



IC IG FY 2015-004

1 October 2014 - 31 March 2015

(U) SEMIANNUAL REPORT TO THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY

I. Charles McCullough, III
Inspector General of the Intelligence Community

(U) Mission

(U) We conduct independent and objective audits, inspections, investigations, and reviews to promote economy, efficiency, effectiveness, and integration across the Intelligence Community.

(U) Vision

(U) Speak truth; enable excellence in management and accountability.

(U) Core Values

(U) **Integrity:** We are honest, trustworthy, accountable for our actions, and committed to fulfilling our mission.

(U) **Professionalism:** We hold ourselves to the highest standards of technical proficiency and treat others with courtesy and respect.

(U) **Independence:** We conduct our mission free of external influence, and provide objective assessments, advice, and conclusions regardless of political or personal consequence.

(U) Diversity

(U) The Office of the Inspector General of the Intelligence Community (IC IG) promotes diversity in all aspects of our mission as a key to our success. Our professional and innovative culture demonstrates the value we place in having a diverse workforce. This is true with our hiring and promotion practices, as well as our efforts to support current IC IG staff who wish to develop or enhance their current skill sets by learning a new IG discipline or participating in a Joint Duty assignment. Our commitment to diversity ensures that we maintain an equitable working environment and can fully leverage the varied IG expertise and Intelligence Community backgrounds of our staff.

(U) A Message from the Inspector General



(U) On behalf of the members of the Office of the Inspector General of the Intelligence Community (IC IG), with whom I am honored to serve, I am pleased to provide this summary of our efforts for the period 1 October 2014

through 31 March 2015. This report is submitted pursuant to Section 103H of the National Security Act of 1947, as amended.

(U) We continue to foster integration and collaboration among the IC Offices of Inspector General (IC OIGs) by:

- leading the statutory IC IG Forum and the Forum's Deputies, Audit, Inspections, Investigations, Counsel, and Management and Administration committees;
- continuing to develop our IC Whistleblowing and Source Protection program through training, outreach, and processing cases;
- managing the IC IG Forum's Peer Review Program in coordination with the IC OIGs; and
- liaising with the Council of Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency.

(U//~~FOUO~~) During this reporting period we:

- completed three audits;
- completed two inspections of Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) components, and one cross-IC inspection; and
- conducted 18 investigations, of which five were substantiated.

(U//~~FOUO~~) We are currently:

- completing two compliance audits;
- conducting a Joint Intelligence Community Information Technology Enterprise (IC ITE) Survey;
- conducting a baseline evaluation of intelligence oversight across the IC;
- conducting a joint evaluation of field-based information sharing entities;
- conducting an evaluation of suspension and debarment programs; and
- conducting one component inspection.

(U) The credit goes to our hard-working personnel for these accomplishments and ongoing efforts. We remain committed to the highest standards of professionalism, objectivity, independence, and integrity. I very much appreciate the continued support of the Director of National Intelligence, the Administration, and the Congressional Intelligence Committees.

I. Charles McCullough, III

Inspector General of the Intelligence Community

Table of Contents

1	(U) STATUTORY REPORTING REQUIREMENTS AND CONGRESSIONALLY REQUESTED INFORMATION	16	(U) INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION
		16	A. (U) Select Completed Investigations
		17	B. (U) IC IG Hotline
2	(U) OVERVIEW	18	(U) INTELLIGENCE OVERSIGHT
2	A. (U) Organization	18	A. (U) Completed Reports
3	B. (U) Personnel	18	B. (U) Ongoing Evaluations
4	C. (U) Funding		
5	(U) IC INSPECTORS GENERAL ACTIVITIES	20	(U) GENERAL COUNSEL
5	A. (U) IC IG Forum	20	A. (U) Legal and Policy Reviews
6	B. (U) The Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency	20	B. (U) Legislative Reviews
6	C. (U) IC IG Peer Review Activities	21	C. (U) Ethics Portfolio
6	D. (U) Whistleblowing and Source Protection	21	D. (U) Congressional Engagements
7	E. (U) IC IG Conference		
7	F. (U) IC IG Awards	22	(U) APPENDIX A: SUMMARY OF AUDITS
7	G. (U) IC IG Investigator - Prosecutor Initiative	23	(U) APPENDIX B: SUMMARY OF INSPECTIONS
7	H. (U) IC IG Investigative Techniques and Procedures Course	24	(U) APPENDIX C: ADDITIONAL REPORTING
8	I. (U) IC Counter Fraud Working Group	25	(U) APPENDIX D: SUMMARY OF INVESTIGATIONS
9	(U) AUDIT DIVISION	26	(U) APPENDIX E: ONGOING INVESTIGATIONS
9	A. (U) Completed Audits	27	(U) APPENDIX F: STATUS OF RECOMMENDATIONS
11	B. (U) Ongoing Audits		
12	(U) INSPECTIONS DIVISION		
12	A. (U) Completed Inspections and Evaluations		
15	B. (U) Ongoing Inspections		

(U) Statutory Reporting Requirements and Congressionally Requested Information

A. (U) Standards

(U) All inspections and investigations conform to standards adopted by the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency (CIGIE).

B. (U) Full and Direct Access Certification

(U) The IC IG had full and direct access to all information relevant to the performance of his duties.

C. (U) Subpoena Authority

(U) During this reporting period the IC IG issued no subpoenas.

D. (U) Legislative Proposals

(U) During this reporting period, the IC IG provided an important legislative proposal to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence (SSCI) and the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (HPSCI) regarding IG reviews of security clearance determinations. In the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (FY 2014 IAA) the SSCI and HPSCI both supported enhanced whistleblower protections by prohibiting retaliatory personnel actions and security clearance actions against IC employees who make protected disclosures. These statutory provisions codified most whistleblower protections provided to IC employees under Presidential Policy Directive-19 (PPD-19), Protecting Whistleblowers with Access to Classified Information (10 October 2012). One of the most significant enhancements provided for IG review of alleged reprisal claims.

(U) While enhanced whistleblower protections are critical to protecting sensitive sources, requiring an IG to conduct fact-finding reviews for any security clearance determination an employee found unfavorable was overly burdensome. Therefore, the IC IG proposed amending the newly created security clearance appeals process codified in 50 U.S.C. § 3341, Security Clearances. The IC IG proposed streamlining the appeals process for security clearances by limiting IG reviews to only cases where an individual alleges a security

clearance action was taken in reprisal for having made a protected disclosure. The SSCI and HPSCI included this proposal in the Intelligence Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2015. These changes took effect when the President signed the bill into law on 19 December 2014. As a result, IG reviews in the appeals process are now in keeping with the prohibition of using security clearance actions in retaliation for employees who made protected disclosures, as stated in 50 U.S.C. § 3341(j).

E. (U) Status of Recommendations

(U) Appendix F presents the status of IC IG recommendations, to include the current number of open recommendations and recommendations closed during this reporting period. Each recommendation identifies the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) component or Intelligence Community (IC) element responsible for the necessary corrective actions and whether or not the corrective actions have been fully implemented. Where corrective actions remain incomplete, a status update is provided. Where corrective actions have been completed, a description of that corrective action is provided.

F. (U) Conference Reporting

(U) During this reporting period, ODNI held four conferences in Virginia costing between \$20,000 and \$100,000. The average cost per conference was approximately \$51,000. The average number of ODNI attendees was 61. The conferences' cost-per-person average was approximately \$420.

G. (U) Whistleblower Issues

(U) Information on the status of whistleblower allegations, including those involving reprisal, is included in the IC Inspectors General Activities section.

