

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 8:38 AM
To: 'Rizzo, Jennifer'
Cc: DNI-Media
Subject: RE: CNN Request

Good morning Jennifer. We won't have any comment on this story since the reporting concerns an alleged CIA published document.

ODNI speaks – to the extent we are able – to IC-coordinated documents. For instance the post-election report “Assessing Russian Activities and Intentions in Recent US Elections.” Otherwise we refer inquiries about specific agency produced documents to the agency alleged to have published it.

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Rizzo, Jennifer (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 7:58 AM
To: DNI-Media (b)(3)
Subject: CNN Request

Good morning--can the DNI's office provide anything on background regarding the summary of an intelligence report, cited by politico, that warns against designating the Muslim Brotherhood as a terrorist organization?

<http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2017/02/cia-memo-designating-muslim-brotherhood-could-fuel-extremism-214757>

Thank you.

Jennifer Rizzo
CNN, National Security Producer
(b)(6)

Sent from my iPhone

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 11:08 PM
To: Tammy.Kupperman (b)(6)
Cc: Brian P. Hale; (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: Statement in Response to WSJ Story

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI

(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 11:04 PM
To: Catherine.Herridge (b)(6)
Cc: Brian P. Hale; (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: Statement in Response to WSJ Story

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI
(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 11:54 AM
To: 'Rowan Scarborough'; DNI-Media
Subject: RE: WashTimes

Hi Rowan,

Off the record for your guidance. Although I'm not in a position to confirm the content of the report you shared, I wouldn't dispute its general findings.

Best,
Tim.

-----Original Message-----

From: Rowan Scarborough (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 9:57 AM
To: DNI-Media (b)(3)
Subject: WashTimes

The MEK is releasing its intelligence report later today, embargoed for 12:30

would the DNI comment on its contention that Iran has opened dozens of training camps for foreign fighters.

thanks

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 10:55 PM
To: Ellen.Nakashima (b)(6)
Cc: Brian P. Hale
Subject: Statement in response to WSJ Story

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI
(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 10:57 PM
To: greg.miller(b)(6)
Subject: Statement in response to WSJ Story

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI

(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 10:58 PM
To: Adam.Entous (b)(6)
Cc: Brian P. Hale
Subject: Statement in Response to WSJ Piece

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI
(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 11:00 PM
To: Jonathan.Landay (b)(6)
Subject: Statement in response to WSJ Story

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI
(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 11:02 PM
To: shane.harris (b)(6) carol.lee (b)(6)
Cc: Brian P. Hale
Subject: Statement in response to your piece

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI

(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 11:04 PM
To: Catherine.Herridge (b)(6)
Cc: Brian P. Hale; (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: Statement in Response to WSJ Story

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI
(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 11:03 PM
To: TriayA (b)(6)
Cc: Brian P. Hale
Subject: Statement in Response to WSJ Story

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI
(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 11:04 PM
To: Catherine.Herridge (b)(6)
Cc: Brian P. Hale; (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: Statement in Response to WSJ Story

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI
(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 11:08 PM
To: Tammy.Kupperman (b)(6)
Cc: Brian P. Hale; (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: Statement in Response to WSJ Story

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI

(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2017 8:04 AM
To: Roberts, John
Cc: DNI-Media
Subject: Re: Tim...good morning

Thanks for reaching out, John. Here you go:

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI
(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

On Feb 16, 2017 7:52:37 AM, "Roberts, John" <John.roberts@foxnews.com> wrote:
Tim,

Can you pls give me a statement on the WSJ story about "withholding intelligence"?

Thanks – hope you're doing well...

John Roberts
Chief White House Correspondent
Fox News Channel
(b)(6)

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e-mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2017 8:08 AM
To: David.Ignatius(b)(6)
Cc: Brian P. Hale
Subject: For David: Statement in response to WSJ

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI

(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

Brian P. Hale

From: Brian P. Hale
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 3:12 PM
To: 'Ashley Dejean'
Cc: Ashley Dejean
Subject: RE: Request for comment—story will be published tomorrow, need comment by COB

Ashley:

Officially our position is: "We are not commenting on this matter."

Off-the-record guidance:

- the PDBs should include no more than 3 articles, each of no more than a page – I am told this is not correct. Brevity is preferred. Historically each PDB is tailored to the preference of the President.
- topics in the PDB will not be covered from dissenting perspectives – I am told this is not correct.

Brian

From: Ashley Dejean (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 3:03 PM
To: Brian P. Hale (b)(3)
Cc: Ashley Dejean (b)(6)
Subject: Re: Request for comment—story will be published tomorrow, need comment by COB

Hi Brian,

If there's information or context you believe would be valuable for me to know, I'm interested in that information—on or off the record. So yes, off-the-record guidance would be appreciated.

We obviously can't include off-the-record information in the story though, so a comment about what the agency disputes (even on background) would also be great if that's possible.

Best,
Ashley

On Thu, Feb 9, 2017 at 2:34 PM, Brian P. Hale (b)(3) wrote:

Ashley:

I am willing to give you an off-the-record steer, however I am uncertain what Mother Jones' policy is on off-the-record guidance. Some outlets do not allow it. Is that something you would be able to do?

Thanks.

Brian

From: Ashley Dejean (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 2:20 PM
To: Brian P. Hale (b)(3); Ashley Dejean (b)(6); DNI-Media (b)(3)

Subject: Re: Request for comment—story will be published tomorrow, need comment by COB

Dear Brian,

Can you walk me through and elaborate on which points that seem incorrect to you?

Best,

Ashley

From: Brian P. Hale (b)(3)
Sent: Thursday, February 9, 2017 1:53:35 PM
To: Ashley Dejean; Ashley Dejean; DNI-Media
Subject: RE: Request for comment—story will be published tomorrow, need comment by COB

Ashley:

Did this memo originate from the ODNI? Or other agencies that provide products for the PDB? I ask, because the most of bullets points seem way off-base and do not match reality.

Thanks.

Brian

From: Ashley Dejean (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 1:35 PM
To: Ashley Dejean (b)(6); DNI-Media (b)(3)
Subject: Re: Request for comment—story will be published tomorrow, need comment by COB

To clarify, I'm asking for comment by COB today.

From: Ashley Dejean (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 9, 2017 1:23:05 PM
To: (b)(3)
Cc: Ashley Dejean
Subject: Request for comment—story will be published tomorrow, need comment by COB

Hello,

This is Ashley Dejean from Mother Jones magazine. I'm working on a story about President Trump's PDB. We have reviewed a memo that was circulated to members of the intelligence community responsible for compiling the President's intelligence briefing. The document suggests that President Trump is receiving less information—and less nuanced information—than his predecessors.

The memo contains the following information:

- the PDBs should include no more than 3 articles, each of no more than a page
- analysts should only include facts that support their arguments
- topics in the PDB will not be covered from dissenting perspectives

I'm seeking comment by COB today from the ODNI on the following concerns raised by the memo:

- The president is receiving less nuanced information because it is both shorter and does not contain alternative analysis/conflicting viewpoints about a situation
- The president may be less prepared in a crisis situation since he's not getting the extensive analysis and diversity of opinions his predecessors received

I'm also seeking comment from the ODNI about why the intelligence community is providing Trump with

shorter and less nuanced material than PDB's provided to past presidents. Was this at the direction of the White House?

I look forward to hearing from you.

Best,

Ashley Dejean

--

Ashley Dejean

(b)(6)

--

Ashley Dejean

(b)(6)

@ashleydijon | ashleydejean.com

Brian P. Hale

From: Brian P. Hale
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2017 9:39 PM
To: Patrick Tucker
Subject: Re: Off the record This Washpo piece on Flynn

Off the record. Not disputing WP account.

Sent from Divide managed by MobileIron

On Feb 13, 2017 8:44:38 PM, Patrick Tucker (b)(6) wrote:

Trump warned Flynn compromised? Really?

Justice Department warned White House that Flynn could be vulnerable to Russian blackmail, officials say - The Washington Post <https://apple.news/AZDob172tQ42G6F2C9jksog>

Sent from the future

Brian P. Hale

From: Brian P. Hale
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 10:39 PM
Subject: ODNI Statement

Folks:

In response to the WSJ story that posted this evening please see the statement below.

