brief other officials My comments or dissent on a product were suppressed or distorted Other	what form			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	24% 19% 29% 5% 5% 0% 5%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 17% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, % My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review/coordination Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my product were changed during review/coordination A briefer either suppressed or misrepresented the analysis An internal agency senior changed, deleted, or added minor analytic points and/or supporting evidence to brief other officials My comments or dissent on a product were suppressed or distorted	what form			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	24% 19% 29% 5% 5% 0% 5%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 17% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, % My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review/coordination Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my product were changed during review/coordination A briefer either suppressed or misrepresented the analysis An internal agency senior changed, deleted, or added minor analytic points and/or supporting evidence to brief other officials My comments or dissent on a product were suppressed or distorted Other Total Question wording changed in 2021	what form			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	24% 19% 29% 5% 5% 0% 5%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 17% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review/coordination Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my product were changed during review/coordination A briefer either suppressed or misrepresented the analysis An internal agency senior changed, deleted, or added minor analytic points and/or supporting evidence to brief other officials My comments or dissent on a product were suppressed or distorted Other Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021	what form			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	24% 19% 29% 5% 5% 0% 5%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 17% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, % My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review/coordination Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my product were changed during review/coordination A briefer either suppressed or misrepresented the analysis An internal agency senior changed, deleted, or added minor analytic points and/or supporting evidence to brief other officials My comments or dissent on a product were suppressed or distorted Other Total Question wording changed in 2021	what form			2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	24% 19% 29% 5% 5% 0% 5%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 17% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, % My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review/coordination Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my product were changed during review/coordination A briefer either suppressed or misrepresented the analysis An internal agency senior changed, deleted, or added minor analytic points and/or supporting evidence to brief other officials My comments or dissent on a product were suppressed or distorted Other Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Under the comment of the control of	what form 2010	2011	2012							24% 19% 29% 5% 5% 0% 5% 10%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 17% 0% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, % My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review/coordination Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my product were changed during review/coordination A briefer either suppressed or misrepresented the analysis An internal agency senior changed, deleted, or added minor analytic points and/or supporting evidence to brief other officials My comments or dissent on a product were suppressed or distorted Other Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Undestion responses changed in 2021 Undestion receive feedback or explanation The analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication	what form 2010	2011	2012							24% 19% 29% 5% 5% 0% 5% 10%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 17% 0% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, % My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review/coordination Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my product were changed during review/coordination A briefer either suppressed or misrepresented the analysis An internal agency senior changed, deleted, or added minor analytic points and/or supporting evidence to brief other officials My comments or dissent on a product were suppressed or distorted Other Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Undestion responses changed in 2021 Question responses changed or explanation	what form 2010	2011	2012							24% 19% 29% 5% 5% 0% 5% 10%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 17% 0% 100% 177% 100%
The product was deliberately delayed I was discouraged from writing a product The anlytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line was changed without sufficient justification, argumentation, and/or sourcing Judgements, sourcing, and/or confidence levels were mischaracterized or removed A briefer mischaracterized the assessment/product to a consumer An internal IC element/CCMD senior mischaracterized the assessment/product to other officials My comments or dissent on a product were distorted or suppressed Other Total New question added in 2019 When considering the most significant instance of distortion or suppression, % My product was not published I was discouraged from writing a product My analytic line was dictated to me The main analytic line of my product was deliberately changed during review/coordination Analytic points, supporting evidence, and/or confidence levels in my product were changed during review/coordination A briefer either suppressed or misrepresented the analysis An internal agency senior changed, deleted, or added minor analytic points and/or supporting evidence to brief other officials My comments or dissent on a product were suppressed or distorted Other Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Undestion responses changed in 2021 Undestion receive feedback or explanation The analysis did not meet threshold or priority for publication	what form 2010	2011	2012							24% 19% 29% 5% 5% 0% 5% 10%	33% 17% 33% 0% 0% 0% 17% 0% 100%

Content was changed or deleted to better meet customer needs											0%	0%
Content was changed or deleted because of differeing views from the field											17%	0%
Content was changed or deleted because it was too long, complicated, or												
technical Information to which I do not have access changed the analysis											0% 0%	0% 0%
The assessment was policy prescriptive											0%	8%
Other											33%	42%
Total											100%	100%
New question added in 2019												
What was the primary feedback or explanation given to you during or follow %	ing this inc 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
I was told my product did not meet threshold and/or was not a high enoug	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2010	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
priority for publication										10%		
I was told my logical argumentation was flawed or not convincing I was told the sourcing I relied on was not reliable, complete, or persuasive										19%		
enough										10%		
I was told that dissent was not accepted in this instance because it did not relate to the main analytic line										0%		
I was told that concurrence from a particular agency or office was required												
for publication I was told that my analysis was changed or deleted so that the product										0%		
would better meet customer needs										5%		
was told additional/special compartmented information (which I do not												
nave access to) refuted or changed my analysis was told my assessment was policy prescriptive										5% 0%	NA after	FY19
I was told finy assessment was policy prescriptive												
I was told that my analysis was shanged or deleted in account										0%		
I was told that my analysis was changed or deleted in response to policymaker sensitivities										0%		
was told that my analysis was changed in response to differing views												
from the field I was told that my analysis was too complicated or technical and has to be										0%		
simplified to the point that it was misleading										0%		
I did not receive any feedback or explanation as to why my product was										19%		
distorted or suppressed Other										33%		
Total										100%		
Question responses changed in 2022												
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience with distor												
% Analyst within my IC element/CCMD	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022 8%
Manager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD												25%
Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD												17%
Senior executive/military officer within my IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD												0% 25%
Intelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.)												0%
Other Total												25% 100%
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021												
	n and/or s	uppression	of analysis	?								
Nho was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortio	n and/or si 2010	uppression 2011	of analysis	? 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion Analyst within my IC element/CCMD					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 17% 17%	
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortio Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Vlanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	17% 17% 0%	
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortio Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Avanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Senior executive within my IC elmement/CCMD					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	17% 17% 0% 0%	2022
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortio malyst within my IC element/CCMD hanager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD teviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC elmement/CCMD ntelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	17% 17% 0% 0%	
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortio analyst within my IC element/CCMD Ananger/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD teviewer within my IC element/CCMD tenior executive within my IC element/CCMD ntelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD ntelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.)					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N	2022
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion analyst within my IC element/CCMD ananager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC element/CCMD telligence professional from another IC element/CCMD telligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.)					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0%	2022
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortio Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Manager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Senior executive within my IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) US Government official outisde of the IC Other					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N	2022
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Manager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Senior executive within my IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) US Government official outisde of the IC Other Total					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% 0% 33%	2022
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Manager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Senior executive within my IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) US Government official outisde of the IC Other Total New response categories added to the question in 2019					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% 0% 33%	2022
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Manager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) JUS Government official outisde of the IC Dither Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Source					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% 0% 33%	2022
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) JS Government official outisde of the IC Other Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Source Memory of the source of the IC Other of the IC Ot	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 10%	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17%	2022 IA after F
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion knalyst within my IC element/CCMD Manager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Aleviewer within my IC element/CCMD Beenior executive within my IC element/CCMD Beeni	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17%	2022 IA after F
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Manager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) US Government official outisde of the IC Other Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Source Internal colleague First-level manager Mid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element Non-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs)	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 10% 14% 14% 14%	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17%	2022 IA after F