H. (U) Investigations

(U) Descriptions of closed investigations are included in Appendix D. For this reporting period, the IC IG did not substantiate misconduct allegations against any government employee paid at the GS-15 or above level.

(U) Overview

A. (U) Organization

(U) The Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (FY 2010 IAA) established the IC IG with the authority to initiate and conduct independent audits, inspections, investigations, and reviews of programs and activities within the DNI's responsibility and authority. The IC IG promotes economy, efficiency, and effectiveness in the administration and implementation of such programs and activities, and prevents and detects fraud, waste, and abuse. The IC IG's broad authority, as established in the IAA, allows us to identify and investigate systemic IC issues that impact the DNI's ability to achieve intelligence integration. In addition, the IC IG facilitates coordination among other IC Inspectors General through the Intelligence Community Inspectors General Forum (IC IG Forum), also established by the FY 2010 IAA.

(U) The IC IG is composed of the IG, Deputy IG, General Counsel to the IG, Assistant Inspectors General (AIGs) for Audit, Investigations, Inspections, and Management and Administration (M&A), a Senior Advisor on Intelligence Oversight (IO), and Executive Directors for Whistleblowing and Source Protection, and the IC IG Forum. The office's principal operating divisions are Audit, Inspections, and Investigations. The Senior Advisor on IO provides reviews of intelligence activities, either within the ODNI or across IC organizations, to ensure that such activities are authorized and conducted lawfully. The M&A Division and the General Counsel's Office support the operational divisions and the IC IG Front Office. The Executive Directors for Intelligence Community Whistleblowing and Source Protection and for the IC IG Forum support the IC IG Forum.




CHART IS UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

B. (U) Personnel

(U//~~FOUO~~) In FY 2015, the IC IG was authorized a total staffing complement of [REDACTED] positions. Consistent with ODNI personnel funding levels [REDACTED] of these [REDACTED] are funded. The table below shows positions by division and office. and reflects [REDACTED] as of 31 March 2015.

(U) The IC IG is composed of a diverse group of talented and highly-skilled employees to include cadre, joint duty detailees, and contractors who provide subject matter expertise. The following figures summarize the breakdown of IC IG staff by category.

(b)(3)

(U) IC IG Position Allocation

	Audit	Investigations	Inspections	IO	M&A	Counsel	Forum	Leadership	Total
Full-time	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
Part-time	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
Total	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
Vacancies	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

(b)(3)

CHART IS UNCLASSIFIED // ~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(U) Current IC IG Membership



(b)(3)

FIGURE IS UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(U) Rotational Detailee Organization



(b)(3)

FIGURE IS UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

C. (U) Funding

(U//~~FOUO~~) The ODNI provided adequate funding for the IC IG mission during this reporting period. Our budget covered personnel services and general support requirements such as travel, training, equipment, supplies, information

technology support, and office automation requirements. The IC IG requested, with the DNI's support, an increase in IC IG personnel for FY 2015. The increase assists the IC IG in keeping pace with increasing mission requirements.

(b)(3)



(U) IC Inspectors General Activities

A. (U) IC IG Forum

(U) The FY 2010 IAA established the IC IG Forum. The IC IG chairs the Forum, which is composed of IGs with oversight responsibilities for IC elements. The IC IG Deputy, Counsel, and Assistant Inspectors General (AIGs) chair the Forum committees. Forum members collaborate on IC-wide projects; share information and best practices; resolve jurisdictional issues; and facilitate access to employees, contractors, and other resources that may be of assistance. The IC IG uses the Forum to clarify, identify, and prioritize IC-wide projects; to seek key IG stakeholder project buy-in; and to develop strategies on how to best leverage the limited IG resources across the community.

(U) This reporting period we appointed an Executive Director for the IC IG Forum to bolster the Forum's statutory role and strengthen ties to CIGIE's many initiatives. This includes ensuring IC IG representation on CIGIE's standing committees. The Executive Director has been particularly involved with CIGIE's Inspection and Evaluation (I&E) Committee, assisting them in formulating an external peer review program for the I&E activities of the federal OIGs. Additionally, the Forum's Peer Review Program was a topic at this year's annual IC IG Conference, drawing a large number of IG officers to share lessons learned from the program's first year experiences.

(U//~~FOUO~~) The Forum's committees engaged in the following activities during this reporting period:

- (U//~~FOUO~~) **Audit Committee.** The Audit Committee met twice this reporting period and discussed peer review schedules; the IC ITE project; IPERIA, including new 2015 requirements; charge card risk assessments and audits; Data Act implementation; and performance metrics. [REDACTED], Group Chief for National Counterintelligence Executive, Center for Security Evaluation, Technology and Information Assurance Group, provided a briefing on the risks and new regulations made necessary by secure wireless capabilities. [REDACTED], Deputy

Assistant Inspector General for Performance Audit, Treasury Department OIG provided an overview of the process for implementing the Digital Accountability and Transparency Act of 2014.

- (U//~~FOUO~~) **Investigations Committee.** The Investigations Committee met twice during this reporting period. Highlights of the meetings included a session on the implementation of PPD-19 led by the Executive Director, IC Whistleblowing and Source Protection. Other topics included discussions on Peer Review, specifically interagency blended peer review teams, and the IC IG investigator's completion of the Eastern District of Virginia's (EDVA) Special Assistant United States Attorney (SAUSA) training program. During one committee meeting, the IC IG's SAUSA and State Department's long-term SAUSA provided an overview of the EDVA program, along with strategies for working, selecting, and presenting cases to EDVA.
- (U//~~FOUO~~) **Inspections Committee.** The Inspections Committee met twice during this reporting period. Committee members discussed a professional development framework for inspections and evaluations (I&E) personnel; non-management leadership positions in I&E; information access challenges and lessons learned; IC IG's community Suspension & Debarment Programs Evaluation status; trial results for a knowledge management tool used to support inspections; and potential joint inspections. IC IG also briefed the committee on its evaluation of ODNI classification policies, procedures, and practices in response to Section 6 of the Reducing Over-Classification Act of 2010. The evaluation included an overview of classification areas requiring more emphasis in the largest IC elements.
- (U//~~FOUO~~) **Counsels Committee.** The Counsels Committee met four times during the reporting period to discuss jurisdictional issues for IC-wide projects, legislative impacts

(b)(3)

to the IC IG community, congressional correspondence, and training opportunities to better educate the IG workforce. The Counsels' Committee worked closely with the Council of Counsels to Inspectors General (CCIG), which has a similar mandate to provide general advice and counsel to CIGIE. The CCIG and the Counsels Committee collaborated on several initiatives to ensure they addressed concerns unique to IC IG Forum members.

B. (U) The Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency (CIGIE)

(U) The IC IG is a statutory member of the CIGIE. The IC IG actively participates in CIGIE meetings. His representatives materially participate in CIGIE's Committees on Information Technology; Inspections and Evaluations; Investigations; Legislation; Professional Development; Counsel; and Audit, as well as the Leadership Development subcommittee.

C. (U) IC IG Peer Review Activities

(U) This reporting period, IC IG assisted the National Reconnaissance Office's (NRO) peer review of the National Geospatial-intelligence Agency's (NGA) Audit division.

D. (U) Whistleblowing and Source Protection

(U) The Intelligence Community Whistleblowing & Source Protection Program (ICW&SP) conducts outreach to stakeholders managing agency IC Whistleblowing Programs. The program audience includes those who use, advise, or oversee the system; offer subject-matter expertise for training federal personnel on executing the whistleblowing and whistleblower protection process; and process general disclosures through the IC Hotline. The program also offers IC IG specialized expertise for handling select disclosures, including disclosures to Congressional committees of jurisdiction under the IC IG's title 50 authorities and implementing PPD-19.