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and is not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Sent from Divide managed by MobileIron

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 3:30 PM
To: 'Rowan Scarborough'
Subject: RE: WashTimes

That's right. I just wanted to let you know, but I ask that you not use it.

From: Rowan Scarborough (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 3:29 PM
To: Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)
Subject: Re: WashTimes

thanks. So I can't use this in any way?

On Feb 14, 2017, at 11:54 AM, Timothy L Barrett (b)(3) wrote:

Hi Rowan,

Off the record for your guidance. Although I'm not in a position to confirm the content of the report you shared, I wouldn't dispute its general findings.

Best,
Tim.

-----Original Message-----

From: Rowan Scarborough (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 9:57 AM
To: DNI-Media (b)(3)
Subject: WashTimes

The MEK is releasing its intelligence report later today, embargoed for 12:30

would the DNI comment on its contention that Iran has opened dozens of training camps for foreign fighters.

thanks

Rowan Scarborough
National Security Reporter
The Washington Times
Author:
Rumsfeld's War
Sabotage
(b)(6)

Follow on Twitter @roscarborough
(b)(6)

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 5:24 PM
To: 'Ryan Lucas'
Subject: RE: Gang of 8

My off-record best guess would be FBI would brief CI-related investigations, particularly if they relate to a U.S. person. Again, just a guess without speaking to these specific circumstances.

Original Message-

From: Ryan Lucas (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 5:17 PM
To: Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)
Subject: Re: Gang of 8

Hey,
No worries. Let me ask you this then: would it be the FBI that would brief congress on a CI investigation or DNI?

Sent from my iPhone

> On Feb 14, 2017, at 5:10 PM, Timothy L Barrett (b)(3) wrote:
>
> Hi Ryan,
>
> I'm sorry; I wish I could be of help. I wouldn't want to second guess our overseers, and I don't have firsthand (or even secondhand) insight.
>
> Best,
> Tim.

> -- -Original Message
> **From:** Ryan Lucas (b)(6)
> **Sent:** Tuesday, February 14, 2017 5:17 PM
> **To:** Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)
> **Subject:** Gang of 8

> Hey,
> Rep. Schiff said the gang of 8 has not been briefed on the phone call between Flynn and Kislyak. Warner and Burr said they haven't seen any evidence/transcript. Seems like the something that congressional leaders would normally be briefed on. Can you confirm that the gang of 8 has indeed not been briefed on it?

> Thanks,
> Ryan
>
> Ryan Lucas
> Intelligence Reporter
> CQ Roll Call
>
>
> Sent from my iPhone

>
>
>
> -----
>
>
>

> This e-mail may contain confidential material. If you are not an intended recipient, please notify the sender and delete all copies. It may also contain personal views which are not the views of CQ Roll Call or its owner, The Economist Group. We may monitor e mail to and from our network. For company information go to <http://legal.economistgroup.com>.

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 4:50 PM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6) 'Jessica Schulberg'
Cc: DNI Media
Subject: RE: PDB question

Hi Jessica,

We have nothing official to offer on this. Off the-record for your guidance, President Trump receives a PDB. You'll have to check with the White House about how they list his meetings on their website.

Best,
Tim.

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 4:43 PM
To: 'Jessica Schulberg' (b)(6)
Cc: DNI Media (b)(3)
Subject: RE: PDB question

Hi Jessica,

We'll get back to you on this.

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)
Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO
(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Jessica Schulberg (b)(6)
Sent:
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: Re: PDB question

Hi again, just re-upping this. Thanks!

On Tue, Feb 7, 2017 at 10:27 AM, Jessica Schulberg (b)(6) wrote:
Hi (b)(3)(b)(6)

Jessica here, from HuffPost. I'm trying to get some clarity on why the Daily Guidance put out by the White House describes President Trump receiving "his daily intelligence briefing" instead of "the Presidential Daily Briefing."

The PDB has, of course, become a somewhat formal term to refer to the specific briefing by the IC. The wording change suggests that the briefing Trump is receiving is something different - possibly a summary from Flynn or someone else who attended the actual PDB.

Last week, Sean Spicer said Trump "receives an intelligence briefing and the PDB every day," suggesting that the two terms refer to different briefings. However, the PDB is rarely on his public schedule.

Can you provide any clarity on the two different terms. And can you confirm whether or not Trump receives the PDB every day?

Thanks,
Jessica

(b)(6)

--
Jessica Schulberg
The Huffington Post

(b)(6)

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 10:59 PM
To: Riechmann, Deb
Cc: Brian P. Hale
Subject: Re: Fwd: Spies vs. Trump

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI

(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

On Feb 15, 2017 9:34:21 PM, "Riechmann, Deb" (b)(6) wrote:
Do you all have any comment on this?

<https://www.wsj.com/articles/spies-keep-intelligence-from-donald-trump-1487209351>

Spies Keep Intelligence From Donald Trump

U.S. intelligence officials have withheld sensitive intelligence from President Donald Trump because they are concerned it could be leaked or compromised, according to current and former officials familiar with the matter.

The officials' decision to keep information from Mr. Trump underscores the deep mistrust that has developed between the intelligence community and the president

over his team's contacts with the Russian government, as well as the enmity he has shown toward U.S. spy agencies. On Wednesday, Mr. Trump accused the agencies of leaking information to undermine him.

In some of these cases of withheld information, officials have decided not to show Mr. Trump the sources and methods that the intelligence agencies use to collect information, the current and former officials said. Those sources and methods could include, for instance, the means that an agency uses to spy on a foreign government.

A White House official said: "There is nothing that leads us to believe that this is an accurate account of what is actually happening."

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 4:47 PM
To: 'Peter Eisner'
Subject: FW: from peter
Attachments: Pennsylvania Progressives.docx; sanders trolling.xlsx

Hi Peter, on deep background but not attributable:

While we can't comment on particular sites, or vet them for you guys, none of this is surprising to us. As you know, sites for various purposes—propaganda, fraud, etc—are ubiquitous. This really gets to the broader discussion of how to practice safe cyber engagement! For instance, paying attention to a web address or a name, like “Pennsylvanian Progressives for Bernie Sanders.” That immediately struck me as odd Pennsylvanian versus Pennsylvania. The idea of going back and trying to dig into chronology/make correlations sounds interesting. You might be interested in some of the work coming out of one of our ODNI “mission centers,” the [National Counterintelligence and Security Center](#).

Best,
Tim.

From: Peter Eisner (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2017 10:41 PM
To: Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)
Subject: from peter

Hi Tim

As mentioned, I'm talking to a former Sanders campaign staffer who was involved in web site development and who started noticing spammers, trolls and coordinated fake news and claims appearing on their websites. He then started coming up with websites associated with the people who were adopting multiple site names and individual names, and a pattern of increased activity at certain times during the campaign, slashing at hillary and later slashing at both her and bernie in was obviously aiming at vote suppression.

i asked for an example of the material he found on one website, pennsylvanian progressives for bernie sanders. it is attached.

he also sent me a chart of 148 sites he has looked at. left to be done are correlating this material with chronology, when trump campaign said certain things, etc., See notes at bottom of excel sheet.

He said these involved thousands of shares on social media, repeats, morphed names...everything you can imagine.

As he watched it, and tried to warn people -- the various Sanders units were quite disorganized -- he saw coordination and he saw excellent colloquial English skills that led him to the thought that the foreign sites were cutouts, and somewhere, somewhere, American hands were writing copy.

So I'm looking for feedback and help as I continue to work on this. Has it been noted in this form and with Sanders previously? What can you tell me? Thanks and best

Peter

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Jenna McLaughlin (b)(6) >
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2017 1:03 PM
To: Timothy L Barrett
Cc: NSA MEDIA; DNI-Media
Subject: Re: Question + NSA
Attachments: signature.asc

Hello!

Thanks again for this. Has anyone gotten the chance to check into this query at NSA? I would love to report that Adm. Rogers has been specifically asked to stay on for another year.

Best,
Jenna

Jenna McLaughlin
Reporter
The Intercept
(b)(6)

PGP: AC08 1D1F 2612 E977 6471 946D FAB3 D922 893C 1FF7

> On Feb 15, 2017, at 11:33 AM, Timothy L Barrett (b)(3) wrote:

>
> Hi Jenna!
>
> Great to hear from you. I'm still here as we are career officers, not appointees. I'm adding our colleagues at NSA here as they are best positioned to address your query about Admiral Rogers.