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion analyst within my IC element/CCMD Anaager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD deviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC element/CCMD ntelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD telligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) IS Government official outisde of the IC other other dew response categories added to the question in 2019 ource for ternal colleague irst-level manager ind-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element lon-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) lon-analyst senior within your IC element	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 10% 14% 14% 10%	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% N 2021	2022 IA after F
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion of the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion of the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion of the primary source	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 10% 14% 14% 14%	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17% 17%	2022 IA after F
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortions analyst within my IC element/CCMD Anager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC element/CCMD antelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD tetelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) St Government official outisde of the IC there otal dew response categories added to the question in 2019 ource statemal colleague irst-level manager idic-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element ton-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) ion-analyst senior within your IC element c. senior leadership xternal IC colleague or supervisor iNI element (NIC, NIM, etc.)	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 10% 14% 14% 10% 19% 0% 5%	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% N 2021	2022 IA after F
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion inalyst within my IC element/CCMD Anager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD deviewer within my IC element/CCMD eviewer within my IC element/CCMD enior executive within my IC element/CCMD the ligence professional from another IC element/CCMD the ligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) IS Government official outisde of the IC other otal lew response categories added to the question in 2019 ource for the ligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) Is government official outisde of the IC other otal lew response categories added to the question in 2019 ource for the ligence profession in 2019 ource for the li	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 10% 14% 14% 14% 19% 0%	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% N 2021	2022 IA after F
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Manager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) JS Government official outisde of the IC Other Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Source Menternal colleague First-level manager Mid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element Non-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) Non-analyst senior within your IC element C senior leadership External IC colleague or supervisor DNI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) JS government official outside the IC didtor or senior reviewer of an IC publication Other	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 10% 14% 14% 10% 19% 5% 0% 5% 0% 5%	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% N 2021	2022 IA after F
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortio Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Manager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) US Government official outisde of the IC Other Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Source Mid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element Non-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) Non-analyst senior within your IC element IC senior leadership External IC colleague or supervisor DNI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) US government official outside the IC Editor or senior reviewer of an IC publication Other	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 10% 14% 14% 14% 10% 19% 0% 5%	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% N 2021	2022 IA after F
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortio Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Manager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Senior executive within my IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) US Government official outisde of the IC Other Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Source Kellington of the IC Internal colleague First-level manager Mid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element Non-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) Non-analyst senior within your IC element IC senior leadership External IC colleague or supervisor DII element (NIC, NIM, etc.) US government official outside the IC Editor or senior reviewer of an IC publication Other	2010	2011	2012	2013						2019 10% 14% 14% 10% 19% 5% 0% 5% 0% 5%	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% N 2021	2022 IA after F
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Manager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) US Government official outisde of the IC Other Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Source Mid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element Non-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) Non-analyst senior within your IC element IC senior leadership External IC colleague or supervisor DNI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) US government official outside the IC Editor or senior reviewer of an IC publication Other Total	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019 10% 14% 14% 10% 19% 0% 5% 0% 5% 10%	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% S 100%	2022 IA after F 2022
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortio Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Manager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Senior executive within my IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) US Government official outisde of the IC Other Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Source Mid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element Non-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) Non-analyst senior within your IC element IC senior leadership External IC colleague or supervisor DIN element (NIC, NIM, etc.) US government official outside the IC Editor or senior reviewer of an IC publication Other Total New response categories in 2018 Source	2010	2011	2012	2013					2018	2019 10% 14% 14% 10% 19% 5% 0% 5% 0% 5%	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% N 2021	2022 IA after F
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Manager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) US Government official outisde of the IC Other Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Source Minternal colleague First-level manager Mid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element Non-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) Non-analyst senior within your IC element IC senior leadership External IC colleague or supervisor DNI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) US government official outside the IC Editor or senior reviewer of an IC publication Other Total New response categories in 2018 Source Mternal colleague First-level manager	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 2018 2018 2018 23%	2019 10% 14% 14% 10% 19% 0% 5% 0% 5% 10%	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% S 100%	2022 IA after F 2022
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Manager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) US Government official outisde of the IC Other Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Source Mid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element Non-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) Non-analyst senior within your IC element IC senior leadership External IC colleague or supervisor DNI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) US government official outside the IC Editor or senior reviewer of an IC publication Other Total New response categories in 2018 Source Mill-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element Internal colleague First-level manager Mid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 2018 15% 23%	2019 10% 14% 14% 10% 19% 0% 5% 0% 5% 10%	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% S 100%	2022 IA after F 2022
Who was the primary source of your most significant experience of distortion Analyst within my IC element/CCMD Manager/supervisor within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Reviewer within my IC element/CCMD Senior executive within my IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional from another IC element/CCMD Intelligence professional at an ODNI component (NIC, NIM, PDB, etc.) US Government official outisde of the IC Other Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Source Mid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element Non-supervisory internal analyst reviewer (e.g., SIOs) Non-analyst senior within your IC element IC senior leadership External IC colleague or supervisor DNI element (NIC, NIM, etc.) US government official outside the IC Editor or senior reviewer of an IC publication Other Total New response categories in 2018 Source Mid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element Internal colleague First-level manager Mid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element External colleague First-level manager Mid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element External colleague First-level manager Mid-level/Senior supervisor within your IC element External colleague Non-intelligence senior	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 2018 2018 2018 23%	2019 10% 14% 14% 10% 19% 0% 5% 0% 5% 10% 2019	17% 17% 0% 0% 17% N 0% 17% S 100%	2022 IA after F 2022

Non-supervisory reviewer DNI element (NIC, NIM, etc.)									0% 8% 0%		
US government official outside the IC									8%		
Other									0% 100%		
Original response categories											
Source											
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Non-supervisory professional within your IC element Supervisor within your IC element	15% 65%	26% 41%	28% 50%	14% 36%	55% 36%	33% 22%	20% 20%	27% 33%			
Intelligence professional outside your IC element	20%	41%	39%	50%	36%	25%	40%	27%		NA after	FY17
US government official outside the IC	15%	19%	22%	27%	9%	14%	20%	7%			
Other	5%	19%	6%	0%	5%	6%	0%	7%			
Question wording changed in 2022											
Question wording changed in 2021											
Question responses changed in 2021 Question design changed in 2021											
In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiv	ve for your most si	ignficant e	kperience v	vith distort	ion and/or	suppression	on of analy	sis?			
Maintain an existing analytic line or status quo on a topic %	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Not a factor											67%
Somewhat a factor A moderate factor											17% 0%
An important factor											17%
Unsure											0%
Total											100%
In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiv	ve for your most si	ignficant e	kperience v	vith distort	ion and/or	suppression	on of analy	sis?			
Align to an individual's perspective %	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Not a factor						-	-		-	-	17%
Somewhat a factor A moderate factor											0% 0%
An important factor											33%
Unsure											50%
Total											100%
In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiv	ve for your most si	ignficant e	kperience v	vith distort	ion and/or	suppression	on of analy	sis?			
Support/protect operational equities %	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Not a factor	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2010	2017	2018	2013	33%
Somewhat a factor											17%
A moderate factor An important factor											33%
Unsure											0% 17%
·											
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiv	ve for your most si	ignficant e	kperience v	vith distort	ion and/or	suppressio	on of analys	sis?			17%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiv Support/protect bureaucratic interests %	ve for your most si	ignficant ex	xperience v	vith distort	ion and/or 2014	suppression 2015	on of analy: 2016	sis? 2017	2018	2019	17% 100% 2021