(U) This reporting period ICW&SP processed an expanding number of PPD-19-related appeals and Congressional disclosures of urgent concern. Significant among them were disclosures to the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence and the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence regarding alleged investigative misconduct; contract waste, fraud, and abuse; overseas operational concerns; documentation of senior-level national security decisionmaking; and an alleged reprisal against sources of an inspector general. ICW&SP held outreach and training events to raise awareness and proficiency. The number of IC whistleblower activities this reporting period is listed below.

(U) IC Whistleblower Mission Areas	(U) Mid-Year Totals
Outreach events	18
Training sessions	14
Congressional disclosures processed	5
Requests for appeals	3
Appeals accepted	0

(U) ICW&SP supported the IC IG's coordination of and consultation concerning the DNI's policy initiatives implementing PPD-19. Of particular focus was the FY 2014 IAA's codification of particular sections of PPD-19. Congressional intelligence committee staffs were briefed on the impacts of the FY 2014 IAA and the need for further improvements as they codify PPD-19.

(U) The IC IG also demonstrated the IC Whistleblowing web-based training at the 2015 Intelligence Community Inspectors General Conference. To support PPD-19, Section D, all employees must complete some training annually. Training remains a component-level activity. Therefore, in the event of an unlawful disclosure,

it may be possible to examine the component's training records, and ascertain whether supervisors and managers trained their workforce on the lawful means of making a disclosure. Funds to update this training, including those necessitated by changes in the whistleblower law, have been allocated. The ICW&SP Executive Director continues to provide subject-matter expertise to the National Intelligence University by integrating whistleblowing awareness into the curriculum. Lastly, we designed communication activities to meet narrower, but still significant audiences in the broader public realm, including opinion leaders at institutions such as James Madison University, as well as professional IC contractor associations.

E. (U) IC IG Conference

(U) IC IG hosted the Annual IC Inspectors General Conference on 26 March at NGA. This was our largest conference so far – with over 400 registered attendees from 16 IC agencies. The Director of National Intelligence, the Honorable James R. Clapper provided the keynote address. Sessions covered topics ranging from ethics and



IG-related legislation, to cloud computing and financial criminal investigations. This year we also took the opportunity to advertise various programs and opportunities, e.g., IC Joint Duty, and a CIGIE promoted federal-wide IG training program.

F. (U) IC IG Awards

(U//~~FOUO~~) The IC IG hosted the Annual IC IG Awards program for members of the IC Inspectors General community to recognize IG professionals throughout the IC who have made extraordinary

contributions to the missions and objectives set forth in the U.S. National Intelligence Strategy, and in their respective IG offices. The awards review board selected the following individuals and teams for this year's awards.

Lifetime Achievement Award:

[REDACTED]

(b)(3)

Leadership Award:

[REDACTED]

Audit Award:

CIA Management of SC-2 Contracting Activities (CIA)

Inspections Award:

NRO OIG Special Review Team (NRO)

NGA OIG Compartmented GEOINT Inspection Team (NGA)

Investigations Award:

Rendition, Detention, and Interrogation Investigations Team (CIA)

Collaboration Award:

Boston Marathon Bombing Review Team (Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Department of Justice (DOJ), CIA, and IC IG)

G. (U) IC IG Investigator – Prosecutor Initiative

(U//~~FOUO~~) An IC IG investigator completed training as a Special Assistant United States Attorney (SAUSA) with the Eastern District of Virginia (EDVA). This investigator will assist with strategies for working, selecting, and presenting cases for criminal prosecution to EDVA for both the IC IG and the broader community of Inspectors General in the IC.

H. (U) IC IG Investigative Techniques and Procedures Course

(U//~~FOUO~~) The IC IG Investigations Division hosted its first four-day, investigator-focused training session for Federal Government IG investigators. Instructors from across the IC OIGs taught the course. Over 65 investigators, senior managers, and counsel from across the IC and DOJ attended. The course covered a range of topics relevant to the Federal Inspector General Community and highlighted specific topics of interest to investigators within the IC.

I. (U) IC Counter Fraud Working Group

(U//~~FOUO~~) The IC IG Investigations Division leads the quarterly IC Counter Fraud Working Group (CFWG). The CFWG identifies and resolves issues of common concern across the IC and develops a framework for collaborative projects and data sharing to detect and prevent fraud in IC programs and activities. During this reporting period, the Investigations Division met with representatives from NGA and DIA, and discussed best practices and techniques for proactive fraud detection and prevention.

(U) Audit Division

(b)(3)

(U) The IC IG Audit Division conducts program, compliance, and financial audits and evaluations of ODNI and IC programs related to information technology, procurement, acquisitions, internal controls, financial statements, and financial management.

(U) During this reporting period, Audit completed three projects and issued reports containing two recommendations.

(U) As of 31 March 2015, the Audit staff had three on-going projects.

A. (U) Completed Audits

(U) Fiscal Year 2014 Independent Evaluation of ODNI Compliance with the Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) of 2002

(AUD-2014-003, November 2014)

(U//~~FOUO~~) FISMA requires Federal agencies to establish security measures for information systems that support their operations and to report annually on those measures. FISMA also requires agency OIGs, or an independent external auditor, perform an annual independent evaluation.

(U) The objective of this evaluation was to provide an independent assessment of the ODNI's information security program and practices. To perform the evaluation, we used the Department of Homeland Security's (DHS) FY 2014 IG FISMA metrics, which covered eleven information security (IS) program areas:

1. Continuous Monitoring Management
2. Configuration Management
3. Identity and Access Management
4. Incident Response and Reporting
5. Risk Management
6. Security Training
7. Plan of Action and Milestones
8. Remote Access Management
9. Contingency Planning



10. Contractor Systems

11. Security Capital Planning.

(U) We also followed up on outstanding recommendations from the FY 2012 FISMA report.

(U//~~FOUO~~) Our evaluation determined the ODNI had established programs in 7 of the 11 IS program areas. The ODNI does not allow remote access, so that IS program area was not required.

(U//~~FOUO~~) The following information security programs met FY 2014 DHS IG FISMA metric requirements:

1. Continuous Monitoring
2. Security Configuration Management
3. Incident Response and Reporting
4. Risk Management
5. Plan of Action and Milestones
6. Contractor Systems
7. Security Capital Planning.

(U//~~FOUO~~) Notably, ODNI implemented new initiatives for the Continuous Monitoring program and continued implementing the Risk Management Framework (RMF). Of the three required programs remaining, the CIA established and managed two IS program areas, and the ODNI is currently developing its Contingency Planning Program. Two new FISMA recommendations will finalize the ODNI Continuous Monitoring Plan and establish milestones for authorizing FISMA reportable systems. One FY 2012 recommendation regarding the contingency plan program remains open.

(U) Fiscal Year 2014 Consolidated Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) of 2002 Capstone Report for the Intelligence Community Elements' Inspectors General

(AUD-2014-004, December 2014)

(U//~~FOUO~~) This evaluation's objective was to collect and summarize the FY 2014 FISMA report submissions from the OIGs for IC elements operating or exercising control of national security systems. We applied the FY 2014 IG FISMA metrics for eleven IS program areas for this evaluation. This evaluation summarized the elements' information security (IS) program strengths and weaknesses; identified the cause of the weaknesses in programs if noted by the respective OIGs; and provided a summary of IC information security program recommendations.