>
> Best,
> Tim.
>
>
> Timothy L. Barrett
> Chief of Media Relations
> Office of the Director of National Intelligence
> (b)(3)

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----Original Message-----

> From: Jenna McLaughlin (b)(6)
> Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 2:23 PM
> To: Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)
> Subject: Question
>
> Hey Tim!
>
> I've got a quick question that I'm hoping to confirm—are you still in ODNI press office?
>
> Essentially, I've got a few sources on background who tell me that Adm. Rogers has been officially asked to stay on as NSA/Cyber Comm director for another year. I'm hoping to be able to report it either way, but would appreciate any background or confirmation I might be able to gather from administration. I will obviously also be asking white house.
>
> Best,
> Jenna
>
>
> Jenna McLaughlin
> Reporter
> The Intercept
> (b)(6)
>
> PGP: AC08 1D1F 2612 E977 6471 946D FAB3 D922 893C 1FF7
>
>
>

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2017 1:11 PM
To: 'Jenna McLaughlin'; (b)(6)
(b)(6)
Cc: NSA MEDIA; DNI-Media
Subject: RE: Question + NSA + OSD

Hi Jenna,

I'm adding our colleagues at the Secretary of Defense to this note as they may be in the best position to address your query. tlb

-----Original Message-----

From: Jenna McLaughlin (b)(6)
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2017 1:03 PM
To: Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)
Cc: NSA MEDIA (b)(3); DNI-Media (b)(3)
Subject: Re: Question + NSA

Hello!

Thanks again for this. Has anyone gotten the chance to check into this query at NSA? I would love to report that Adm. Rogers has been specifically asked to stay on for another year.

Best,
Jenna

Jenna McLaughlin
Reporter
The Intercept
(b)(6)

PGP: AC08 1D1F 2612 E977 6471 946D FAB3 D922 893C 1FF7

> On Feb 15, 2017, at 11:33 AM, Timothy L Barrett (b)(3) wrote:
>
> Hi Jenna!
>
> Great to hear from you. I'm still here as we are career officers, not appointees. I'm adding our colleagues at NSA here as they are best positioned to address your query about Admiral Rogers.
>
> Best,
> Tim.
>
>

> Timothy L. Barrett
> Chief of Media Relations
> Office of the Director of National Intelligence

> (b)(3)

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Jenna McLaughlin (b)(6)

> Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 2:23 PM

> To: Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)

> Subject: Question

>

> Hey Tim!

>

> I've got a quick question that I'm hoping to confirm—are you still in ODNI press office?

>

> Essentially, I've got a few sources on background who tell me that Adm. Rogers has been officially asked to stay on as NSA/Cyber Comm director for another year. I'm hoping to be able to report it either way, but would appreciate any background or confirmation I might be able to gather from administration. I will obviously also be asking white house.

>

> Best,

> Jenna

>

>

> Jenna McLaughlin

> Reporter

> The Intercept

(b)(6)

> PGP: AC08 1D1F 2612 E977 6471 946D FAB3 D922 893C 1FF7

>

>

>

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Jenna McLaughlin <jenna.mclaughlin@firstlook.org>
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2017 1:22 PM
To: Timothy L Barrett
Cc: (b)(6)
(US); NSA MEDIA; DNI-Media
Subject: Re: Question + NSA + OSD
Attachments: signature.asc

Thank you Tim!

I've also asked (b)(6) about this, but he did not have specific information immediately. So White House is aware of the query, but I presume NSA or DOD might already have the answer! Thanks so much.

Best,

Jenna McLaughlin
Reporter
The Intercept

(b)(6)

PGP: AC08 1D1F 2612 E977 6471 946D FAB3 D922 893C 1FF7

> On Feb 17, 2017, at 10:11 AM, Timothy L Barrett (b)(3) wrote:

>

> Hi Jenna,

>

> I'm adding our colleagues at the Secretary of Defense to this note as they may be in the best position to address your query. tlb

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Jenna McLaughlin (b)(6)

> Sent: Friday, February 17, 2017 1:03 PM

> To: Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)

> Cc: NSA MEDIA (b)(3) DNI-Media (b)(3)

> Subject: Re: Question + NSA

>

> Hello!

>

> Thanks again for this. Has anyone gotten the chance to check into this query at NSA? I would love to report that Adm. Rogers has been specifically asked to stay on for another year.

>

>

> Best,

> Jenna

>

> Jenna McLaughlin

> Reporter

> The Intercept

(b)(6)

> PGP: AC08 1D1F 2612 E977 6471 946D FAB3 D922 893C 1FF7

>
>
>
>> On Feb 15, 2017, at 11:33 AM, Timothy L Barrett (b)(3) wrote:

>>
>> Hi Jenna!
>>
>> Great to hear from you. I'm still here as we are career officers, not appointees. I'm adding our colleagues at NSA here as they are best positioned to address your query about Admiral Rogers.

>>
>> Best,
>> Tim.
>>
>>
>> Timothy L. Barrett
>> Chief of Media Relations
>> Office of the Director of National Intelligence

>> (b)(3)
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>

>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: Jenna McLaughlin (b)(6)
>> Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 2:23 PM
>> To: Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)
>> Subject: Question

>>
>> Hey Tim!
>>
>> I've got a quick question that I'm hoping to confirm—are you still in ODNI press office?

>>
>> Essentially, I've got a few sources on background who tell me that Adm. Rogers has been officially asked to stay on as NSA/Cyber Comm director for another year. I'm hoping to be able to report it either way, but would appreciate any background or confirmation I might be able to gather from administration. I will obviously also be asking white house.

>>
>> Best,
>> Jenna
>>
>>
>> Jenna McLaughlin
>> Reporter

>> The Intercept
(b)(6)

(b)(6)

>> PGP: AC08 1D1F 2612 E977 6471 946D FAB3 D922 893C 1FF7

>>
>>
>>
>

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 3:30 PM
To: 'Rowan Scarborough'
Subject: RE: WashTimes

That's right. I just wanted to let you know, but I ask that you not use it.

From: Rowan Scarborough (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 3:29 PM
To: Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)
Subject: Re: WashTimes

thanks. So I can't use this in any way?

On Feb 14, 2017, at 11:54 AM, Timothy L Barrett (b)(3) wrote:

Hi Rowan,

Off the record for your guidance. Although I'm not in a position to confirm the content of the report you shared, I wouldn't dispute its general findings.

Best,
Tim.

-----Original Message-----

From: Rowan Scarborough (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 9:57 AM
To: DNI-Media (b)(3)
Subject: WashTimes

The MEK is releasing its intelligence report later today, embargoed for 12:30

would the DNI comment on its contention that Iran has opened dozens of training camps for foreign fighters.

thanks

Rowan Scarborough
National Security Reporter
The Washington Times
Author:
Rumsfeld's War
Sabotage
(b)(6)

Follow on Twitter @roscarborough

(b)(6)

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 5:24 PM
To: 'Ryan Lucas'
Subject: RE: Gang of 8

My off-record best guess would be FBI would brief CI-related investigations, particularly if they relate to a U.S. person. Again, just a guess without speaking to these specific circumstances.

-----Original Message-----

From: Ryan Lucas (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 5:17 PM
To: Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)
Subject: Re: Gang of 8

Hey,
No worries. Let me ask you this then: would it be the FBI that would brief congress on a CI investigation or DNI?

Sent from my iPhone

> On Feb 14, 2017, at 5:10 PM, Timothy L Barrett (b)(3) wrote:
>
> Hi Ryan,
>
> I'm sorry; I wish I could be of help. I wouldn't want to second guess our overseers, and I don't have firsthand (or even secondhand) insight.
>
> Best,
> Tim.

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Ryan Lucas (b)(6)
> Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 4:24 PM
> To: Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)
> Subject: Gang of 8

> Hey,
> Rep. Schiff said the gang of 8 has not been briefed on the phone call between Flynn and Kislyak. Warner and Burr said they haven't seen any evidence/transcript. Seems like the something that congressional leaders would normally be briefed on. Can you confirm that the gang of 8 has indeed not been briefed on it?