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiv Support/protect bureaucratic interests % Not a factor									2018	2019	17% 100% 2021 17%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivisupport/protect bureaucratic interests ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor									2018	2019	17% 100% 2021 17% 0%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiv Support/protect bureaucratic interests % Not a factor									2018	2019	17% 100% 2021 17%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiv Support/protect bureaucratic interests % Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure									2018	2019	17% 100% 2021 17% 0% 0% 83% 0%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivisupport/protect bureaucratic interests Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor									2018	2019	17% 100% 2021 17% 0% 0% 83%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivisupport/protect bureaucratic interests Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivisure	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	17% 100% 2021 17% 0% 0% 83% 0%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivisupport/protect bureaucratic interests % Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving provided by the following factors a motiving provided by the following factors a motiving factor or prevent policymaker action or response	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	17% 100% 2021 17% 0% 0% 83% 0% 100%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivisupport/protect bureaucratic interests Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving provided by the provided or prevent policymaker action or response Not a factor	2010 ve for your most si	2011	2012 kperience v	2013	2014	2015 suppression	2016	2017 sis?			2021 17% 0% 0% 83% 0% 100%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivisupport/protect bureaucratic interests % Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving provided by the following factors a motiving provided by the following factors a motiving factor or prevent policymaker action or response	2010 ve for your most si	2011	2012 kperience v	2013	2014	2015 suppression	2016	2017 sis?			17% 100% 2021 17% 0% 0% 83% 0% 100%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivisupport/protect bureaucratic interests Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivity from the factor or response Not a factor Somewhat a factor An important factor A moderate factor An important factor	2010 ve for your most si	2011	2012 kperience v	2013	2014	2015 suppression	2016	2017 sis?			17% 100% 2021 17% 0% 83% 0% 100% 2021 33% 0% 17% 33%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive Support/protect bureaucratic interests ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive Provoke or prevent policymaker action or response ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure	2010 ve for your most si	2011	2012 kperience v	2013	2014	2015 suppression	2016	2017 sis?			17% 100% 2021 17% 0% 83% 0% 100% 2021 33% 0% 17% 33% 17%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivisupport/protect bureaucratic interests * Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor and factor or response * Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total	ve for your most si	2011 ignficant ex	2012 experience v	2013 vith distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014	suppressic	2016 on of analys	2017 sis? 2017			17% 100% 2021 17% 0% 83% 0% 100% 2021 33% 0% 17% 33%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivisupport/protect bureaucratic interests * Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivity provides or prevent policymaker action or response * Not a factor Somewhat a factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivity provides or prevent policymaker action or response	ve for your most si	2011 ignficant ex	2012 experience v	2013 vith distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014	suppressic	2016 on of analys	2017 sis? 2017			17% 100% 2021 17% 0% 83% 0% 100% 2021 33% 0% 17% 33% 17%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivisupport/protect bureaucratic interests ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor and factor provoke or prevent policymaker action or response ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor and important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor oppose a policy agenda or narrative ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** *	ve for your most si	2011 ignficant ex	2012 experience v	2013 vith distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014	suppressic	2016 on of analys	2017 sis? 2017			17% 100% 2021 17% 0% 0% 83% 100% 2021 33% 17% 100%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivisupport/protect bureaucratic interests Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor and interests are followed by the factor of the fac	ve for your most si	2011 2011 2011	2012 xperience v 2012	2013 vith distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014	suppression	2016 on of analysis	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019	17% 100% 2021 17% 0% 0% 83% 0% 100% 2021 33% 17% 100%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivisupport/protect bureaucratic interests ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor and factor provoke or prevent policymaker action or response ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor and important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor oppose a policy agenda or narrative ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** ** *	ve for your most si	2011 2011 2011	2012 xperience v 2012	2013 vith distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014	suppression	2016 on of analysis	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019	17% 100% 2021 17% 0% 0% 83% 100% 2021 33% 17% 100%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivisupport/protect bureaucratic interests ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor and important factor Provoke or prevent policymaker action or response ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor and important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor and factor An and factor An oderate factor An important factor A moderate factor A moderate factor An important factor	ve for your most si	2011 ignficant experience of the control of the co	2012 xperience v 2012	2013 vith distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014	suppression	2016 on of analysis	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019	17% 100% 2021 17% 0% 0% 83% 0% 100% 2021 33% 17% 2021 33% 0% 17% 33% 0% 17% 33%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive Support/protect bureaucratic interests ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive Provoke or prevent policymaker action or response ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive provided by the provid	ve for your most si	2011 ignficant experience of the control of the co	2012 xperience v 2012	2013 vith distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014	suppression	2016 on of analysis	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019	17% 100% 2021 17% 0% 0% 83% 100% 2021 33% 17% 100%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivisupport/protect bureaucratic interests ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor prevent policymaker action or response ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor prevent policymaker action or response ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor An important factor Unsure Total ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor An important factor Unsure Total Total	ve for your most si	2011 ignficant experience of the control of the co	2012 xperience v 2012	2013 vith distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014	suppression	2016 on of analysis	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019	17% 100% 2021 17% 0% 0% 83% 100% 100% 2021 33% 17% 2021 33% 17% 33% 17% 100%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivisupport/protect bureaucratic interests Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor and important factor Provoke or prevent policymaker action or response Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor and important factor Unsure Total Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor Unsure	ve for your most si	2011 ignficant experience of the control of the co	2012 xperience v 2012	2013 vith distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014	suppression	2016 on of analysis	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019	17% 100% 2021 17% 0% 0% 83% 100% 100% 2021 33% 17% 2021 33% 17% 33% 17% 100%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive Support/protect bureaucratic interests ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive Provoke or prevent policymaker action or response ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive Provoke or prevent policymaker action or response ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive Support or oppose a policy agenda or narrative ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Motive ** Motive	ve for your most si	2011 ignficant experience of the control of the co	2012 xperience v 2012	2013 vith distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014	suppression	2016 on of analysis	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019	17% 100% 2021 17% 0% 0% 83% 100% 100% 2021 33% 17% 2021 33% 17% 33% 17% 100%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivity support/protect bureaucratic interests ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivity provides or prevent policymaker action or response ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivity provides or prevent policymaker action or response ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivity provides a policy agenda or narrative ** Not a factor Somewhat a factor An important factor Unsure Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Motive ** Maintain an existing analytic line	ve for your most si 2010 ve for your most si 2010	2011 ignficant experience of the control of the co	2012 xperience v 2012	vith distort 2013 vith distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014 ion and/or	suppression 2015 suppression 2015	2016 on of analys 2016 on of analys 2016	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019	2021 17% 0% 0% 83% 0% 100% 2021 33% 17% 100% 2021 33% 17% 100%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive Support/protect bureaucratic interests % Not a factor Somewhat a factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive Provoke or prevent policymaker action or response % Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive Provoke or prevent policymaker action or response % Not a factor Somewhat a factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motive Support or oppose a policy agenda or narrative % Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Motive % Maintain an existing analytic line Support views of an internal supervisor/management Support views of internal colleague(s)	ve for your most si 2010 ve for your most si 2010	2011 ignficant experience of the control of the co	2012 xperience v 2012	vith distort 2013 vith distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014 ion and/or	suppression 2015 suppression 2015	2016 on of analys 2016 on of analys 2016	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019 2019 2019 5% 5% 10%	2021 17% 0% 0% 83% 0% 100% 2021 33% 17% 100% 2021 33% 17% 100%
Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motivisupport/protect bureaucratic interests Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor and important factor Unsure Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor An important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor and important factor Unsure Total In your perception, to what extent were the following factors a motiving factor and important factor Unsure Total Not a factor Somewhat a factor A moderate factor A moderate factor A moderate factor Unsure Total New response categories added to the question in 2019 Motive Maintain an existing analytic line Support views of an internal supervisor/management	ve for your most si 2010 ve for your most si 2010	2011 ignficant experience of the control of the co	2012 xperience v 2012	vith distort 2013 vith distort 2013	2014 ion and/or 2014 ion and/or	suppression 2015 suppression 2015	2016 on of analys 2016 on of analys 2016	2017 sis? 2017	2018	2019 2019 2019 5% 5%	2021 17% 0% 0% 83% 0% 100% 2021 33% 17% 100% 2021 33% 17% 100%

Not sure what the motive was										0% 24%	
Other										33% 100%	
Total										100%	
New response categories in 2018 Motive											
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Maintain existing analytic line									46%		
Support views of internal supervisor/management									0%		
Support views of internal colleague Support views of external colleague									8% 0%		
Support views of external management									0%		
Support views of government/policy official									0%		
To support increase/decrease of resources for operational, military or USO	G									NΔ	after FY
program									15%		
Unintentional Unsure what the motive was									0% 0%		
Other									23%		
To suppress information deemed embarrassing to the Department, Agenc	су										
or Bureau									0%		
To prevent policymaker action\response									100%		
									130/0		
Original response categories Motive											
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
To support supervisor within your IC element	60%	41%	28%	32%	23%	7%	0%	7%			
To support IC personnel or management outside your IC element	60%	41%	17%	64%	50%	13%	0%	53%			
To support government official outside the IC	35%	22%	39%	50%	27%	17%	50% 17%	27%		NA often	EV17
I am unsure what the motive was Inadvertent/unintended			6%	18%	32% 32%	17% 7%	17% 0%	13% 7%		NA after	711/
To avoid conflicting with an existing analytic line					J2/0	30%	17%	40%			
Other	20%	22%	44%	0%	18%	10%	17%	13%			
Question wording changed in 2022											
Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of											
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
No											
Yes Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021											
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of				_							
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of	of disortion and 2010	/or suppre 2011	ession of al	nalysis? 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of 8				_	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	179
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of				_	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	179 839
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of 8				_	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	179 839