(U//~~FOUO~~) Our review of the 11 OIG reports revealed compliance most often with Incident Response and Reporting, Risk Management, and Security Capital Planning. Specifically, nine OIGs reported their element had established a program consistent with FISMA requirements, Office of Management Budget (OMB) policy, and applicable National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) guidelines. Eight OIGs reported they had established programs for: Identity and Access Management, Security Training, Plan of Action and Milestones, and Contractor Systems.

(U//~~FOUO~~) While a majority of OIGs reported their element had established programs in the 11 IS program areas, 3 areas were found to be inconsistent with FISMA requirements. Five OIGs reported their element had not yet established programs in Security Configuration Management and Contingency Planning. Four OIGs reported

their element had not established a Continuous Monitoring program.

(U//~~FOUO~~) In their FY 2014 FISMA reports, 6 OIGs made 41 recommendations addressing individual element findings. In some cases, the recommendations repeated or were from previous years and had not been fully implemented. Additionally, 8 OIGs reported 37 outstanding open recommendations from prior FISMA reports.

(U) Assessment of the Office of the Director of National Intelligence's Fiscal Year 2014 Charge Card Program

(AUD-2015-001, January 2015)

(U) The Government Charge Card Abuse Prevention Act of 2012 (Charge Card Act) requires heads of executive agencies to establish and maintain safeguards and internal controls for issuance and use of charge cards. It also requires IGs of each executive agency to conduct periodic risk assessments of agency charge card programs to identify and analyze the risks of illegal, improper, or erroneous purchases and payments. IGs are to: (1) use the results of the risk assessments to determine the scope, frequency, and number of periodic audits of these programs; and (2) submit their findings and recommendations to OMB for compilation in an annual report to Congress.

(U//~~FOUO~~) The Act addresses management of three types of government charge card-related purchasing methods: (1) purchase cards and convenience checks; (2) travel cards; and (3) centrally billed accounts. ODNI does not use convenience checks or centrally billed accounts for travel. In addition, ODNI's FY 2014 travel card spending did not exceed the \$10 million threshold requirement to perform an audit or review of its government travel cards. Therefore, we only assessed ODNI's purchase card program and found ODNI's associated risks to be moderate. We determined an audit of ODNI's purchase card spending was not warranted.

(U) We noted two areas of risk identified for improved performance in OMB's annual report to Congress: (1) compliance with existing policies and procedures, and (2) cardholder and card manager training. We suggested management address these areas and ensure effective control over card usage.

B. (U) Ongoing Audits

(U) Evaluation of the Office of the Director of National Intelligence's Fiscal Year 2014 Compliance with the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Improvement Act (IPERIA) of 2012

(AUD-2015-002)

(U) The Improper Payments Information Act (IPIA), enacted on 26 November 2002, requires federal agencies to provide for estimates and annual reports of improper payments. IPERIA, enacted on 10 January 2013, further amended IPIA by expanding previous requirements and intensifying agency efforts to identify, prevent, and recover payment errors within agency programs.

(U) IPERIA also requires the IG of each executive agency to perform an annual IPERIA compliance review. OMB Circular No. A-123 specifically requires each head of agency to identify programs and activities susceptible to significant improper payments, and respective OIGs to perform the annual review for accuracy and completeness.

(U) We are conducting our FY 2014 evaluation in accordance with the Quality Standards for Inspection and Evaluation issued by the Council of the Inspectors General on Integrity and Efficiency (CIGIE). We completed fieldwork, and the draft report is currently in our quality review process. We anticipate issuing the final report memorandum to the DNI before the May 2015 deadline.

(U//~~FOUO~~) Joint IC IG Forum Intelligence Community Information Technology Enterprise (IC ITE) Survey

(AUD-2015-003)

(U) In 2014 the DNI issued the latest National Intelligence Strategy. It outlines six IC enterprise objectives for the next four to five years. These include Integrated Mission Management, Integrated Enterprise Management, Information Sharing and Safeguarding, Innovation, Our People, and Our Partners. Of particular interest to the IC OIGs is the Information Sharing and Safeguarding Objective. This section highlights the IC Information Technology Enterprise (IC ITE), which is designed to transform agency centric information technology to a common platform.

(U) Because IC ITE affects all IC elements, the IC OIGs determined that a survey across the IC elements would be the most effective way to obtain a comprehensive understanding of IC ITE and eliminate the potential for duplicative inquiries of IC ITE service providers and customers.

(U) The objectives of this survey is to gather information related to IC ITE. Specifically, we will document:

- (U) general background information on the IC ITE;
- (U) extent and nature of each IC element's role and responsibility;
- (U) funding for IC ITE at each IC element; and
- (U) goals and objectives established by legislation and the IC elements.

(U) We will provide audit topic proposals to the IC IG Forum for consideration when the survey is complete.

(U) Fiscal Year 2015 Independent Evaluation of ODNI Compliance with the Federal Information Security Modernization Act (FISMA) of 2014

(AUD-2015-004)

(U) FISMA requires agency OIGs, or an independent external auditor, to perform an annual independent evaluation of the agency's information security program and practices. The IC IG performs this evaluation for the ODNI.

(U) Specifically, we will determine the effectiveness and status of the information security program for ODNI's internal operations using the Department of Homeland Security's FY 2015 IG FISMA metrics. Additionally, we will follow up on ODNI actions to address the two open FISMA recommendations from FY 2014 and the one open recommendation from FY 2012.

(U) We will issue our report by the end of Calendar Year (CY) 2015.

(U) Inspections Division

(b)(3)

(U) The IC IG Inspections Division (INS) conducts inspections, reviews, and evaluations to improve ODNI and IC-wide performance and integration. The division examines information access, collaboration, collection, and analysis; IC programs and issues; and compliance with laws and regulations. INS also encourages IC employees and contractors to use the IC IG Complaint Intake Process, or Hotline, as a confidential resource to report fraud, waste, and abuse.

(U//~~FOUO~~) During this reporting period, INS completed two Special Reviews, its first topical evaluation, and one joint inspection. Special Reviews are accelerated assessments that use questionnaires and data call responses with limited interviews of component staff to gather information. These inspections cover mission performance, management effectiveness, resource management, and enterprise oversight.

(U) As of 31 March 2015, INS had one ongoing ODNI component Special Review and one cross-IC topical inspection.

A. (U) Completed Inspections and Evaluations

(U) Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive (ONCIX)

(INS-2014-004, December 2014)

(U//~~FOUO~~) This Special Review occurred during the period of June - September 2014. The ONCIX mission is to lead an integrated national counterintelligence and security effort against foreign intelligence threats to the United States. In November 2014, the DNI established the National Counterintelligence and Security Center (NCSC) to integrate and align counterintelligence and security mission areas under a single organizational construct. Since that organizational action took place after we completed our inspection fieldwork, this report uses the organizational name "ONCIX."

(U//~~FOUO~~) Inspections noted challenges in the following areas:

- (U//~~FOUO~~) ONCIX was late producing the National Threat Identification and Prioritization Assessment, a Congressionally Directed Action due every three years;
- (U//~~FOUO~~) the Business Risk Analysis Clearinghouse (BRANCH) pilot project supporting supply chain risk management research and analysis required greater oversight;
- (U//~~FOUO~~) a new mission support database named Project Razor, designed to replace two obsolete systems, required greater technical and resource attention;
- (U//~~FOUO~~) creation of a Diplomatic Post Database on foreign intelligence threats was long overdue;
- (U//~~FOUO~~) the IC policy governing reviews of unauthorized disclosures should be better defined;
- (U//~~FOUO~~) contract administration functions needed strengthening and additional management oversight; and
- (U//~~FOUO~~) the Scattered Castles database

is not accurate or complete due to agencies' declining compliance with the IC policy governing the recognition and use of the database as the authoritative personnel security repository for the community.