> Thanks,
> Ryan
>
> Ryan Lucas
> Intelligence Reporter
> CQ Roll Call

>
>
> Sent from my iPhone

>
>
>
>
>
>

----- - ----- --

> This e mail may contain confidential material. If you are not an intended recipient, please notify the sender and delete all copies. It may also contain personal views which are not the views of CQ Roll Call or its owner, The Economist Group. We may monitor e mail to and from our network. For company information go to <http://legal.economistgroup.com>.

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 4:50 PM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6) Jessica Schulberg'
Cc: DNI-Media
Subject: RE: PDB question

Hi Jessica,

We have nothing official to offer on this. Off-the-record for your guidance, President Trump receives a PDB. You'll have to check with the White House about how they list his meetings on their website.

Best,
Tim.

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 4:43 PM
To: 'Jessica Schulberg' (b)(6)
Cc: DNI-Media (b)(3)
Subject: RE: PDB question

Hi Jessica,

We'll get back to you on this.

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Jessica Schulberg (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 4:11 PM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: Re: PDB question

Hi again, just re-upping this. Thanks!

On Tue, Feb 7, 2017 at 10:27 AM, Jessica Schulberg (b)(6) wrote:

Hi (b)(3)(b)(6)

Jessica here, from HuffPost. I'm trying to get some clarity on why the Daily Guidance put out by the White House describes President Trump receiving "his daily intelligence briefing" instead of "the Presidential Daily Briefing."

The PDB has, of course, become a somewhat formal term to refer to the specific briefing by the IC. The wording change suggests that the briefing Trump is receiving is something different -- possibly a summary from Flynn or someone else who attended the actual PDB.

Last week, Sean Spicer [said](#) Trump "receives an intelligence briefing and the PDB every day," suggesting that the two terms refer to different briefings. However, the PDB is rarely on his public schedule.

Can you provide any clarity on the two different terms. And can you confirm whether or not Trump receives the PDB every day?

Thanks,
Jessica

(b)(6)

Jessica Schulberg
The Huffington Post

(b)(6)

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 10:59 PM
To: Riechmann, Deb
Cc: Brian P. Hale
Subject: Re: Fwd: Spies vs. Trump

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI
(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

On Feb 15, 2017 9:34:21 PM, "Riechmann, Deb" (b)(6) wrote:
Do you all have any comment on this?

<https://www.wsj.com/articles/spies-keep-intelligence-from-donald-trump-1487209351>

Spies Keep Intelligence From Donald Trump

U.S. intelligence officials have withheld sensitive intelligence from President Donald Trump because they are concerned it could be leaked or compromised, according to current and former officials familiar with the matter.

The officials' decision to keep information from Mr. Trump underscores the deep mistrust that has developed between the intelligence community and the president

over his team's [contacts with the Russian government](#), as well as the [enmity he has shown toward U.S. spy agencies](#). On Wednesday, Mr. Trump accused the agencies of leaking information to undermine him.

In some of these cases of withheld information, officials have decided not to show Mr. Trump the sources and methods that the intelligence agencies use to collect information, the current and former officials said. Those sources and methods could include, for instance, the means that an agency uses to spy on a foreign government.

A White House official said: "There is nothing that leads us to believe that this is an accurate account of what is actually happening."

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 4:47 PM
To: 'Peter Eisner'
Subject: FW: from peter
Attachments: Pennsylvania Progressives.docx; sanders trolling.xlsx

Hi Peter, on deep background but not attributable:

While we can't comment on particular sites, or vet them for you guys, none of this is surprising to us. As you know, sites for various purposes—propaganda, fraud, etc—are ubiquitous. This really gets to the broader discussion of how to practice safe cyber engagement! For instance, paying attention to a web address or a name, like “Pennsylvanian Progressives for Bernie Sanders.” That immediately struck me as odd Pennsylvanian versus Pennsylvania. The idea of going back and trying to dig into chronology/make correlations sounds interesting. You might be interested in some of the work coming out of one of our ODNI “mission centers,” the [National Counterintelligence and Security Center](#).

Best,
Tim.

From: Peter Eisner (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, January 26, 2017 10:41 PM
To: Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)
Subject: from peter

Hi Tim

As mentioned, I'm talking to a former Sanders campaign staffer who was involved in web site development and who started noticing spammers, trolls and coordinated fake news and claims appearing on their websites. He then started coming up with websites associated with the people who were adopting multiple site names and individual names, and a pattern of increased activity at certain times during the campaign, slashing at hillary and later slashing at both her and bernie in was obviously aiming at vote suppression.

i asked for an example of the material he found on one website, pennsylvanian progressives for bernie sanders. it is attached.

he also sent me a chart of 148 sites he has looked at. left to be done are correlating this material with chronology, when trump campaign said certain things, etc., See notes at bottom of excel sheet.

He said these involved thousands of shares on social media, repeats, morphed names...everything you can imagine.

As he watched it, and tried to warn people – the various Sanders units were quite disorganized -- he saw coordination and he saw excellent colloquial English skills that led him to the thought that the foreign sites were cutouts, and somewhere, somewhere, American hands were writing copy.

So I'm looking for feedback and help as I continue to work on this. Has it been noted in this form and with Sanders previously? What can you tell me? Thanks and best

Peter

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, March 02, 2017 11:10 AM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Cc: DNI-Media
Subject: FOIA REQUEST (ODNI case DF-2017-00174)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Here is my email exchange with Anna Perkins regarding Bill's discussion with Kevin O'Marah, which begins on 19 JAN and ends on 16 FEB. It includes the phrase, "off the record," which was used by me on 24 JAN and by Anna on 25 JAN (b)(5)

(b)(5) I found this exchange (b)(3)

I have not found any other exchanges with other individuals within the dates indicated that include the phrases or words, "off the record," "OTR," "attribute," etc.

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO

(b)(3)(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2017 9:29 AM
To: 'Perkins,Anna' (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

Ok, great. Thanks Anna.

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO

(b)(3)(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Perkins,Anna (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2017 5:47 AM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

Hi (b)(3)(b)(6)

I hope you are well.

I've double checked with Kevin and he's confirmed that this number is the correct one for Kevin. He too is looking forward to the call next week as you've detailed below.

Do let me know if you need anything else.

Many thanks

Anna

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: 15 February 2017 16:12
To: Perkins,Anna
Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

Hi Anna,

Director Evanina is looking forward to the call next Wednesday 22 FEB at 2:30 pm.

Just confirming that (b)(6) is still the correct number to reach Kevin.

Tks (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO

(b)(3)(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 9:24 AM
To: 'Perkins,Anna' (b)(6) >
Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

Great...thanks much Anna.

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO

(b)(3)(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Perkins,Anna (b)(6)]

Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 3:46 AM

To: (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)

Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

H (b)(3)(b)(6)

Hope you're well.

Kevin has come back with some questions in anticipation of the call on the 22nd. Please see below:

Q's):

How big is the problem of information security in supply chains today, and is it on a worsening trend?

How much of the security problem is a matter of financially incentivized criminal behavior vs. terrorism or sabotage?

Are any kinds of businesses more frequently targeted than the rest or is it random?

What can supply chain executives do from a technology standpoint to protect themselves?

What can supply chain executives do from a process or organizational standpoint to protect themselves?

What are the costs executives should plan for to protect themselves?

How does your agency help and what should supply chain leaders know going forward about working with you?

Please let me know if you have any comments or concerns with any of the above and I can pass on. I know Kevin is very much looking forward to speaking with Director Evanina.

Best

Anna

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: 08 February 2017 14:55
To: Perkins, Anna
Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

Great...thanks much.

Best. (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)
Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO
(b)(3)(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2017 9:30 AM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)
(b)(3)
Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

Great. Thanks (b)(3)(b)(6) I'll ask Kevin to supply the questions as requested. He too is looking forward to the call.

I'll be in touch before Friday.

Many thanks and speak soon.

Anna

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: 08 February 2017 14:24
To: Perkins,Anna
Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

Ok, great.

We'll give Kevin a call. Also, can you please ask to Kevin to provide an overview of some of the questions he may ask by COB this Friday.

If you have any questions please let me know. Director Evanina looks forward to speaking with Kevin.

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO

(b)(3)(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Perkins,Anna (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2017 7:52 AM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)

Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

Hi (b)(3)(b)(6)

This works for Kevin so we can get this into their respective calendars.