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of the seed of the se	2010 or suppression?	2011	2012	2013							179 839 1009
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of %	2010 or suppression? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	179 839 1009
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of 8 No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of 8 No	2010 or suppression?	2011	2012	2013							179 839 1009
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of %	2010 or suppression? 2010 25%	2011 2011 30%	2012 2012 33%	2013 2013 2013 23%	2014 27%	2015 35%	2016 0%	2017 27%	2018 62%	2019 71%	179 839 1009
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of % No Yes (Yes/Partially)	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75%	2011 2011 30% 70%	2012 2012 33% 67%	2013 2013 23% 77%	2014 27% 73%	2015 35% 65%	2016 0% 100%	2017 27% 73%	2018 62% 38%	2019 71% 29%	179 839 1009
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of the seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion of the seek assistance in dealing w	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75%	2011 2011 30% 70%	2012 2012 33% 67%	2013 2013 23% 77%	2014 27% 73%	2015 35% 65%	2016 0% 100%	2017 27% 73%	2018 62% 38%	2019 71% 29%	179 839 1009
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of the seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion of the seek assistance in dealing	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75%	2011 2011 30% 70%	2012 2012 33% 67%	2013 2013 23% 77%	2014 27% 73%	2015 35% 65%	2016 0% 100%	2017 27% 73%	2018 62% 38%	2019 71% 29%	179 839 1009
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of % No Yes (Yes/Partially) Total Question wording changed in 2022 Did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? %	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75%	2011 2011 30% 70%	2012 2012 33% 67%	2013 2013 23% 77%	2014 27% 73%	2015 35% 65%	2016 0% 100%	2017 27% 73%	2018 62% 38%	2019 71% 29%	179 839 1009 2021 NA af
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of the seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of the seek assistance in dealing with distortion of the seek assistance in dealing w	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75% 100%	2011 2011 30% 70% 100%	2012 2012 33% 67% 100%	2013 2013 23% 77% 100%	2014 27% 73% 100%	2015 35% 65% 100%	2016 0% 100% 100%	2017 27% 73% 100%	2018 62% 38% 100%	2019 71% 29% 100%	179 839 1009 2021 NA af
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of % No Yes (Yes/Partially) Total Question wording changed in 2022 Did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? %	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75% 100%	2011 2011 30% 70% 100%	2012 2012 33% 67% 100%	2013 2013 23% 77% 100%	2014 27% 73% 100%	2015 35% 65% 100%	2016 0% 100% 100%	2017 27% 73% 100%	2018 62% 38% 100%	2019 71% 29% 100%	179 839 1009 2021 NA af
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of % No Yes (Yes/Partially) Total Question wording changed in 2022 Did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? % Yes No Total	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75% 100%	2011 2011 30% 70% 100%	2012 2012 33% 67% 100%	2013 2013 23% 77% 100%	2014 27% 73% 100%	2015 35% 65% 100%	2016 0% 100% 100%	2017 27% 73% 100%	2018 62% 38% 100%	2019 71% 29% 100%	179 839 1009 2021 NA af
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of % No Yes (Yes/Partially) Total Question wording changed in 2022 Did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? % No Total Question wording changed in 2022 Question wording changed in 2022 Question wording changed in 2021	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75% 100%	2011 2011 30% 70% 100%	2012 2012 33% 67% 100%	2013 2013 23% 77% 100%	2014 27% 73% 100%	2015 35% 65% 100%	2016 0% 100% 100%	2017 27% 73% 100%	2018 62% 38% 100%	2019 71% 29% 100%	179 839 1009 2021 NA af
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of % No Yes (Yes/Partially) Total Question wording changed in 2022 Did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? % Yes No Total	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75% 100%	2011 2011 30% 70% 100%	2012 2012 33% 67% 100%	2013 2013 23% 77% 100%	2014 27% 73% 100%	2015 35% 65% 100%	2016 0% 100% 100%	2017 27% 73% 100%	2018 62% 38% 100%	2019 71% 29% 100%	179 839 1009 2021 NA af
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of % No Yes (Yes/Partially) Total Question wording changed in 2022 Did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? % Yes No Total Question wording changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsmans % Yes	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75% 100%	2011 30% 70% 100%	2012 2012 33% 67% 100%	2013 2013 23% 77% 100%	2014 27% 73% 100%	2015 35% 65% 100%	2016 0% 100% 100%	2017 27% 73% 100%	2018 62% 38% 100%	2019 71% 29% 100%	179 839 1009 NA af 2021 2021 2021
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of % No Yes (Yes/Partially) Total Question wording changed in 2022 Did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? % No Total Question wording changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsmit % Yes No	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75% 100%	2011 30% 70% 100%	2012 2012 33% 67% 100%	2013 2013 23% 77% 100%	2014 27% 73% 100%	2015 35% 65% 100%	2016 0% 100% 100%	2017 27% 73% 100%	2018 62% 38% 100%	2019 71% 29% 100%	179 839 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 10
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of % No Yes (Yes/Partially) Total Question wording changed in 2022 Did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? % Yes No Total Question wording changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsmans % Yes	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75% 100%	2011 30% 70% 100%	2012 2012 33% 67% 100%	2013 2013 23% 77% 100%	2014 27% 73% 100%	2015 35% 65% 100%	2016 0% 100% 100%	2017 27% 73% 100%	2018 62% 38% 100%	2019 71% 29% 100%	179 839 1009 NA af 2021 2021 2020 809
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of % No Yes (Yes/Partially) Total Question wording changed in 2022 Did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? % Yes No Total Question wording changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsm: % Yes No Total New question added in 2019	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75% 100%	2011 30% 70% 100%	2012 2012 33% 67% 100%	2013 2013 23% 77% 100%	2014 27% 73% 100%	2015 35% 65% 100%	2016 0% 100% 100%	2017 27% 73% 100%	2018 62% 38% 100%	2019 71% 29% 100%	179 839 1009 NA af 2021 2021 2020 809
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of % No Yes (Yes/Partially) Total Question wording changed in 2022 Did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? % No Total Question wording changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman % No Total New question added in 2019 Did you go to your IC element's ombudsman for help?	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75% 100% 2010 2010	2011 30% 70% 100%	2012 33% 67% 100%	2013 2013 23% 77% 100%	2014 27% 73% 100%	2015 35% 65% 100% 2015	2016 0% 100% 100%	2017 27% 73% 100%	2018 62% 38% 100%	2019 71% 29% 100%	179 839 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 10
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of % No Yes (Yes/Partially) Total Question wording changed in 2022 Did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? % Yes No Total Question wording changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsmin % Yes No Total No	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75% 100%	2011 30% 70% 100%	2012 2012 33% 67% 100%	2013 2013 23% 77% 100%	2014 27% 73% 100%	2015 35% 65% 100%	2016 0% 100% 100%	2017 27% 73% 100%	2018 62% 38% 100%	2019 71% 29% 100% 2019	179 8393 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most signficant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of % No Yes (Yes/Partially) Total Question wording changed in 2022 Did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? % No Total Question wording changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman % No Total New question added in 2019 Did you go to your IC element's ombudsman for help?	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75% 100% 2010 2010	2011 30% 70% 100%	2012 33% 67% 100%	2013 2013 23% 77% 100%	2014 27% 73% 100%	2015 35% 65% 100% 2015	2016 0% 100% 100%	2017 27% 73% 100%	2018 62% 38% 100%	2019 71% 29% 100%	179 8393 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of % No Yes (Yes/Partially) Total Question wording changed in 2022 Did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? % Yes No Total Question wording changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsming % Yes No Total New question added in 2019 Did you go to your IC element's ombudsman for help? % Yes	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75% 100% 2010 2010	2011 30% 70% 100%	2012 33% 67% 100%	2013 2013 23% 77% 100%	2014 27% 73% 100%	2015 35% 65% 100% 2015	2016 0% 100% 100%	2017 27% 73% 100%	2018 62% 38% 100%	2019 71% 29% 100% 2019 2019 2019	179 8393 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of % No Yes (Yes/Partially) Total Question wording changed in 2022 Did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? % Yes No Total Question wording changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman % Yes No Total New question added in 2019 Did you go to your IC element's ombudsman for help? % Yes No Total	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75% 100% 2010 2010	2011 30% 70% 100%	2012 33% 67% 100%	2013 2013 23% 77% 100%	2014 27% 73% 100%	2015 35% 65% 100% 2015	2016 0% 100% 100%	2017 27% 73% 100%	2018 62% 38% 100%	2019 71% 29% 100% 2019 2019	179 8393 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of % No Yes (Yes/Partially) Total Question wording changed in 2022 Did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? % Yes No Total Question wording changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman % Yes No Total New question added in 2019 Did you go to your IC element's ombudsman for help? % Yes No Total Question wording changed in 2021 Question wording changed in 2019 Did you go to your IC element's ombudsman for help? % Yes No Total	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75% 100% 2010 2010	2011 30% 70% 100%	2012 33% 67% 100%	2013 2013 23% 77% 100%	2014 27% 73% 100%	2015 35% 65% 100% 2015	2016 0% 100% 100%	2017 27% 73% 100%	2018 62% 38% 100%	2019 71% 29% 100% 2019 2019	179 8393 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1009 1
Question wording changed in 2021 Question responses changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance in dealing with this most significant experience of % No Yes During the past year, did you seek assistance in dealing with distortion of % No Yes (Yes/Partially) Total Question wording changed in 2022 Did you seek assistance from the analytic ombuds? % Yes No Total Question wording changed in 2021 Did you seek assistance from your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman % Yes No Total New question added in 2019 Did you go to your IC element's ombudsman for help? % Yes No Total	2010 or suppression? 2010 25% 75% 100% 2010 2010	2011 30% 70% 100%	2012 33% 67% 100%	2013 2013 23% 77% 100%	2014 27% 73% 100%	2015 35% 65% 100% 2015	2016 0% 100% 100%	2017 27% 73% 100%	2018 62% 38% 100%	2019 71% 29% 100% 2019 2019	2021 17% 83% 100% NA af

individual(s) involved Time constraints/press of business											
I was dissuaded from seeking assistance											
Did not know a process exists for addressing the matter											
Presumed others involved would seek assistance Other											
Total											
New question added in 2021											
Why did you not seek assistance from your analytic ombudsman?											
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Concerns regarding retribution Concerns regarding confidentiality											0%
Concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances of											
individual(s) involved											25%
Time constraints/press of businsess Kept the issue at the management level											0% ¹ 25%
Did not believe the analytic ombudsman would help											0%
Other											50%
Total											100%
Question responses changed in 2022											
What is the primary reason you did not seek assistance? %	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Did not believe anything would change	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2010	2017	2016	2019	2021
Did not know who to go to for help											
Concerns regarding retirubtion Concerns regarding confidentiality											
Concerns regarding confidentiality Concerns regarding rank, tenure, or other power imbalances among											
individual(s) involved											
Time constraints/press of business											
I was dissuaded from seeking assistance Did not know a process exists for addressing the matter											
Presumed others involved would seek assistance											•
Other											
Total											
New response categories added to the question in 2021											
Question wording changed in 2021 What is the primary reason you did not seek assistance?											
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Did not believe anything would change Did not know who to go to for help											100%
Concerns regading retirbution											0%
Concerns regarding confidentiality											0%
Concerns regading rank, tenure, or other power imbalances of individual(s)											0%
involved Time constraints/press of business											0%
Other											0%
Total											100%
New response categories added to the question in 2019											
Question wording changed in 2019 What is the primary reason you didn't seek assistance in dealing with the attr	empted di	istortion or	sunnressio	nn?							