(U//~~FOUO~~) We observed and reported the following:

- (U//~~FOUO~~) IC element responsiveness to ONCIX data calls needed improvement;
- (U//~~FOUO~~) ONCIX should ensure that implementation of the BRANCH pilot project (mentioned above) is consistent with IC policy governing the collection and sharing of IT audit data;
- (U//~~FOUO~~) the previous National Counterintelligence Executive did not complete FY 2014 performance reviews for six ONCIX personnel;
- (U) the ONCIX travel plans and approval process would benefit from closer review;
- (U//~~FOUO~~) the Unifying Intelligence Strategy for Counterintelligence was not accessible to many cleared stakeholders and IC personnel; and
- (U//~~FOUO~~) a Zero-Based Review planned by the new ONCIX leadership should:
 1. consider the findings from the 2009 National Counterintelligence Review Group;
 2. consider development of a plan to improve the efficiency, timeliness, and partner agency participation to complete damage assessments; and
 3. determine if an organizational level strategic plan was warranted.

(U//~~FOUO~~) We noted as commendable the following:

- (U//~~FOUO~~) ONCIX support to a whole-of-government effort to counter a sensitive threat resulted in positive mission impact;
- (U) ONCIX worked effectively with the IC to collaboratively staff the Security Coordination Center, which provides 24/7, end-to-end situational awareness and incident case management for the IC Information Technology Enterprise (IC ITE);
- (U) ONCIX leadership took reasonable action to provide the workforce with the

ability to continue participation in the ODNI Civilian Fitness Program while fitness facilities were unavailable during ongoing construction of new facilities in Bethesda MD; and

- (U) the new ONCIX classified website is well structured and clearly organized.

(U) Office of the Chief Financial Executive (CFE)

(INS-2015-002, March 2015)

(U//~~FOUO~~) We conducted the CFE Special Review from October 2014 to January 2015. Created in 2012, the CFE office enables the mission of the ODNI by providing oversight of the Community Management Account's financial and contracting resources. We noted challenges in the following areas:

- (U//~~FOUO~~) the CFE's role as the budget expert and office of primary responsibility for the Community Management Account is not clear due to inconsistent practices in the ODNI; and
- (U//~~FOUO~~) the CFE does not fully comply with all conference reporting requirements.

(U//~~FOUO~~) We observed and reported the following:

- (U//~~FOUO~~) the number of CFE government staff may not be sufficient to meet Office of Management and Budget, Office of Federal Procurement Policy guidance on retaining sufficient internal capability;
- (U//~~FOUO~~) fully obligating funds remains an issue, which detailee reimbursement process modifications may improve;
- (U//~~FOUO~~) the ODNI budget formulation is improving, but warrants continued focus;
- (U//~~FOUO~~) the current process for statistically sampling ODNI travel vouchers may be insufficient;
- (U//~~FOUO~~) ODNI support contracts' management and oversight may be inadequate to ensure effective, efficient, and auditable contract execution;

- (U//~~FOUO~~) the current ODNI contracts' office staffing requirements may not meet ODNI needs;
- (U//~~FOUO~~) the manning structure for the ODNI Head of Contract Activity (HCA) and Deputy HCA positions may lead to a lack of continuity; and
- (U//~~FOUO~~) the CFE Contracting Officer Technical Representative (COTR) training may benefit from mandating certain key training aspects, possibly as computer-based, with specific due dates.

(U//~~FOUO~~) We noted as commendable the following:

- (U//~~FOUO~~) a majority of CFE partners and stakeholders praised the support the CFE Referents provided; and
- (U//~~FOUO~~) the CFE continued to improve COTR processes and training.

(U) Evaluation of the ODNI Under the Reducing Over-Classification Act (ROCA)

(INS-2014-002, December 2014)

(U) Directed under Section 6 of the Reducing Over-Classification Act, Public Law 111-258 (7 October 2010), INS assessed whether ODNI components adopted, followed, and effectively administered applicable classification policies, procedures, rules, and regulations. INS identified policies, procedures, rules, regulations or management practices that may contribute to persistent misclassification of material.

(U) INS found no instances where the ODNI used classification to conceal violations of law, inefficiency, or administrative error; prevent embarrassment to a person, organization, or agency; restrain competition; or prevent or delay the release of information not requiring protection in the interest of national security. We validated the following challenges:

- (U//~~FOUO~~) the ODNI Original Classification Authority (OCA) training records, and the business processes used to monitor that training, require improvement;
- (U//~~FOUO~~) the number of authorized ODNI OCAs appears to exceed the limits specified by Executive Order 13526;

- (U//~~FOUO~~) ODNI's compliance with mandatory derivative classifier training, maintenance of training records, and the business processes used to monitor the training, require significant improvement; and
- (U//~~FOUO~~) derivative classifier training and product quality assurance by ODNI supervisors, managers, and production officers require improvement.

(U) In addition to the evaluation of the ODNI under ROCA, we analyzed the ROCA reports from the IGs of five IC partners (CIA, DIA, NGA, NSA, and NRO), and the IC IG evaluation of the ODNI, to determine the extent systemic issues might benefit from DNI attention. We found the key areas requiring emphasis across the IC enterprise were training content and periodicity, program management, and oversight of the IC classification markings program. We recommended the ODNI align its monitoring authorities and reporting responsibilities for the IC Classification Management Program under a single office to provide more effective and efficient oversight. Performance metrics developed by the office should also measure workforce compliance and assess the quality of IC training efforts.

(U//~~FOUO~~) Joint Inspection of a U.S. Embassy with Department of State OIG

(U//~~FOUO~~) INS completed its first joint inspection with an IC element by partnering with State Department OIG in its review of a U.S. Embassy. This type of collaboration is intended to further the statutory goals of the IC IG Forum. Namely, to strengthen the collective role and effectiveness of community IGs in support of the National Intelligence Strategy; achieve optimal utilization of resources to increase efficiency and avoid duplication of effort among the IGs; promote the sharing of information of common interest among the IGs; and foster the continued training and development of inspectors.

(U//~~FOUO~~) The joint work provided valuable insights and documented lessons learned to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of participant IG programs. Moreover, the insight into cross-community challenges IC IG gained will help formulate future work plans. State Department OIG will provide the results of this

joint inspection in its Semiannual Report. INS plans to collaborate with other IC partners in FY 2015 and 2016.

B. (U) Ongoing Inspections

(U) National Counterproliferation Center (NCPC)

(INS-2015-003)

(U) We are currently conducting a Special Review of NCPC. We will issue our inspection report during the fourth quarter of FY 2015.

(U) Evaluation of Intelligence Community Suspension and Debarment Programs

(INS-2015-001)

(U//~~FOUO~~) INS launched its first unilateral cross-IC topic evaluation to help fulfill IC IG's unique statutory community responsibilities. We are reviewing the Suspension and Debarment (S&D) programs of the ODNI and ten of our IC partners. Under the Federal Acquisition Regulation, agencies cannot solicit offers from, award contracts to, or consent to subcontracts with contractors that are suspended, debarred or proposed for debarment unless the agency head determines there is a compelling reason for such action. We will assess the adequacy of the agencies' S&D policies and practices regarding both procurement and non-procurement activities (e.g., grants), and determine if there are systemic issues that could benefit from the DNI's attention. We are in mid-phase of this evaluation and will issue our report during the fourth quarter of FY 2015.