Would Director Evanina like to call Kevin or prefer Kevin to call?

Kevin's number for your records is: mobile: (b)(6)

Many thanks

Anna

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: 07 February 2017 18:42
To: Perkins,Anna
Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

Hi Anna,

So, Director Evanina has 22 Feb at 1430 available if this works for Kevin.

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO

(b)(3)(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Perkins, Anna (b)(6)

Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 3:45 AM

To: (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)

Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

Great! Thanks (b)(3) (b)(6) I shall look forward to hearing from you with some suitable dates and times.

Best

Anna

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)

Sent: 01 February 2017 14:29

To: Perkins, Anna

Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

Ok, great...thanks much. I'll check with the director and will get back to you soonest.

Thanks again, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO

(b)(3)(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Perkins, Anna (b)(6)

Sent: Wednesday, February 01, 2017 3:40 AM

To: (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)

Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

Hi (b)(3)(b)(6)

No problem at all. Kevin is based in Boston so will be calling US time which should hopefully give us more options in terms of dates and timings. As I mentioned he's at an event until tomorrow and while I can't guarantee his diary is up-to-date, looking at his calendar the afternoons of next week look good and Wednesday currently looks clear. Let me know if any of these work. I know Kevin is very keen to have the conversation so will be as flexible as possible.

Thanks for your help and patience!

Best

Anna

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: 31 January 2017 21:16
To: Perkins,Anna
Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

Ok...I don't think this week will work, but I'll check. Also, is Kevin UK-based?

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO

(b)(3)(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Perkins,Anna (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, January 31, 2017 5:34 AM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)

Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

Hi (b)(3)(b)(6)

No problem - good to hear from you.

Kevin meant this week - the 2nd and 3rd if they're any good?

Thanks

Anna

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: 30 January 2017 18:03
To: Perkins,Anna
Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

Hi Anna,

Sorry for my delayed response. So, Kevin has Thursday 9 and Friday 10 FEB from 8-11 am EST available, correct?

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO

(b)(3)(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Perkins, Anna (b)(6)

Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2017 5:28 AM

To: (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

H (b)(3)(b)(6)

Good to hear from you.

We will of course keep the discussion off the record and confidential.

Unfortunately Kevin is at an event Sunday to Wednesday next week in Miami so is unavailable. Alternatively he can do Thursday morning or Friday morning next week or this Friday between 8am-11am EST. Do any of these work?

Many thanks

Anna

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)

Sent: 24 January 2017 16:28

To: Perkins, Anna

Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

H Anna,

Director Evanina has time next Wednesday 1 FEB between 1000-1200 am eastern (DC) time. Also, the Director would like to keep the discussion off-the-record for now.

Please advise if this works for Kevin. If yes, please provide the best time to call and a call-in number. Also, we ask that Kevin please provide an overview of the types of questions he may ask the Director.

Please let me know if you have additional questions.

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO

(b)(3)(b)(6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2017 4:50 PM
To: Perkins,Anna (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

Hi Anna,

Great, I'll get back to you soon with some dates/times.

Thanks much,

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

On Jan 19, 2017 8:15:39 AM, "Perkins,Anna"(b)(6) wrote:

Hello (b)(3)(b)(6)

In follow up to this email Kevin O'Marah would be delighted to have a call with you to discuss further. Would you be kind enough to let me know some dates and times that suit you and I can schedule the call for you both.

Many thanks

Anna

-----Original Message-----

From: Perkins,Anna
Sent: 19 January 2017 11:54
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: Your enquiry regarding Kevin O'Marah - Forbes article

Dear (b)(3)(b)(6)

Many thanks for your online enquiry below regarding talking to Kevin following his latest Forbes article.

I shall get in touch with Kevin and ask him to get in touch with you.

If there's anything else I can help with please don't hesitate to get in touch.

Best regards

Anna

Anna Perkins
Marketing Manager
Gartner

(b)(6)

(b)(6)

2 London Bridge, London, SE1 9RA, United Kingdom

Subject: Kevin O'Marah

Your message: I'm the spokesman for Bill Evanina, Director of the National Counterintelligence and Security Center within the Office of the Director of National Intelligence in Washington, DC. I'm writing because we noticed that Kevin O'Marah mentioned NCSC in his article in Forbes, "Hacked To Death: Data Security In Supply Chain," and I would like to see if Mr. O'Marah would like to speak with Director Evanina regarding NCSC's work on supply chain issues? If yes, please reach out to me at my above email address or phone number.

Best Regards, (b)(3)(b)(6)

This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the person to whom it has been sent, and may contain information that is confidential or legally protected. If you are not the intended recipient or have received this message in error, you are not authorized to copy, distribute, or otherwise use this message or its attachments. Please notify the sender immediately by return e-mail and permanently delete this message and any attachments. Gartner makes no warranty that this e-mail is error or virus free. Gartner UK Limited is a company registered in England and Wales with the registration number 2266016. The Registered Office is Tamesis, The Glanty, Egham, Surrey, TW20 9AW

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 2:53 PM
To: 'Matt Alderton'
Cc: DNI-Media
Subject: RE: Interview Request: USGIF Article on DCGS

No problem...sorry we couldn't help.

As for your DoD ISR question, I think that's an issue that DoD, to the extent they're able to comment, would be better able to address.

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Matt Alderton (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 2:48 PM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Cc: DNI-Media (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: Re: Interview Request: USGIF Article on DCGS

Thanks for getting back to me, (b)(3)(b)(6) I appreciate it. Although I'm disappointed, I certainly understand.

This is a long shot, but: As of Friday, we've pivoted slightly from a story about DCGS exclusively to one about DoD ISR interoperability more broadly to allow the services and industry to discuss other efforts to further DoD interoperability.

Here's an updated abstract for the article:

Feature: Activating DoD ISR Interoperability

Although they have separate organizations and leadership, the U.S. military services do not fight separate wars or serve separate nations. Rather, they are united in their mission to serve and protect the United States. With that in mind, DoD has spent the last two decades pursuing the goal of ISR interoperability and integration across the services. This article will explore the defense intelligence enterprise, telling the story of how DoD — led by the Joint Staff and USD(I), with the services as a coalition of the willing — is overcoming obstacles to horizontally integrate its ability to share ISR data, information and intelligence with solutions like (but not limited to) the Distributed Common Ground System (DCGS). Furthermore, it will explore how this is happening under the construct of the DI2E, embedded within (and connected integrally with) the JIE and ICITE.

If the pivot makes any difference and would open the door to an interview, please let me know. If not, I understand and will let the folks at USGIF know that you've declined.

Thanks again,

Matt

MATT ALDERTON
Freelance Writer + Editor

(b)(6)

Web: MattAlderton.com
Facebook: [MattAldertonWrites](https://www.facebook.com/MattAldertonWrites)
Twitter: [@AldertonWrites](https://twitter.com/AldertonWrites)
LinkedIn: [MattAlderton](https://www.linkedin.com/company/MattAlderton)

"I can write better than anyone who can write faster, and I can write faster than anyone who can write better." A.J. Liebling

On Feb 15, 2017, at 1:41 PM (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote:

Matt,

Sorry for my delayed response. We have to respectfully decline your request for an interview.

Best (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Matt Alderton (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 11:06 AM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: Re: Interview Request: USGIF Article on DCGS

Thanks, (b)(3) (b)(6)
update!

e moving. I appreciate the

MATT ALDERTON
Freelance Writer + Editor

(b)(6)

Web: MattAlderton.com
Facebook: [MattAldertonWrites](https://www.facebook.com/MattAldertonWrites)

Twitter: [@AldertonWrites](#)

LinkedIn: [MattAlderton](#)

"I can write better than anyone who can write faster, and I can write faster than anyone who can write better." A.J. Liebling

On Feb 7, 2017, at 10:05 AM, (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote:

Hi Matt,

Sorry for the delay. I checked and am waiting for a reply.

I'll get back to you when I hear.

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Matt Alderton (b)(6)

Sent: Monday, February 06, 2017 5:27 PM

To: (b)(3)(b)(6)

Subject: Re: Interview Request: USGIF Article on DCGS

Hi (b)(3)(b)(6)

I just wanted to quickly check in with you. Any progress to report? Do you need anything further from me?