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Fear of retribution										33%	
Concerns about the lack of confidentiality Did not believe anything would change										0% 50%	
Person in question was considered "senior" to me										0%	NA afte
Didn't know who to go to for help										0%	
Other Total										17% 100%	
Total										100%	
New response categories in 2018 Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra	l- 1\										
with the you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion of supression: {ka	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Fear of retribution									38%		
Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change									25% 13%		
Person in question considered "senior" to me									25%	NA	after FY18
Didn't know who to go to for help									0%		
Didale la acceptace Oschoolessa acceptace									0%		
Didn't know about Ombudsman process									100%		
Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other											
Other					2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
	ank 2) 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014				0%		
Other Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra % Fear of retribution		2011	2012	2013	2014						
Other Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen		2011	2012	2013	2014				25%		
Other Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra % Fear of retribution		2011	2012	2013	2014					NA	after FY18
Other Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra % Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help		2011	2012	2013	2014				25% 38% 25% 0%	NA	after FY18
Other Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra % Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process		2011	2012	2013	2014				25% 38% 25% 0% 0%	NA	after FY18
Other Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra % Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help		2011	2012	2013	2014				25% 38% 25% 0% 0% 13%	NA	after FY18
Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra % Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014				25% 38% 25% 0% 0%	NA	after FY18
Other Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression? (Ra % Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help Didn't know about Ombudsman process	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	25% 38% 25% 0% 0% 13%	NA 2019	after FY18

Did not believe anyone would listen Did not believe anything could change									17%			
									50%			
Person in question considered "senior" to me									33%	NA	after FY18	
Didn't know who to go to for help									0%			
Didn't know about Ombudsman process									0%			
Other									100%			
Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression	2 (Bank 4)											
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	202
Fear of retribution									40%			
Did not believe anyone would listen									20%			
Did not believe anything could change									0%	NIA.	-ft FV40	
Person in question considered "senior" to me Didn't know who to go to for help									0% 0%	IVA	after FY18	
Didn't know about Ombudsman process									40%			
Other									0%			
									100%			
Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression												
% Fear of retribution	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 0%	2019	2021	202
Did not believe anyone would listen									0%			
Did not believe anything could change									33%			
Person in question considered "senior" to me									0%	NA	after FY18	
Didn't know who to go to for help									67%			
Didn't know about Ombudsman process									0%			
Other									0%			
									100%			
Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression												
% Fear of retribution	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 0%	2019	2021	202
Did not believe anyone would listen									33%			
Did not believe anything could change									0%			
Person in question considered "senior" to me									0%	NA	after FY18	
Didn't know who to go to for help									33%			
Didn't know about Ombudsman process									33%			
Other									100%			
									100%			
Why did you not seek assistance in dealing with distortion or supression												
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	20
Fear of retribution Did not believe anyone would listen									0% 0%			
Did not believe anything could change									0%			
Person in question considered "senior" to me									0%	NA	after FY18	
Didn't know who to go to for help									00/			
									0%			
Didn't know about Ombudsman process									0%			
Didn't know about Ombudsman process Other									0% 100%			
Other									0%			
Other New question added in 2021									0% 100%			
Other New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? %	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100%	2019	2021	
Other New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100%	2019	92%	9:
Other New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes No	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100%	2019	92% 8%	91
Other New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100%	2019	92%	202 91 9
Other New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes No Total	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100%	2019	92% 8%	9:
Other New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes No						2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100%	2019	92% 8%	9
Other New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes No Total New question added in 2021						2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100%	2019	92% 8% 100%	10
Other New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes	ncerns to ensu	ire analysis	adheres to	ICD 203 pr	inciples?				0% 100% 100% 2018		92% 8% 100% 2021 87%	9 10 20 8
Other New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes No	ncerns to ensu	ire analysis	adheres to	ICD 203 pr	inciples?				0% 100% 100% 2018		92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13%	9 10 20 8 1
Other New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes	ncerns to ensu	ire analysis	adheres to	ICD 203 pr	inciples?				0% 100% 100% 2018		92% 8% 100% 2021 87%	20. 88
New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes No Total	ncerns to ensu	ire analysis	adheres to	ICD 203 pr	inciples?				0% 100% 100% 2018		92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13%	9 10 20 8 1
New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co Yes No Total	ncerns to ensu 2010	are analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pr 2013	inciples? 2014				0% 100% 100% 2018		92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13%	9 10 20 8 1
Other New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes No Total	ncerns to ensu 2010	are analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	ICD 203 pr 2013	inciples? 2014				0% 100% 100% 2018		92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13%	200 88 11
Other New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better p % Training on the Analytic Standards	ncerns to ensu 2010 romote analysi	ire analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	2013 princip	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100% 2018	2019	92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13% 100%	200 88 1 100
New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better po Training on the Analytic Standards Promote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address	ncerns to ensu 2010 romote analysi	ire analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	2013 princip	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100% 2018	2019	92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13% 100%	200 88 1 100 200 3
New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes No Total New question added in 2022 What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better p % Training on the Analytic Standards Promote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address concerns	ncerns to ensu 2010 romote analysi	ire analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	2013 princip	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100% 2018	2019	92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13% 100%	200 88 1 100 200 3
Other New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co Yes No Total New question added in 2022 What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better pr Training on the Analytic Standards Promote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address	ncerns to ensu 2010 romote analysi	ire analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	2013 princip	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100% 2018	2019	92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13% 100%	200 88 1 100 200 30
New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes No Total New question added in 2022 What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better p % Training on the Analytic Standards Promote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address concerns	ncerns to ensu 2010 romote analysi	ire analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	2013 princip	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100% 2018	2019	92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13% 100%	200 88 110 200 3
New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes No Total New question added in 2022 What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better p % Training on the Analytic Standards Promote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address concerns Consistent and transparent product review and feedback practices Consistent and transparent product coordination practices Communication, guidance, and strategic messaging about the Analytic	ncerns to ensu 2010 romote analysi	ire analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	2013 princip	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100% 2018	2019	92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13% 100%	200 88 11 100 200 3
New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co Yes No Total New question added in 2022 What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better p Yes Training on the Analytic Standards Promote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address concerns Consistent and transparent product review and feedback practices Consistent and transparent product coordination practices Communication, guidance, and strategic messaging about the Analytic Standards	romote analysis 2010	ire analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	2013 princip	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100% 2018	2019	92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13% 100%	200 88 11 100 200 3
New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes No Total New question added in 2022 What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better p % Training on the Analytic Standards Promote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address concerns Consistent and transparent product review and feedback practices Consistent and transparent product coordination practices Communication, guidance, and strategic messaging about the Analytic Standards Leadership commitment to preserve objective analysis independent from	romote analysis 2010	ire analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	2013 princip	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100% 2018	2019	92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13% 100%	200 88 1 100 200 3
New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes No Total New question added in 2022 What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better p % Training on the Analytic Standards Promote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address concerns Consistent and transparent product review and feedback practices Consistent and transparent product coordination practices Communication, guidance, and strategic messaging about the Analytic Standards Leadership commitment to preserve objective analysis independent from political consideration	romote analysis 2010	ire analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	2013 princip	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100% 2018	2019	92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13% 100%	200 88 11 100
New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co Yes No Total New question added in 2022 What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better p Training on the Analytic Standards Promote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address concerns Consistent and transparent product review and feedback practices Consistent and transparent product coordination practices Communication, guidance, and strategic messaging about the Analytic Standards Leadership commitment to preserve objective analysis independent from political consideration Address resource-to-task imbalances	romote analysis 2010	ire analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	2013 princip	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100% 2018	2019	92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13% 100%	200 200 200 200 200 200 3
New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes No Total New question added in 2022 What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better p % Training on the Analytic Standards Promote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address concerns Consistent and transparent product review and feedback practices Consistent and transparent product coordination practices Communication, guidance, and strategic messaging about the Analytic Standards Leadership commitment to preserve objective analysis independent from political consideration	romote analysis 2010	ire analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	2013 princip	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100% 2018	2019	92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13% 100%	200 88 11 100 200 3
New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? % Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co % Yes No Total New question added in 2022 What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better p % Training on the Analytic Standards Promote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address concerns Consistent and transparent product review and feedback practices Consistent and transparent product coordination practices Communication, guidance, and strategic messaging about the Analytic Standards Leadership commitment to preserve objective analysis independent from political consideration Address resource-to-task imbalances Other	romote analysis 2010	ire analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	2013 princip	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100% 2018	2019	92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13% 100%	200 88 11 100 200 3
New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co Yes No Total New question added in 2022 What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better p Training on the Analytic Standards Promote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address concerns Consistent and transparent product review and feedback practices Consistent and transparent product coordination practices Communication, guidance, and strategic messaging about the Analytic Standards Leadership commitment to preserve objective analysis independent from political consideration Address resource-to-task imbalances Other	romote analysis 2010	ire analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	2013 princip	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100% 2018	2019	92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13% 100%	200 200 30 11::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co Yes No Total New question added in 2022 What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better p Training on the Analytic Standards Promote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address concerns Consistent and transparent product review and feedback practices Consistent and transparent product coordination practices Communication, guidance, and strategic messaging about the Analytic Standards Leadership commitment to preserve objective analysis independent from political consideration Address resource-to-task imbalances Other	romote analys	ire analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	2013 princip	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100% 2018	2019	92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13% 100%	200 200 30 11::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::
New question added in 2021 Are you empowered to uphold ICD 203 principles? Yes No Total New question added in 2021 Does your IC element/CCMD encourage raising questions and voicing co Yes No Total New question added in 2022 What is the primary way in which your IC element/CCMD could better p Training on the Analytic Standards Promote awareness of the analytic ombuds as a resource to address concerns Consistent and transparent product review and feedback practices Communication, guidance, and strategic messaging about the Analytic Standards Leadership commitment to preserve objective analysis independent from political consideration Address resource-to-task imbalances Other Total	romote analys	ire analysis 2011	adheres to 2012	2013 princip	inciples? 2014	2015	2016	2017	0% 100% 100% 2018	2019	92% 8% 100% 2021 87% 13% 100%	91 9

	otal												
	New question added in 2018												
	Question wording changed in 2021												
	Do you know how to contact your IC element/CCMD analytic ombudsman?												