(U) Investigations Division

(b)(3)

(U//~~FOUO~~) The IC IG Investigations Division (INV) investigates allegations of violations of criminal, civil, and administrative laws and regulations arising from the conduct of IC, ODNI, and contract employees. INV has unique authority to investigate programs and activities across the IC within the responsibility and authority of the DNI. Through this authority, INV is able to coordinate and assist with the prosecution of criminal matters arising from the six independent intelligence agencies. INV also plays a principal role in conducting IC-wide administrative investigations into unauthorized disclosures of classified information.

(U//~~FOUO~~) During this reporting period, INV conducted 18 investigations, of which 15 were closed. Of the 15 closed investigations, five were substantiated, with potential recoveries of over \$165,000, and two were referred to industry for resolution.

(U//~~FOUO~~) As of 31 March 2015, INV had three open investigations, 20 preliminary inquiries, and five external review requests under ICD 120/PPD-19.

A. (U) Select Completed Investigations

(U) Labor Mischarging

(INV-2014-0017, March 2015)

(U) A contract labor mischarging investigation substantiated a contractor billed the U.S. Government over \$10,000 for services not performed under the contract. The U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia declined prosecution in this matter. INV referred the matter to the Head of Contracting Activity for appropriate recovery.

(U) Labor Mischarging

(INV-2014-0018, March 2015)

(U) A contract labor mischarging investigation substantiated a contractor billed the U.S. Government over \$89,000 for services not performed under the contract. The U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia declined



prosecution in this matter. INV referred the matter to the Head of Contracting Activity for appropriate recovery.

(U) Labor Mischarging

(INV-2014-0023, March 2015)

(U) A contract labor mischarging investigation substantiated a contractor billed the U.S. Government over \$8,000 for services not performed under the contract. The U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia declined prosecution in this matter. INV referred the matter to the Head of Contracting Activity for appropriate recovery.

(U) Labor Mischarging

(INV-2014-0024, March 2015)

(U) A contract labor mischarging investigation substantiated a contractor billed the U.S. Government over \$24,000 for services not performed under the contract. The U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia declined prosecution in this matter. INV referred the matter to the Head of Contracting Activity for appropriate recovery.

(U) Labor Mischarging

(INV-2014-0025, March 2015)

(U) A contract labor mischarging investigation substantiated a contractor billed the U.S. Government over \$35,000 for services not performed under the contract. The U.S. Attorney for the Eastern District of Virginia declined prosecution in this matter. INV referred the matter to the Head of Contracting Activity for appropriate recovery.

B. (U) IC IG Hotline

(U) The Hotline and intake process provides a confidential means for IC employees, contractors, and the public to report fraud, waste, and abuse. The intake process includes secure and commercial Hotline phone numbers, U.S. mail, anonymous secure Web application submissions, and walk-ins. Since the last Semiannual Report to Congress in October 2014, the Hotline logged 175 contacts: 73 from within the IC; and 102 from external sources.

(U) Intelligence Oversight

(b)(3)

(U) The Senior Advisor on Intelligence Oversight:

- (U) serves as the principal advisor to the IC IG for the independent oversight of intelligence, counterintelligence, and intelligence-related activities in the IC;
- (U) represents the IC IG, with the assistance of the IC IG General Counsel, to the President's Intelligence Oversight Board;
- (U) provides advice and guidance on intelligence oversight to other IC IG elements and other IC IO elements; and
- (U) ensures intelligence activities performed by IC elements comply with Executive Order 12333, and with other related Federal laws, Executive Orders, and policies or directives.

(U) The Senior Advisor on IO accomplishes these objectives in coordination with IC element oversight organizations and by engaging in IC-wide or ODNI-specific IO projects and evaluations.

(U//~~FOUO~~) Pursuant to Executive Order 13462, the DNI designated the IC IG as a responsible office for reporting intelligence oversight matters arising within the ODNI to the Intelligence Oversight Board (IOB). During this reporting period, IO staff issued two quarterly reports to the IOB. As of 31 March 2015, IO had one ongoing special review and one joint evaluation.

A. (U) Completed Reports

(U) Third Quarter Calendar Year 2014 Report to the IOB

(IO-2015-002, November 2014)

(U//~~FOUO~~) The IC IG was unaware of any intelligence activities or matters believed to be unlawful or contrary to executive order or presidential directive during the third quarter of CY 2014.



(U) Fourth Quarter Calendar Year 2014 Report to the IOB

(IO-2015-003, February 2015)

(U//~~FOUO~~) The IC IG was unaware of any intelligence activities or matters believed to be unlawful or contrary to executive order or presidential directive during the fourth quarter of CY 2014.

B. (U) Ongoing Evaluations

(U//~~FOUO~~) Special Review of IC Intelligence Oversight

(IO-2014-006)

(U//~~FOUO~~) The IC IG is baselining intelligence oversight activities focusing on three areas:

- 1) identifying programs within the IC designed to ensure compliance with procedures

implementing Executive Order 12333;

2) surveying the degree to which IC element
OIGs review compliance with those
procedures; and

3) establishing an inventory of intelligence
activities that are joint, cross-IC, and involve
multiple IC elements, or potentially operate
under more than one IC element's authorities.

(U//~~FOUO~~) This research project will assist future
reviews but will not result in an IC-wide evaluation
of the programs.

**(U//~~FOUO~~) Joint Evaluation of Field-Based
Information Sharing Entities**

(IO-2015-001)

(U//~~FOUO~~) Responding to a Senate Select
Committee on Intelligence, Senate Homeland
Security and Governmental Affairs Committee,
and Senate Judiciary Committee request, the
Inspectors General of the Intelligence Community,
Department of Justice, and Department of
Homeland Security are jointly reviewing federally
supported entities engaged in field-based
domestic counterterrorism, homeland security,
intelligence, and information-sharing activities
in conjunction with state, tribal, and local law
enforcement agencies. The OIGs, operating both
separately and in coordination, have divided
their efforts, issued announcement letters, and
completed planning. Field work has begun.

(U) We will issue our report by second quarter
FY 2016.

(U) General Counsel

(b)(3)

(U) In accordance with Section 103H of the National Security Act of 1947, as amended, the IC IG has a separate General Counsel who reports directly to the IG. The IC IG General Counsel provides independent, objective, and confidential legal advice on a variety of legal and policy issues that impact affect the IC IG mission. The IC IG General Counsel manages four main portfolios: legal and policy reviews, legislative reviews, IG ethics reviews, and Congressional engagements.

A. (U) Legal and Policy Reviews

(U) During this reporting period, we continued outreach efforts to the IC IG staff, ODNI components, and other IG Counsel counterparts. For example, we worked closely with the ODNI Office of General Counsel (OGC), the ODNI Policy and Strategy Office (P&S), and the ODNI Civil Liberties and Protection Officer (CLPO), to understand the legislative impacts from the FY 2014 and FY 2015 IAA on the Intelligence Community whistleblower protections under Presidential Policy Directive-19 (PPD-19), Protecting Whistleblowers with Access to Classified Information, (10 October 2012). The legislative enhancements will require the Director of National Intelligence to provide additional guidance, including how to reconcile the legislative requirements with the PPD-19 requirements. The IC IG General Counsel continues to work closely with these ODNI offices to ensure that the required IG reviews are fully incorporated into the policy guidance, as well as the requirements to notify IC employees of whistleblower protections.