Thanks in advance for the update,

Matt

On Behalf of USGIF and Trajectory Magazine

MATT ALDERTON

Freelance Writer + Editor

(b)(6)

Web: [MattAlderton.com](#)

Facebook: [MattAldertonWrites](#)

Twitter: [@AldertonWrites](#)

LinkedIn: [MattAlderton](#)

"I can write better than anyone who can write faster, and I can write faster than anyone who can write better." A.J. Liebling

On Jan 24, 2017, at 1:08 PM, Matt Alderton

(b)(3) wrote:

Thanks so much, (b)(3) (b)(6) I really appreciate it. Talk soon, then.

MATT ALDERTON

Freelance Writer + Editor

(b)(6)

Web: MattAlderton.com

Facebook: [MattAldertonWrites](https://www.facebook.com/MattAldertonWrites)

Twitter: [@AldertonWrites](https://twitter.com/AldertonWrites)

LinkedIn: [MattAlderton](https://www.linkedin.com/in/MattAlderton)

"I can write better than anyone who can write faster, and I can write faster than anyone who can write better." A.J. Liebling

On Jan 24, 2017, at 12:35 PM, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6) wrote:

Hi Matt,

Sorry for my delayed response. I'll check on this and get back to you.

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Matt Alderton (b)(6)

Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2017 2:43 AM

To: (b)(3)(b)(6)

Cc: DNI-Media (b)(3)

Subject: Interview Request: USGIF Article on DCGS

Importance: High

Matt Alderton here with the U.S. Geospatial Intelligence Foundation (USGIF). As you might recall, I reached out to you a little over a year ago seeking ODNI comment for a story I was writing about critical infrastructure protection for USGIF's magazine, *Trajectory*. Although you weren't able to help last time, I'm hoping you'll be able to help with my latest assignment. The subject is the services' Distributed Common Ground System (DCGS). I'm hoping to interview a subject matter expert like (b)(3)(b)(6) (or someone similar) who can explain to me the role of DCGS in the IC and its relationship with IC systems.

Here's a description and some background:

Feature: DCGS Explained

Trajectory published a story in 2016 that sorted out JIE, DI2E, and ICITE for the Intelligence Community, explaining what the systems are and how they fit together. It remains one of the magazine's most clicked on/downloaded pieces of all time. In that piece, Trajectory only lightly touched on the subject of DCGS, primarily because the Army was still embroiled in its conflict with Palantir over DCGS A. A year later, Trajectory has decided to do a companion piece to the ICITE article, finally addressing DCGS. The article will explore the defense intelligence enterprise through the lens of the Distributed Common Ground System (DCGS). Its goal will be to explain what the DCGS is, how it evolved, why it's important and what its future will be. Potential questions explored in the article will be: After 15 years at war, how has DCGS and its relationship with Intelligence Community systems evolved? What was the original vision for DCGS? What has happened along the way and where is DCGS now? How is it being managed, and what is in store

for the future? What is the DCGS relationship to IC ITE and DI2E?

Questions specific to ODNI that I'd like to explore in the article include:

- What was the IC's role in establishing and evolving DCGS in and across the services?
- What need does DCGS meet for the IC, and what value does it create?
- What is the IC's current role in the DCGS ecosystem?
- What is the relationship of DCGS with IC systems, including IC ITE and DI2E?
- In terms of intelligence: Why is geospatial intelligence important to tactical users at the tip of the spear, and how has DCGS equipped them with that information?
- DCGS' success hinges on open standards that foster interoperability and agility. How has the IC helped facilitate such standards?

Admittedly, I'm just now digging into this subject, so you might have a better sense than me of what the appropriate questions are, but I think that's the general gist of things.

Note: We're very cognizant of the ongoing sensitivities re: the Army and Palantir, and plan to broach this delicately. As you may know, Trajectory isn't an investigative magazine; we just want to inform the GEOINT community about what DCGS is and what benefits it's created.

Please let me know if you can help, and if you need anything to move my request forward. My deadline on this is Feb. 20 (President's Day).

Thanks a lot, (b)(3)(b)(6)

Matt Alderton

On Behalf of USGIF and Trajectory Magazine

MATT ALDERTON
Freelance Writer + Editor

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Web: MattAlderton.com

Facebook: [MattAldertonWrites](#)

Twitter: [@AldertonWrites](#)

LinkedIn: [MattAlderton](#)

"I can write better than anyone who can write faster, and I can write faster than anyone who can write better." A.J. Liebling

Brian P. Hale

From: Brian P. Hale
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2017 9:39 PM
To: Patrick Tucker
Subject: Re: Off the record This Washpo piece on Flynn

Off the record. Not disputing WP account.

Sent from Divide managed by MobileIron

On Feb 13, 2017 8:44:38 PM, Patrick Tucker (b)(6) wrote:

Trump warned Flynn compromised? Really?

Justice Department warned White House that Flynn could be vulnerable to Russian blackmail, officials say The Washington Post <https://apple.news/AZDob172tQ42G6F2C9jksog>

Sent from the future

Brian P. Hale

From: Brian P. Hale
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 10:39 PM
Subject: ODNI Statement

Folks:

In response to the WSJ story that posted this evening please see the statement below.

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and is not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Sent from Divide managed by MobileIron

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 11:04 PM
To: Catherine.Herridge (b)(6)
Cc: Brian P. Hale; (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: Statement in Response to WSJ Story

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI
(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 11:08 PM
To: Tammy.Kuppermar (b)(6)
Cc: Brian P. Hale; (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: Statement in Response to WSJ Story

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI
(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2017 8:04 AM
To: Roberts, John
Cc: DNI-Media
Subject: Re: Tim...good morning

Thanks for reaching out, John. Here you go:

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI
(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

On Feb 16, 2017 7:52:37 AM, "Roberts, John" (b)(6) wrote:
Tim,

Can you pls give me a statement on the WSJ story about "withholding intelligence"?

Thanks – hope you're doing well...

John Roberts
Chief White House Correspondent
Fox News Channel
(b)(6)

This message and its attachments may contain legally privileged or confidential information. It is intended solely for the named addressee. If you are not the addressee indicated in this message (or responsible for delivery of the message to the addressee), you may not copy or deliver this message or its attachments to anyone. Rather, you should permanently delete this message and its attachments and kindly notify the sender by reply e mail. Any content of this message and its attachments that does not relate to the official business of Fox News or Fox Business must not be taken to have been sent or endorsed by either of them. No representation is made that this email or its attachments are without defect.

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2017 8:08 AM
To: David.Ignatius(b)(6)
Cc: Brian P. Hale
Subject: For David: Statement in response to WSJ

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI
(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 11:02 PM
To: shane.harris(b)(6) carol.lee(b)(6)
Cc: Brian P. Hale
Subject: Statement in response to your piece

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI
(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 11:04 PM
To: Catherine.Herridge (b)(6)
Cc: Brian P. Hale; (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: Statement in Response to WSJ Story

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI
(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

Timothy L Barrett

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 11:03 PM
To: TriayA(b)(6)
Cc: Brian P. Hale
Subject: Statement in Response to WSJ Story

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Timothy L. Barrett
Chief of Media Relations, ODNI
(b)(3)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

Sounds good Lindsay. I will let you know what dates we come up with and get back to you.

Also, I noticed in the description for some of the electronic equipment that it was "wireless." I wanted to note for ALL electronic equipment entering our facility, all of their wireless capabilities have to be turned off/disabled and remain off/disabled. Additionally, all camera lenses need to be covered before and after filming is complete.

Respectfully,

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA)
Office of the Director of National Intelligence

(b)(3)(b)(6)

To learn more, visit our website:
www.iarpa.gov<<http://www.iarpa.gov/>>

or Follow us on Twitter:
[@IARPAnews](https://twitter.com/iarpanews)<<https://twitter.com/iarpanews>>

On Fri, Feb 17, 2017 at 11:36 AM, Lindsay Van Dyke
<lindsay.vandyke@iarpa.gov> wrote:
Hi (b)(3)(b)(6) thanks so much for navigating this! I just spoke with our senior.

We think it may be best to re-schedule this shoot in order to gain the clearance we need. What new dates may work for you all? We are going to alert our crew, and once we have a date, we will lock it down in our schedule to avoid having to clear any new people.