9		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 100%	2019 61%	2021 67%	
	res No									0%	39%	33%	
	otal									100%	100%	100%	
	New question added in 2022												
	Are you aware of the IC Analytic Ombudsman role and responsibilities?												
9		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2
	res												
	No												
	ota,												
	New question added in 2022 On you know how to contact the IC Analytic Ombudsman?												
9	·	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	- 2
	'es												
	No												
	otal												
	Question wording changed in 2022												
	New question added in 2021	Ir over-i-	co with th	o Drosida	'e Daile B	of over the	nact ver-2						
9 9	n terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you б	ur experien 2010	ce with the	e President 2012	's Daily Bri 2013	ef over the 2014	past year? 2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	
	iatisfactory	2010	2011		2015	2027	2015	2010	2017	2010	2015	15%	
	A mixed performance											4%	
	Jnsatisfactory											3% 77%	
	No opinion Fotal											100%	
	New question added in 2022 What was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles i	in wour ove	orionco wi	th the Dree	idont's Dai	ly Briof?							
9		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	
Т	ime constraints												
	evels of review												
	Threshold for publication Pressure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of												
	Ilternatives and/or dissent												
	Pressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic												
	Efforts to distort or suppress analysis due to considerations regarding the consumer												
·	nformation sharing constraints, including compartmentalization												
Ir													
C	Other												
C	otal												
C													
T													
T C	otal Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021												
C T	otal Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 n terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you							-	2017	2018	2019	2021	
CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	otal Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 n terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you	ur experien 2010	ce with the	e National 2012	intelligence 2013	· Council or 2014	ver the past 2015	t year? 2016	2017	2018	2019	2021 21%	
CON III	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 The terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you Statisfactory A mixed performance							-	2017	2018	2019	21% 5%	
CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 In terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you Kaistisfactory In mixed performance Unsatisfactory Unsatisfactory							-	2017	2018	2019	21% 5% 0%	
CONTINUES OF STATE OF	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 New rems of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you wistifactory In mixed performance Jonastifactory Jon opinion							-	2017	2018	2019	21% 5% 0% 74%	
CONTINUES OF SERVICES OF SERVI	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 In terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you Kaistisfactory In mixed performance Unsatisfactory Unsatisfactory							-	2017	2018	2019	21% 5% 0%	
CONTINUES OF THE PROPERTY OF T	Ouestion wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 In terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you Kaistisfactory In mixed performance Unsatisfactory Io opinion Total							-	2017	2018	2019	21% 5% 0% 74%	
CC N III 9,8 A A C U N T	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 New rems of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you would you rate you would go would you rate you will be a second or word of the control of th	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	-	2017	2018	2019	21% 5% 0% 74%	
CC N III 9,8 A A C U N T	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 In terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you we would a control of the contro	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	-	2017	2018	2019	21% 5% 0% 74%	
CC TT CC NN III III III III III III III III I	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 In terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you we would a control of the contro	2010	2011 erience wi	2012	2013 onal Intelli	2014 gence Cour	2015 ncil?	2016				21% 5% 0% 74% 100%	
CC TT CC N N I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 New question added in 2022 What was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles is improved in the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles is improved in the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles is improved in the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles is improved in the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles is improved in the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles is improved in the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles is improved in the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles is improved in the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles is improved in the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles is improved in the primary challenge in the primary challenge is improved in the primary challenge in the primary challenge is improved in the primary c	2010	2011 erience wi	2012	2013 onal Intelli	2014 gence Cour	2015 ncil?	2016				21% 5% 0% 74% 100%	
CC	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 The terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you we distinguished the performance constitution of t	2010	2011 erience wi	2012	2013 onal Intelli	2014 gence Cour	2015 ncil?	2016				21% 5% 0% 74% 100%	
CC	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 In terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you would go with the control of the	2010	2011 erience wi	2012	2013 onal Intelli	2014 gence Cour	2015 ncil?	2016				21% 5% 0% 74% 100%	
CC T T CC N N I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 The terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you we distinguished the performance constitution of t	2010	2011 erience wi	2012	2013 onal Intelli	2014 gence Cour	2015 ncil?	2016				21% 5% 0% 74% 100%	
CC T T C C N N I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 In terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you we distinguished the programment of the progr	2010	2011 erience wi	2012	2013 onal Intelli	2014 gence Cour	2015 ncil?	2016				21% 5% 0% 74% 100%	
CC TT T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 To terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you would go to the control of the c	2010	2011 erience wi	2012	2013 onal Intelli	2014 gence Cour	2015 ncil?	2016				21% 5% 0% 74% 100%	
CC	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 New question added in 2022 No opinion Total New question added in 2022 What was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles in the constraints evels of review hreshold for publication pressure to reach consensus, including distorting or suppressing analysis of alternatives and/or dissent pressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic efforts to distort or suppress analysis due to considerations regarding the consumer	2010	2011 erience wi	2012	2013 onal Intelli	2014 gence Cour	2015 ncil?	2016				21% 5% 0% 74% 100%	
CC	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 To terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you would go to the control of the c	2010	2011 erience wi	2012	2013 onal Intelli	2014 gence Cour	2015 ncil?	2016				21% 5% 0% 74% 100%	
CC TT III III III III III III III III II	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 In terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you were greatly as a constraint of the property of the property of the principles of the pri	2010	2011 erience wi	2012	2013 onal Intelli	2014 gence Cour	2015 ncil?	2016				21% 5% 0% 74% 100%	
CC TT III III III III III III III III II	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 In terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you would get a constraint of the constraints of	2010	2011 erience wi	2012	2013 onal Intelli	2014 gence Cour	2015 ncil?	2016				21% 5% 0% 74% 100%	
CC N III S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 In terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you would get a constraint of the constraints of	2010	2011 erience wi	2012	2013 onal Intelli	2014 gence Cour	2015 ncil?	2016				21% 5% 0% 74% 100%	
CC N III	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 New question added in 2022 No opinion Total New question added in 2022 What was the primary challenge to effectively upholding ICD 203 principles in the constraints give in the constraints give in the constraints give in the constraint in the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic constraint in the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic consumer information sharing constraints, including compartmentalization of the consumer information sharing constraints in the consumer informatio	2010 in your exp 2010	erience wi 2011	th the Nati	2013 onal Intelli	2014 gence Cour	2015 ncil?	2016				21% 5% 0% 74% 100%	
CC TT	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 The terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you will be provided by the provided by the principles of the principles o	in your exp 2010	erience wi 2011	ith the Nati	onal Intelli 2013	gence Cour 2014	2015 ncil? 2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	21% 5% 0% 74% 100%	
CC N I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Question wording changed in 2022 New question added in 2021 The terms of effectively upholding ICD 203 principles, how would you rate you will be provided by the provided by the principles of the principles o	2010 in your exp 2010	erience wi 2011	th the Nati	2013 onal Intelli	2014 gence Cour	2015 ncil?	2016				21% 5% 0% 74% 100%	

Tot	isure											7% 100%
	tai											100%
	w question added in 2021 w frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss feedback from prod	uct rovious?										
%	w frequently do you have an opportunity to discuss recuback from prod	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
	vays											29%
	equently											32%
	metimes											20% 7%
Ne	rely											3%
	t applicable to my work role											10%
Tot												100%
Qu	estion wording changed in 2022											
	restion responses changed in 2022											
	hat is the primary challenge to effective coordination for the analytic pro											
% Dif	ficulty generating attention to the product	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
	ficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject											
	atter experts											
	erlapping roles or "lanes in the road"											
	orts to distort or suppress the analysis											
	judicating differering perspectives											
	essure to reach consensus ormation sharing constraints											
	ne constraints											
	essure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements											
No	challenges											
	t applicable to my work role											
Oth Tot												
	w question added in 2021	at ic tha	any challe	an to -tt	tive ec	lination?						