(U) In addition, we reviewed the new IC IG Executive Director for Whistleblowing and Source Protection-developed whistleblower training module for ODNI employees. This training incorporates the new legal and policy protections for IC personnel, and expands whistleblower protection awareness. The General Counsel's continued coordination with the Executive Director ensures whistleblower outreach efforts are consistent with the latest legal and policy developments.



B. (U) Legislative Reviews

(U//~~FOUO~~) The IC IG is statutorily obligated to recommend legislation promoting economy, efficiency, and effectiveness in the administration and implementation of programs and activities within the responsibility and authority of the DNI. During this reporting period, the IC IG provided a legislative proposal regarding IG reviews in the security clearance appeals process. As outlined on page 1, this proposal was fully incorporated into the FY 2015 IAA. IGs can now focus their resources on reviewing alleged reprisal actions in the security clearance appeals process.

(U) In addition, the IC IG General Counsel reviewed the IG Empowerment Act of 2015 and

other bills designed to enhance IG authorities. The IC IG Legislative Counsel tracked each bill and analyzed the impact to the IC IG mission and statutory authorities. We continue to engage with Congressional committees and the IC IG Counsel Committee as these bills progress through the 114th Congress.

C. (U) Ethics Portfolio

(U) During this reporting period, the IC IG General Counsel established an IC IG Ethics portfolio to provide IC IG professionals with an internal resource for advice on IG standards. Because of the deference afforded IGs, the IC IG General Counsel works with Assistant Inspectors General (AIG) to ensure that IC IG personnel are performing the IG mission in accordance with applicable IG standards in addition to the federal ethics rules and Standards of Conduct. The IC IG Counsel reviews IG work projects and activities with the cognizant AIG and identifies solutions to mitigate potential conflicts of interest and potential appearances of bias that may impugn the work of the IC IG. In addition, the ODNI Designated Agency Ethics Official (DAEO) designated the IC IG General Counsel as a deputy ethics official for the purpose of reviewing the public and confidential financial disclosure reports submitted by IC IG personnel. Close collaboration with the ODNI DAEO, Alternate DAEO, and OGE officials ensures consistent application of federal ethics rules and Standards of Conduct within the IC IG office. Finally, the IC IG General Counsel promotes and provides opportunities for IG-centric ethics training for IC IG personnel. For example, the ODNI Alternate DAEO briefed IG professionals at the annual IC IG conference on the important role the DAEO office can play in an IG ethics investigation. This briefing was well received, as the audience appreciated the IG-focused training. The IC IG General Counsel will continue to collaborate with the ODNI DAEO, Alternate DAEO, and OGE as this ethics portfolio evolves.

D. (U) Congressional Engagements

(U) Pursuant to section 103H of the National Security Act of 1947, as amended, the IG is statutorily obligated to inform the congressional oversight committees of significant problems and deficiencies within the programs and activities of the DNI. During this reporting period, the IC IG engaged with congressional oversight committees on several matters. Specifically, and as discussed in the Statutory Reporting Requirements and Congressionally Requested Information section, the IC IG shared concerns with language in the FY 2014 IAA detailing the adverse information access determination appeals process. The oversight committees remedied these concerns with the enactment of the FY 2015 IAA. Additionally, the IC IG updated the congressional staff on IC IG Forum efforts and discussed enacted and proposed legislation affecting the IC IG Forum members and intelligence oversight committees jurisdiction.

(U) Appendix A: Summary of Audits

1 October 2014 - 31 March 2015

	(U) Audit Number	(U) Audit Title
(U) Completed Audits		
Information Technology	AUD-2014-003	(U) Fiscal Year 2014 Independent Evaluation of ODNI Compliance with the Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) of 2002
	AUD-2014-004	(U) Fiscal Year 2014 Consolidated Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA) of 2002 for the Intelligence Community Elements' Inspectors General
Financial Management	AUD-2015-001	(U) Assessment of the Office of the Director of National Intelligence's Fiscal Year 2014 Charge Card Program
(U) Ongoing Audits		
Financial Management	AUD-2015-002	(U) Evaluation of the Office of the Director of National Intelligence's Fiscal Year 2014 Compliance with the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Improvement Act (IPERIA) of 2012
Information Technology	AUD-2015-003	(U// FOUO) Joint IC IG Forum Intelligence Community Information Technology Enterprise (IC ITE) Survey
	AUD-2015-004	(U) Fiscal Year 2015 Independent Evaluation of ODNI Compliance with the Federal Information Security Modernization Act (FISMA) of 2014

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(U) Appendix B: Summary of Inspections

1 October 2014 - 31 March 2015

(U) Inspection Number		(U) Inspection Title
(U) Completed Inspections		
IC-wide Inspection	INS 2014-002	(U) Evaluation of ODNI Required under the Reducing Over-Classification Act (ROCA)
Component Inspections	INS 2014-004	(U) Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive (ONCIX)
	INS 2015-002	(U) Office of the Chief Financial Executive (CFE)
(U) Ongoing Inspections		
Topic Inspection	INS 2015-001	(U) Evaluation of Intelligence Community Suspension and Debarment Programs
Component Inspection	INS 2015-003	(U) National Counterproliferation Center (NCPC)

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED

(U) Appendix C: Additional Reporting

1 October 2014 - 31 March 2015

	(U) Report Number	(U) Report Title
(U) Completed Reports		
Intelligence Oversight	IO-2015-002	(U) Third Quarter Calendar Year 2014 Report to the IOB
	IO-2015-003	(U) Fourth Quarter Calendar Year 2014 Report to the IOB
(U) Ongoing Activities		
Special Review	IO-2014-006	(U// FOUO) Special Review of IC Intelligence Oversight
Evaluation	IO-2015-001	(U// FOUO) Joint Evaluation of Field-Based Information Sharing Entities

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(U) Appendix D: Summary of Investigations

1 October 2014 - 31 March 2015

(U) Case Number	(U) Closed and Discontinued Investigations
INV-2014-0008	(U) Closed - Unsubstantiated (Labor Mischarging)
INV-2014-0012	(U) Discontinued (Fraud)
INV-2014-0014	(U) Closed - Referred (Labor Mischarging)
INV-2014-0016	(U) Closed - Unsubstantiated (Labor Mischarging)
INV-2014-0017	(U) Closed - Substantiated (Labor Mischarging)
INV-2014-0018	(U) Closed - Substantiated (Labor Mischarging)
INV-2014-0019	(U) Closed - Unsubstantiated (Labor Mischarging)
INV-2014-0020	(U) Discontinued (Labor Mischarging)
INV-2014-0021	(U) Closed - Unsubstantiated (Labor Mischarging)
INV-2014-0022	(U) Closed - Unsubstantiated (Labor Mischarging)
INV-2014-0023	(U) Closed - Substantiated (Labor Mischarging)
INV-2014-0024	(U) Closed - Substantiated (Labor Mischarging)
INV-2014-0025	(U) Closed - Substantiated (Labor Mischarging)
INV-2014-0026	(U) Closed - Referred (Labor Mischarging)
INV-2014-0027	(U) Closed - Unsubstantiated (Labor Mischarging)

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED

(U) Appendix E: Ongoing Investigations

As of 31 March 2015

(U) Ongoing Investigations and Preliminary Inquiries	(U) Number of Cases
(U) Open Investigations	
Labor Mischarging - Administrative	1
Misconduct - Administrative	2
(U) Open Preliminary Inquiries	
Misconduct - Criminal	20
(U) Open ICD 120/PPD-19 External Review Requests	
Part A - Personnel Actions	1
Part B - Security Clearance Action	4
(U) Total Ongoing Activities	28