Thank you again,
Lindsay

On 17 February 2017 at 10:55, Mark Allen
<mark.allen@iarpa.gov> wrote:
Thanks (b)(3)(b)(6) Let me check with our features editor and revert asap.

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 17, 2017, at 10:48 AM, (b)(3)(b)(6)
(b)(3)(b)(6) wrote:
mailto:(b)(3)(b)(6)

Good morning Mark,

Unfortunately we will not be able to shoot any footage here at IARPA HQ this

Tuesday. There isn't enough time to grant access for the Vice Staff and electronic equipment to enter our compound. Also, there can be no filming in the outside area of our compound, so footage with (b)(3)(b)(6) in the car would have to begin on an off-street.

Below are two possible options:

1. Film (b)(3)(b)(6) at another location. We can defer to you on a more appropriate location but we can probably secure the University of Maryland's Physical Science building for Tuesday (I can pass along a myriad of pictures of the inside of that building that will provide a great background for filming (b)(3)(b)(6). The building is located in College Park and about a 10 minute drive from our headquarters. Then, proceed as planned with filming (b)(3)(b)(6) in the car and drive to Virginia Tech and with the planned filming at/inside Virginia Tech.

2. Select a later date all together.

***of note: one concern with selecting a later date is that if different camera men/women are used along with different equipment (even if its same make/model but a different serial number), we would need that updated information to seek approval.

I apologize for the inconvenience this presents.

Respectfully,

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA)
Office of the Director of National Intelligence

(b)(3)(b)(6)

To learn more, visit our website:
www.iarpa.gov<<http://www.iarpa.gov/>>

or Follow us on Twitter:
[@IARPAnews](https://twitter.com/iarpanews)<<https://twitter.com/iarpanews>>

--

LINDSAY VAN DYKE

Features Associate Producer

VICE News Tonight on HBO

VICE MEDIA LLC

49 South 2nd Street

Brooklyn, NY 11249

(b)(6)

This e-mail transmission may be legally privileged and contains confidential information that is the property of the sender and the organization (VICE MEDIA LLC) for which the sender represents. If you are not the intended recipient and have by accident received this email, please do not retain, disclose, reproduce or distribute the contents of this e-mail transmission, or take any action in relevance thereon or pursuant thereto. Please notify the sender of the error by responding to the email accordingly in a timely and reasonable fashion otherwise failure to do so may cause legal action to be taken. Thank you.

Good morning Mark,

Unfortunately we will not be able to shoot any footage here at IARPA HQ this Tuesday. There isn't enough time to grant access for the Vice Staff and electronic equipment to enter our compound. Also, there can be no filming in the outside area of our compound, so footage with (b)(3)(b)(6) in the car would have to begin on an off-street.

Below are two possible options:

1. Film (b)(3)(b)(6) at another location. We can defer to you on a more appropriate location but we can probably secure the University of Maryland's Physical Science building for Tuesday (I can pass along a myriad of pictures of the inside of that building that will provide a great background for filming (b)(3)(b)(6). The building is located in College Park and about a 10 minute drive from our headquarters. Then, proceed as planned with filming (b)(3)(b)(6) in the car and drive to Virginia Tech and with the planned filming at/inside Virginia Tech.

2. Select a later date all together.

***of note: one concern with selecting a later date is that if different camera men/women are used along with different equipment (even if its same make/model but a different serial number), we would need that updated information to seek approval.

I apologize for the inconvenience this presents.

Respectfully,

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs
Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA)
Office of the Director of National Intelligence

(b)(3)(b)(6)

To learn more, visit our website:
www.iarpa.gov<<http://www.iarpa.gov/>>

or Follow us on Twitter:
[@IARPanews](https://twitter.com/iarpanews)<<https://twitter.com/iarpanews>>

Great speaking with you Mark, Here is the information I will need from every individual who needs to enter our facility. Please send this information to me as soon as you can: Full Name: Date of Birth: Place of Birth (city, state, country): Social Security Number: Make, Model and Serial Number(s) of all recording devices: On Tue, Feb 14, 2017 at 5:15 PM Mark Allen > wrote: Will do. Sent from my iPhone On Feb 14, 2017, at 5:06 PM, (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote: Thanks for the quick response Mark. You can dial in at (b)(3) (b)(3)(b)(6) can you make the 4:00pm call tomorrow? If not, I can back brief you on what was discussed. Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews From: Mark Allen [mailto:mark.allen@iarpa.gov] (b)(6) Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 4:53 PM To: (b)(3)(b)(6) Subject: Re: Fwd: VICE on HBO yes sure. shall i call you? or you can reach me on (b)(6)

(b)(3) On 14 February 2017 at 16:47, (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote: Sure thing Mark, Does 4:00pm work for you? More specifically, I wanted to discuss interviewing (b)(3)(b)(6) at IARPA. There isn't much here that will provide for a decent background setting on-video interview. Dial in info: (b)(3) For everyone's convenience, I have pasted the plan below. Plan: * interview (b)(3)(b)(6) at IARPA headquarters where we get the back story of the need for the Good Judgment and how the success of that program has led to a new field of study and, some interesting new jobs. * Drive with (b)(3)(b)(6) to Virginia Tech's Arlington Campus --on the way he explains that this is an outgrowth of Good Judgment, and represents some of the best computer only forecasting. * (b)(3)(b)(6) gives more detail on his work and, pointing at the large screen of the world, predicts where and when there will be a riot in (b)(3) he explains how the algorithm is right 80% of the time. We ask for a few more predictions. * After the deadline of prediction passes, we check back in with (b)(3)(b)(6) and unpack why he was right or wrong and what he plans to do with this new data point. * We end with a 'big idea' from (b)(3)(b)(6) about where this new field is going and just how the future of predicting the future. Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews From: Mark Allen [mailto:mark.allen@iarpa.gov] (b)(6) Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 4:24 PM To: (b)(3)(b)(6) Subject: Re: Fwd: VICE on HBO Hello Got a chance to chat tomorrow? The plan from what I had sent over in November hasn't changed. But it'd be good to connect ahead of filming. Cheers Mark

On 4 October 2016 at 16:11, (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote: Hi Mark, Let's pencil in Friday, October 14 at 2:00pm. The dial in number for the conference call is (b)(3) When prompted, please enter the following code: (b)(3) Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews From: (b)(3)(b)(6) Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:17 PM To: Mark Allen > Cc: (b)(3)(b)(6) > Subject: Re: Fwd: VICE on HBO Will do Mark. Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence

Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6) On Oct 4, 2016 11:55:37 AM, Mark Allen > wrote: Hello Let me know what this week works for you all. I can make myself available to suit. Cheers Mark Allen On 30 September 2016 at 14:46, (b)(3)(b)(6) > wrote: Good afternoon Mark, I'm with IARPA's Public Affairs Office. IARPA welcomes the opportunity to participate in such a story. Perhaps you, (b)(3)(b)(6) and I can have a conference call next week to discuss the particulars? Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews ----- Forwarded message ----- From: Mark Allen > Date: Tue, Sep 27, 2016 at 3:15 PM Subject: VICE on HBO To: (b)(3)(b)(6) Hello (b)(3)(b)(6) I'm developing a story for VICE on HBO that aims to illuminate the advances in cognition IARPA is promoting, especially through the Office of Anticipating Surprise. We're interested in how IARPA pushes science on a variety of issues, like crowdsourcing prediction, open source indicators, or mapping the brain (i've worked with (b)(3)(b)(6) in the past at the World Science Festival and would love to have him participate as well) You're on camera participation would be crucial. One, I've seen your speech at Carnegie Mellon and other places and think our audience would connect with you. And. Two, it would help our audience understand why the projects you fund matter. We'd likely go to a university or lab that has won a grant from IARPA. I'm keen to open the eyes of millennials to the kind of R&D work the US invests in and how it may provide unique future opportunities for smart young people (i believe most of our audience have never even heard of IARPA. Let me know if you have a moment to chat. I do think we could do a very interesting story that the millennials would be keen to know about. Cheers Mark Allen -- Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews

(b)(3)(b)(6) Apologies for the typo. On Tue, Feb 14, 2017 at 5:59 PM (b)(3)(b)(6) >wrote:

Thank you (b)(3)(b)(6) On Tue, Feb 14, 2017 at 5:39 PM (b)(3)(b)(6) > wrote: Yes, we will dial in (b)(3)(b)(6) (b)(3)(b)(6) On Tue, Feb 14, 2017 at 5:06 PM, (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote: Thanks for the quick response Mark. You can dial in at (b)(3) code: (b)(3) (b)(3)(b)(6) can you make the 4:00pm call tomorrow? If not, I can back brief you on what was discussed. Respectfully (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews [DNI Integration Logo] From: Mark Allen [mailto:mark.allen (b)(6)] Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 4:53 PM To: (b)(3)(b)(6) Subject: Re: Fwd: VICE on HBO yes sure. shall i call you? or you can reach me on (b)(6) On 14 February 2017 at 16:47, (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote: Sure thing Mark, Does 4:00pm work for you? More specifically, I wanted to discuss interviewing (b)(3)(b)(6) at IARPA.