Wr %	hen considering the analytic product type that you typically prepare, wh	at is the prin	2011	ige to effe 2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
	ficulty generating attention to the product	2010			2010		2015	2010				15%
	ficulty building or maintaining a network with all pertinent subject											
	atter experts											22%
	erlapping roles or "lanes in the road"											31%
	orts to distort or suppress the analysis essure to reach consensus											0% 3%
	ormation sharing constraints											10%
	ne constraints											11%
	essure to incorporate input from non-analytic elements											0%
Oth Tot												100%
100	tai											100%
	estion wording changed in 2018					., .			£.1			
	hen thinking through analytic issues and/or preparing analytic products,	is considera	tion of alte 2011	rnative exp 2012	lanations 2013	and/or out 2014	2015	outine part 2016	of the anal	2018	2019	2021
0/		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	92%	87%
% Yes	ς											
% Yes No											1%	3%
Yes No												_
Yes No	t applicable to my work role										1%	3%
Yes No No	t applicable to my work role										1% 7%	3% 10%
Yes No No Tot	t applicable to my work role										1% 7%	3% 10%
Yes No No Tot	ot applicable to my work role tal	of the proce	ess?								1% 7%	3% 10%
Yes No No Tot Qu Wh	ot applicable to my work role tal sestion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	1% 7%	3% 10%
Yes No No Tot Qu Wh % No	nt applicable to my work role tal testion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part	2010 35%	2011 27%	27%	13%	12%	8%	10%	8%	12%	1% 7% 100%	3% 10% 100%
Yes No No Tot Qu Wh % No Yes	t applicable to my work role tal testion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part	2010 35% 65%	2011 27% 73%	27% 73%	13% 87%	12% 88%	8% 92%	10% 90%	8% 92%	12% 88%	1% 7% 100%	3% 10% 100%
Yes No No Tot Qu Wh % No	t applicable to my work role tal testion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part	2010 35%	2011 27%	27%	13%	12%	8%	10%	8%	12%	1% 7% 100%	3% 10% 100%
Yes No No Tot Qu Wh % No Yes	t applicable to my work role tal testion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part	2010 35% 65%	2011 27% 73%	27% 73%	13% 87%	12% 88%	8% 92%	10% 90%	8% 92%	12% 88%	1% 7% 100%	3% 10% 100%
Yes No No Tot Qu WH % No Yes Tot	t applicable to my work role tal restion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part to s tal	2010 35% 65% 100%	2011 27% 73% 100%	27% 73%	13% 87%	12% 88%	8% 92%	10% 90%	8% 92%	12% 88%	1% 7% 100%	3% 10% 100%
Yes No No Tot Qu WF % No Yes Tot Qu Ho	t applicable to my work role tal testion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part s s tal	2010 35% 65% 100%	2011 27% 73% 100% product?	27% 73% 100%	13% 87% 100%	12% 88% 100%	8% 92% 100%	10% 90% 100%	8% 92% 100%	12% 88% 100%	1% 7% 100%	3% 10% 100% 2021 after FY18
Yes No No Tot Qu WF % No Yes Tot Qu Ho	nt applicable to my work role tal restion wording changed in 2018 hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part s s tal restion responses changed in 2019 w often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the	2010 35% 65% 100%	2011 27% 73% 100%	27% 73%	13% 87%	12% 88%	8% 92%	10% 90%	8% 92%	12% 88%	1% 7% 100% 2019 NA	3% 10% 100% 2021 after FY18
Ves No No Tot Wh % No Yes Tot Qu Ho %	to applicable to my work role tal testion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part to s tal testion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the ways	2010 35% 65% 100%	2011 27% 73% 100% product?	27% 73% 100%	13% 87% 100%	12% 88% 100%	8% 92% 100%	10% 90% 100%	8% 92% 100%	12% 88% 100%	1% 7% 100% 2019 NA 2019 27%	3% 10% 100% 2021 after FY18 2021 25%
Yes No No Tot Qu Wh % No Yes Tot Qu Ho % Alw Free	nt applicable to my work role tal restion wording changed in 2018 hen preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part s s tal restion responses changed in 2019 w often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the	2010 35% 65% 100%	2011 27% 73% 100% product?	27% 73% 100%	13% 87% 100%	12% 88% 100%	8% 92% 100%	10% 90% 100%	8% 92% 100%	12% 88% 100%	1% 7% 100% 2019 NA	3% 10% 100% 2021 after FY18
Yes No No Tot Qu WH % No Yes Tot Qu Ho Rale	t applicable to my work role tal testion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part to s tal testion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the testion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the testion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the testion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the testion response changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the testion response changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the testion response changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the testion response changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the testion response changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the testion response changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the testion response changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the testion response changed in 2019 two often are the results of the testion response changed in 2019 two often are the results of the testion response changed in 2019 two often are the results of the testion response changed in 2019 two often are the results of the testion response changed in 2019 two often are the results of the testion response changed in 2019 two often are the results of the testion response changed in 2019 two often are the results of the testion response changed in 2019 two often are the results of the testion response changed in 2019 two often are the results of the testion response changed in 2019 two often are the results of the testion	2010 35% 65% 100%	2011 27% 73% 100% product?	27% 73% 100%	13% 87% 100%	12% 88% 100%	8% 92% 100%	10% 90% 100%	8% 92% 100%	12% 88% 100%	1% 7% 100% 2019 NA 2019 27% 36% 28% 4%	3% 10% 100% 2021 after FY18 2021 25% 46% 25% 3%
Yes No No No Tot Tot W W M M M No Yes Tot M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	to applicable to my work role tal testion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part to s s tal testion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the ways equently metimes rely ver	2010 35% 65% 100%	2011 27% 73% 100% product?	27% 73% 100%	13% 87% 100%	12% 88% 100%	8% 92% 100%	10% 90% 100%	8% 92% 100%	12% 88% 100%	1% 7% 100% 2019 NA 2019 27% 36% 28% 4% 5%	3% 10% 100% 2021 after FY18 2021 25% 46% 25% 3% 1%
Yes No No Tot Qu WH % No Yes Tot Qu Ho Rale	to applicable to my work role tal testion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part to s s tal testion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the ways equently metimes rely ver	2010 35% 65% 100%	2011 27% 73% 100% product?	27% 73% 100%	13% 87% 100%	12% 88% 100%	8% 92% 100%	10% 90% 100%	8% 92% 100%	12% 88% 100%	1% 7% 100% 2019 NA 2019 27% 36% 28% 4%	3% 10% 100% 2021 after FY18 2021 25% 46% 25% 3%
Yes No No No Tot Tot W W M M M No Yes Tot M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	to applicable to my work role tal testion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part to s s tal testion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the ways equently metimes rely ver	2010 35% 65% 100%	2011 27% 73% 100% product?	27% 73% 100%	13% 87% 100%	12% 88% 100%	8% 92% 100%	10% 90% 100%	8% 92% 100%	12% 88% 100%	1% 7% 100% 2019 NA 2019 27% 36% 28% 4% 5%	3% 10% 100% 2021 after FY18 2021 25% 46% 25% 3% 1%
Ves No No Tot Qu WH % No Yess Tot Qu Ho Sor Rar Ne Tot	to applicable to my work role tal testion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part to s s tal testion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the ways equently metimes rely ver	2010 35% 65% 100%	2011 27% 73% 100% product?	27% 73% 100%	13% 87% 100%	12% 88% 100%	8% 92% 100%	10% 90% 100%	8% 92% 100%	12% 88% 100%	1% 7% 100% 2019 NA 2019 27% 36% 28% 4% 5%	3% 10% 100% 2021 after FY18 2021 25% 46% 25% 3% 1%
Yes No No Tot Qu WH % No No Yes Tot Alw Fre Sor Ror Tot Qu Ho Qu Ho	to applicable to my work role tal testion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part tal testion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the ways tal tal testion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the ways tal	2010 35% 65% 100% ne published 2010	2011 27% 73% 100% product? 2011	27% 73% 100%	13% 87% 100%	12% 88% 100%	8% 92% 100%	10% 90% 100%	8% 92% 100%	12% 88% 100%	1% 7% 100% 2019 NA 2019 27% 36% 28% 4% 5% 100%	3% 10% 100% 2021 after FY18 25% 46% 25% 3% 1% 100%
Yes No No No Tot Qu Wh % No Yes Tot Alw Frer Rara Ne Tot Qu Ho Mo No	testion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part to s tal testion wording changed in 2018 to tal testion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the two the testion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the two the testion wording changed in 2018 two often are the results from your analysis of alternatives included in di	2010 35% 65% 100%	2011 27% 73% 100% product? 2011	27% 73% 100%	13% 87% 100%	12% 88% 100%	8% 92% 100%	10% 90% 100%	8% 92% 100% 2017	12% 88% 100%	1% 7% 100% 2019 NA 2019 27% 36% 28% 4% 5%	3% 10% 100% 2021 after FY18 2021 25% 46% 25% 3% 1%
Ves No No Tot Qu Wh % No Yess Tot Alw Free Sor Rata Ne Tot	to applicable to my work role tal testion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part tal testion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the ways tal testion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the ways tal testion wording changed in 2018 two often are the results from your analsysis of alternatives included in divays	2010 35% 65% 100% ne published 2010	2011 27% 73% 100% product? 2011	27% 73% 100%	13% 87% 100%	12% 88% 100%	8% 92% 100%	10% 90% 100%	8% 92% 100% 2017 2017 38%	12% 88% 100% 2018 2018 33%	1% 7% 100% 2019 NA 2019 27% 36% 28% 4% 5% 100%	3% 10% 100% 2021 after FY18 25% 46% 25% 3% 1% 100%
Ves No	to applicable to my work role tal sestion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part to s tal sestion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the ways equently metimes rely twer tal sestion wording changed in 2018 two often are the results from your analsysis of alternatives included in di ways until worst of the sestion wording changed in 2018 two often are the results from your analsysis of alternatives included in di ways until y	2010 35% 65% 100% ne published 2010	2011 27% 73% 100% product? 2011	27% 73% 100%	13% 87% 100%	12% 88% 100%	8% 92% 100%	10% 90% 100%	2017 2017 38% 38%	12% 88% 100% 2018 2018 33% 37%	1% 7% 100% 2019 NA 2019 27% 36% 28% 4% 5% 100%	3% 10% 100% 2021 after FY18 25% 46% 25% 3% 1% 100%
Ves No No Tot Qu Wh % No Yes Tot Qu Ho Yes Alw Ho Alw Ho Alw Ho Sor Alw Ho Sor Alw Ho Sor Xes Alw Ho Sor Xes Alw Ho Sor Xes Alw Ho Sor Xes Alw Sor Xes Alw Sor Xes Sor Xes Sor Xes	to applicable to my work role tal testion wording changed in 2018 then preparing analytic products, is analysis of alternatives a routine part tal testion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the ways tal testion responses changed in 2019 two often are the results of these alternative considerations included in the ways tal testion wording changed in 2018 two often are the results from your analsysis of alternatives included in divays	2010 35% 65% 100% ne published 2010	2011 27% 73% 100% product? 2011	27% 73% 100%	13% 87% 100%	12% 88% 100%	8% 92% 100%	10% 90% 100%	8% 92% 100% 2017 2017 38%	12% 88% 100% 2018 2018 33%	1% 7% 100% 2019 NA 2019 27% 36% 28% 4% 5% 100%	3% 10% 100% 2021 after FY18 25% 46% 25% 3% 1% 100%

	ions were exclu	ded from t	he publishe	ed product?	•						
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Time constraints Product template constraints											
Perceived to undermine confidence in the analytic judgments											
Perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product											
Perceived that the consumer would be averse to alternatives											
Other Total											
New question added in 2021											
Which of the following is the primary reason the alternative considerat	ions were exclu 2010	ded from t 2011	he publishe 2012	ed product? 2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021
Time constraints	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2010	2017	2010	2015	0%
Product template constraints It was perceived as not relevant or did not add value to the product											0%
											100%
It was discouraged or removed by reviewer It was perceived the customer would be averse to inclusion of alternative	es										0%
Total											100%
New question added in 2022											
What is the primary challenge to conveying significant differences in an %	alytic judgment	ts and/or d 2011	issent in th 2012	e analytic p 2013	roduct typ 2014	e that you 2015	typically p 2016	repare? 2017	2018	2019	2021