TABLE E UNCLASSIFIED

(U) Appendix F: Status of Recommendations

As of 31 March 2015

(U) Summary of Recommendations				
(U) Report	Recommendations			Page
	Open	Closed	Closed this period	
(U) 2012 Reports				
(U) Audit Report of Intelligence Community Security Clearance Reciprocity (Dec 2012)	2	0	0	28
(U) Fiscal Year 2012 Independent Evaluation of ODNI Compliance with the Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002 (Dec 2012)	1	11	0	29
(U) 2013 Reports				
(U) Review of the ODNI's FY 2012 Compliance with Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act of 2010 (IPERA) (Apr 2013)	0	4	1	31
(U) Intelligence Community Accountability Review (May 2013)	0	13	1	32
(U) Study of Intelligence Community Electronic Waste Disposal Practices (May 2013)	5	0	0	35
(U) Audit of the Internal Controls over the ODNI Payroll (Sep 2013)	2	10	1	36
(U) 2014 Reports				
(U) Review of the ODNI's Fiscal Year 2013 Compliance with the IPERA (Apr 2014)	0	1	1	38
(U) Report of Inspection: Office of the Deputy Director of National Intelligence for Intelligence Integration (May 2014)	2	19	12	39
(U) Report of Inspection: Chief Human Capital Office (Sep 2014)	1	4	4	43
(U) Fiscal Year 2014 Independent Evaluation of ODNI Compliance with the Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002 (Nov 2014)	2	0	0	43
(U) Report of Inspection: Office of the National Counterintelligence Executive (Dec 2014)	9	2	2	44
(U) Evaluation of the ODNI Under the Reducing Over-Classification Act (ROCA) (Dec 2014)	1	6	6	46
(U) 2015 Reports				
(U) Report of Inspection: Office of the Chief Financial Executive (Mar 2015)	3	0	0	47
Totals	28	70	28	

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED

(U) AUDIT REPORT OF INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY SECURITY CLEARANCE RECIPROCITY
ISSUED December 2012
Report Total: 2 Recommendations: 2 Open 0 Closed

(U) Summary of Open Recommendations

Recommendation	Reference Number	Responsible Office	Corrective Action
<div style="border: 1px solid red; padding: 2px;">(b)(3) (b)(5)</div> [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED

(U//~~FOUO~~) FISCAL YEAR 2012 INDEPENDENT EVALUATION OF ODNI COMPLIANCE WITH THE FEDERAL INFORMATION SECURITY MANAGEMENT ACT OF 2002
ISSUED December 2012
Report Total: 12 Recommendations: 1 Open 11 Closed

(U) Summary of Open Recommendations

Recommendation	Reference Number	Responsible Office	Corrective Action
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

(U) Summary of Closed Recommendations

[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(U) REVIEW OF THE ODNI's FY 2012 COMPLIANCE WITH IPERA
ISSUED April 2013
Report Total: 4 Recommendations: 0 Open 4 Closed

(U) Summary of Closed Recommendations

Recommendation	Reference Number	Responsible Office	Corrective Action
			
			
			
			

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED

(U) INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY ACCOUNTABILITY REVIEW
ISSUED May 2013
Report Total: 13 Recommendations: 0 Open 13 Closed

(U) Summary of Closed Recommendations

Recommendation	Reference Number	Responsible Office	Corrective Action
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(U) INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY ACCOUNTABILITY REVIEW
ISSUED May 2013
Report Total: 13 Recommendations: 0 Open 13 Closed

(U) Summary of Closed Recommendations (continued)

Recommendation	Reference Number	Responsible Office	Corrective Action
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED

(U) INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY ACCOUNTABILITY REVIEW
ISSUED May 2013
Report Total: 13 Recommendations: 1 Open 12 Closed

(U) Summary of Closed Recommendations (continued)

Recommendation	Reference Number	Responsible Office	Corrective Action
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED

(U) STUDY OF INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY ELECTRONIC WASTE DISPOSAL PRACTICES
ISSUED May 2013
Report Total: 5 Recommendations: 5 Open 0 Closed

(U) Summary of Open Recommendations

Recommendation	Reference Number	Responsible Office	Corrective Action
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(U) AUDIT OF THE INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER THE ODNI PAYROLL
ISSUED September 2013
Report Total: 12 Recommendations: 2 Open 10 Closed

(U) Summary of Closed Recommendations (continued)





Recommendation	Reference Number	Responsible Office	Corrective Action
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED

(U) REVIEW OF THE ODNI's FY 2013 COMPLIANCE WITH IPERA
ISSUED April 2014
Report Total: 1 Recommendation: 0 Open 1 Closed

(U) Summary of Closed Recommendations

Recommendation	Reference Number	Responsible Office	Corrective Action
			

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

TABLE 15 UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(U) REPORT OF INSPECTION: OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE
FOR INTELLIGENCE INTEGRATION (DDII)
ISSUED May 2014
Report Total: 21 Recommendations: 2 Open 19 Closed

(U) Summary of Closed Recommendations (continued)

Recommendation	Reference Number	Responsible Office	Corrective Action
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

TABLE 15 UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(U) REPORT OF INSPECTION: OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE
FOR INTELLIGENCE INTEGRATION (DDII)
ISSUED May 2014
Report Total: 21 Recommendations: 2 Open 19 Closed

(U) Summary of Closed Recommendations (continued)

Recommendation	Reference Number	Responsible Office	Corrective Action
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

TABLE 15 UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(U) REPORT OF INSPECTION: OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE FOR INTELLIGENCE INTEGRATION (DDII)
ISSUED May 2014
Report Total: 21 Recommendations: 2 Open 19 Closed

(U) Summary of Closed Recommendations (continued)

Recommendation	Reference Number	Responsible Office	Corrective Action
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(U) Report of Inspection: Chief Human Capital Office (CHCO)
 ISSUED September 2014
 Report Total: 5 Recommendations: 1 Open 4 Closed

(U) Summary of Open Recommendations

Recommendation	Rec #	Responsible Office	Corrective Action
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

(U) Summary of Closed Recommendations

[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

(b)(3)
 (b)(5)

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(U//~~FOUO~~) FISCAL YEAR 2014 INDEPENDENT EVALUATION OF ODNI COMPLIANCE WITH THE FEDERAL INFORMATION SECURITY MANAGEMENT ACT OF 2002
 ISSUED November 2014
 Report Total: 2 Recommendations: 2 Open 0 Closed









(U) Summary of Open Recommendations

Recommendation	Reference Number	Responsible Office	Corrective Action
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(U) REPORT OF INSPECTION: OFFICE OF THE NATIONAL COUNTERINTELLIGENCE EXECUTIVE (ONCIX)
ISSUED December 2014
Report Total: 11 Recommendations: 9 Open 2 Closed

(U) Summary of Closed Recommendations

Recommendation	Reference Number	Responsible Office	Corrective Action
			
			

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

(U) EVALUATION OF THE ODNI UNDER THE REDUCING OVER-CLASSIFICATION ACT
ISSUED DECEMBER 2014
Report Total: 7 Recommendations: 1 Open 6 Closed

(U) Summary of Open Recommendations

Recommendation	Reference Number	Responsible Office	Corrective Action
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

(U) Summary of Closed Recommendations

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

(U) REPORT OF INSPECTION: OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL EXECUTIVE (CFE)
ISSUED MARCH 2015
Report Total: 3 Recommendations: 3 Open 0 Closed

(U) Summary of Open Recommendations

Recommendation	Reference Number	Responsible Office	Corrective Action
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

(b)(3)
(b)(5)

TABLE IS UNCLASSIFIED//~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~