Pressure to maintain the existing analytic line or status quo on a topic						-					
Perception that the consumer is averse to products conveying differing											
views Perception that differing views undermine the main analytic judgement											
Pressure to reach consensus Difficulty adjudicating conflicting input											
Policies delineating lead, collaboration, and coordination roles											
Information sharing constraints, including compartmentalization											
No challenges Not applicable to my work role											
Other											
Total											
Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021											
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intellige		2011	2012	2012	2014	2015	2016	2017	2019	2010	2021
New question added in 2021	nce analysis? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intellige % Yes No		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	0% 100%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intellige % Yes		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	0% 100%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intellige % Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	0% 100%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intellige % Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021	2010		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	0% 100%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intellige % Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022	2010		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	0% 100%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intellige % Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related inte % Yes	2010 Iligence analysi	s?		2013	2014		2016	2017			0% 100% 100% 2021
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intellige % Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intellige	2010 Iligence analysi	s?		2013	2014		2016	2017			0% 100% 100% 2021 1% 99%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intellige Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intellige Yes No	2010 Iligence analysi	s?		2013	2014		2016	2017			100% 100% 2021 1% 99%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligee % Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intel % Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 No Total	2010 Iligence analysi 2010	s?		2013	2014		2016	2017			0% 100% 100% 2021 1% 99%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelliges Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related inte % Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 Rew question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligent Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligent %	2010 Iligence analysi 2010	s?		2013	2014		2016	2017			0% 100% 100% 2021 1% 99% 100%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelliges Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelliges Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 Question not asked in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligents Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligents Yes	2010 Iligence analysi 2010 ce analysis?	5? 2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	0% 100% 100% 2021 1% 99% 100%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelliges Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related inte % Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 Rew question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligent Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligent %	2010 Iligence analysi 2010 ce analysis?	5? 2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	0% 100% 100% 2021 1% 99% 100% 2021 4% 96%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligee % Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intel % Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intel % Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligen % Yes No	2010 Iligence analysi 2010 ce analysis?	5? 2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	0% 100% 100% 2021 1% 99% 100% 2021 4% 96%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligency Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligency Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligency Yes No Total In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligency Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 Rever question added in 2022 New question added in 2022 New question added in 2021	lligence analysi 2010 ce analysis? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	0% 100% 100% 2021 1% 99% 100% 2021 4% 96%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligee % Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intellige % Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligen % Yes No Total In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligen % Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022	lligence analysi 2010 ce analysis? 2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	0% 100% 100% 2021 1% 99% 100% 2021 4% 96%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligence with the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligence with the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence with the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence with the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligence with the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence with the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence with the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence with the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence with the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence with the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence with the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence with the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligence with the past year.	lligence analysis 2010 ce analysis? 2010	5? 2011 2011	2012 2012 which of th	2013 2013	2014 2014 was the pr	2015 2015	2016 2016 lytic Standa	2017 2017	2018 2018	2019	0% 100% 100% 2021 1% 99% 100% 2021 4% 96% 100%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligency Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligency Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligency Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligency Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or Objective Independent of political considerations	lligence analysis 2010 ce analysis? 2010	5? 2011 2011	2012 2012 which of th	2013 2013	2014 2014 was the pr	2015 2015	2016 2016 lytic Standa	2017 2017	2018 2018	2019	0% 100% 100% 100% 2021 1% 199% 100% 2021 100%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelliges Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related inte % Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligen Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligen % Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or % Objective Independent of political considerations Timely	lligence analysis 2010 ce analysis? 2010	5? 2011 2011	2012 2012 which of th	2013 2013	2014 2014 was the pr	2015 2015	2016 2016 lytic Standa	2017 2017	2018 2018	2019	0% 100% 100% 2021 1% 99% 100% 2021 4% 100%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligency Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligency Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligency Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligency Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or Objective Independent of political considerations	lligence analysis 2010 ce analysis? 2010	5? 2011 2011	2012 2012 which of th	2013 2013	2014 2014 was the pr	2015 2015	2016 2016 lytic Standa	2017 2017	2018 2018	2019	0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 1
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligence in the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligence in the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related interest in the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related interest in the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related interest in the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligent in the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligent in the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligent in the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligent in the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligent year. Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or year. When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or year. But you was a significant experience of distortion and/or year. But you was a significant experience of distortion and/or year. Objective Independent of political considerations Timely Based on all available sources of intelligence information Implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards Other	lligence analysis 2010 ce analysis? 2010	5? 2011 2011	2012 2012 which of th	2013 2013	2014 2014 was the pr	2015 2015	2016 2016 lytic Standa	2017 2017	2018 2018	2019	0%%100%100%100%100%100%100%100%100%100%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelliges Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelliges Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligen Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligen Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or Mobjective Independent of political considerations Timely Based on all available sources of intelligence information Implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards Other	lligence analysis 2010 ce analysis? 2010	5? 2011 2011	2012 2012 which of th	2013 2013	2014 2014 was the pr	2015 2015	2016 2016 lytic Standa	2017 2017	2018 2018	2019	0% 100% 100% 100% 2021 1% 99% 100% 2021 4% 100% 2021 33% 33% 17% 0%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligence in the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligence in the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related interest in the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related interest in the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related interest in the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligent in the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligent in the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligent in the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligent in the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligent year. Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or year. When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or year. But you was a significant experience of distortion and/or year. But you was a significant experience of distortion and/or year. Objective Independent of political considerations Timely Based on all available sources of intelligence information Implements and exhibits Analytic Tradecraft Standards Other	lligence analysis 2010 ce analysis? 2010	5? 2011 2011	2012 2012 which of th	2013 2013	2014 2014 was the pr	2015 2015	2016 2016 lytic Standa	2017 2017	2018 2018	2019	0%%100%100%100%100%100%100%100%100%100%
New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in election security-related intelligency Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in domestic extermism-related intelligency Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligency Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligency Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or Molecular in 2021 When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or Molecular in 2021 In the past year, were you involved in border security-related intelligency Yes No Total Question not asked in 2022 New question added in 2021 When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or Molecular in 2021 When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or Molecular in 2021 When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or Molecular in 2022 New question added in 2021 When considering your most significant experience of distortion and/or Molecular in 2022 New question added in 2022 New question not asked in 2022 New question not asked in 2022	lligence analysis 2010 ce analysis? 2010	5? 2011 2011	2012 2012 which of th	2013 2013	2014 2014 was the pr	2015 2015	2016 2016 lytic Standa	2017 2017	2018 2018	2019	0% 100% 100% 2021 1% 99% 100% 2021 4% 96% 100%

Question not asked in 2022												
Did you receive assistance that was effective in resolving the problem?												
%	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2021	2022
No	53%	58%	25%	35%	38%	23%	50%	88%	60%	67%	NA after	EV10
Yes/Partially	47%	42%	75%	65%	63%	77%	50%	13%	40%	33%	INA arter	F119
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		