There isnâ€™t much here that will provide for a decent background setting for an on-video interview. Dial in info: (b)(3) code: (b)(3) For everyoneâ€™s convenience, I have pasted the plan below. Plan: * interview (b)(3)(b)(6) at IARPA headquarters where we get the back story of the need for the Good Judgment Project and how the success of that program has led to a new field of study and, some interesting new jobs. * Drive with (b)(3)(b)(6) to Virginia Tech's Arlington Campus --on the way he explains that this is an outgro Good Judgment, and represents some of the best computer only forecasting. * (b)(3)(b)(6) gives more detail on his work and, pointing at the large screen of the world, predicts where and when there will be a riot in (tbd) he explains how the algorithm is right 80% of the time. We ask for a few more predictions. * After the deadline of prediction passes, we check back in with (b)(3)(b)(6) and unpack why he was right or wrong and what he plans to do with this new data point. * We end with a 'big idea' from (b)(3)(b)(6) about where this new field is going and just how the future of predicting the future. Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews [DNI Integration Logo] From: Mark Allen [mailto:mark.allen (b)(6)] Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 4:24 PM To: (b)(3)(b)(6) Subject: Re: Fwd: VICE on HBO Hello Got a chance to chat tomorrow? The plan from what I had sent over in November hasn't changed. But it'd be good to connect ahead of filming. Cheers (b)(6) On 4 October 2016 at 16:11, (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote: Hi Mark, Letâ€™s pencil in Friday, October 14 at 2:00pm. The dial in number for the conference call is (b)(3) When prompted, please enter the following code: (b)(3) Respectfully,

(b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews [DNI Integration Logo] From: (b)(3)(b)(6) Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:17 PM To: Mark Allen > Cc: (b)(3)(b)(6) Subject: Re: Fwd: VICE on HBO Will do Mark. Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3) On Oct 4, 2016

11:55:37 AM, Mark Allen > wrote: Hello Let me know what this week works for you all. I can make myself available to suit. Cheers Mark Allen On 30 September 2016 at 14:46, (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote: Good afternoon Mark, I'm (b)(3)(b)(6) with IARPA's Public Affairs Office. IARPA welcomes the opportunity to participate in such a story. Perhaps you, (b)(3)(b)(6) and I can have a conference call next week to discuss the particulars? Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews [DNI Integration Logo] ----- Forwarded message ----- From: Mark Allen > Date: Tue, Sep 27, 2016 at 3:15 PM Subject: VICE on HBO To: (b)(3)(b)(6) Hello (b)(3)(b)(6) I'm developing a story for VICE on HBO that aims to illuminate the advances in cognition IARPA is promoting, especially through the Office of Anticipating Surprise. We're interested in how IARPA pushes science on a variety of issues, like crowdsourcing prediction, open source indicators, or mapping the brain (i've worked with (b)(6) in the past at the World Science Festival and would love to have him participate as well) You're on camera participation would be crucial. One, I've seen your speech at Carnegie Mellon and other places and think our audience would connect with you. And. Two, it would help our audience understand why the projects you fund matter. We'd likely go to a university or lab that has won a grant from IARPA. I'm keen to open the eyes of millennials to the kind of R&D work the US invests in and how it may provide unique future opportunities for smart young people (i believe most of our audience have never even heard of IARPA. Let me know if you have a moment to chat. I do think we could do a very interesting story that the millennials would be keen to know about. Cheers Mark Allen -- (b)(3)(b)(6) (b)(6) Professor of Engineering Director, Discovery Analytics Center, Virginia Tech (b)(6) @dac vt; <https://www.facebook.com/dacvt> -- Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews -- Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews

APPROVED FOR RELEASE BY ODNI ON 10-06-2022, FOIA CASE # DF-2017-00174
Thank you (b)(3)(b)(6) On Tue, Feb 14, 2017 at 5:39 PM (b)(3)(b)(6) > wrote: Yes, we will dial in (b)(3)(b)(6) On Tue, Feb 14, 2017 at 5:06 PM, (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote: Thanks for the quick response Mark. You can dial in at (b)(3) code: (b)(3) (b)(3)(b)(6) can you make the 4:00pm call tomorrow? If not, I can back brief you on what was discussed. Respectfully (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews [DNI Integration Logo] From: Mark Allen [mailto:mark.allen (b)(6)] Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 4:53 PM To: (b)(3)(b)(6) Subject: Re: Fwd: VICE on HBO yes sure. shall i call you? or you can reach me on (b)(6) On 14 February 2017 at 16:47, (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote: Sure thing Mark, Does 4:00pm work for you? More specifically, I wanted to discuss interviewing (b)(3)(b)(6) at IARPA. There isn't much here that will provide for a decent background setting for an on-video interview. Dial in info: (b)(3) code: (b)(3) For everyone's convenience, I have pasted the plan below. Plan: * interview (b)(3)(b)(6) at IARPA headquarters where we get the back story of the need for the Good Judgment (b)(3)(b)(6) and how the success of that program has led to a new field of study and, some interesting new jobs. * Drive with (b)(3)(b)(6) to Virginia Tech's Arlington Campus --on the way he explains that this is an outgrowth of Good Judgment, and represents some of the best computer only forecasting. (b)(3)(b)(6) gives more detail on his work and, pointing at the large screen of the world, predicts where and when there will be a riot in (tbd) he explains how the algorithm is right 80% of the time. We ask for a few more predictions. * After the deadline of prediction passes, we check back in with (b)(3)(b)(6) and unpack why he was right or wrong and what he plans to do with this new data point. * We end with a 'big idea' from (b)(3)(b)(6) about where this new field is going and just how the future of predicting the future. Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews [DNI Integration Logo] From: Mark Allen [mailto:mark.allen (b)(6)] Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 4:24 PM To: (b)(3)(b)(6) > Subject: Re: Fwd: VICE on HBO Hello Got a chance to chat tomorrow? The plan from what I had sent over in November hasn't changed. But it'd be good to connect ahead of filming. Cheers Mark On 4 October 2016 at 16:11 (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote: Hi

(b)(6) Let's pencil in Friday, October 14 at 2:00pm. The dial in number for the conference call is (b)(3) When prompted, please enter the following code: (b)(3) Respectfully,

(b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews [DNI Integration Logo] From: (b)(3)(b)(6) Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:17 PM To: Mark Allen > Cc: (b)(3)(b)(6) Subject: Re: Fwd: VICE on HBO Will do Mark. Respectfully (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) On Oct 4, 2016 11:55:37 AM, Mark Allen > wrote: Hello Let me know what this week works for you all. I can make

myself available to suit. Cheers Mark Allen On 30 September 2016 at 14:46, (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote: Good afternoon Mark, I'm (b)(3)(b)(6) with IARPA's Public Affairs Office. IARPA welcomes the opportunity to participate in such a story. Perhaps you, (b)(3)(b)(6) and I can have a conference call next week to discuss the particulars? Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews [DNI Integration Logo] ----- Forwarded message ----- From: (b)(6) Date: Tue, Sep 27, 2016 at 3:15 PM Subject: VICE on HBO To: (b)(3)(b)(6) Hello (b)(3)(b)(6) I'm developing a story for VICE on HBO that aims to illuminate the advances in cognition IARPA is promoting, especially through the Office of Anticipating Surprise. We're interested in how IARPA pushes science on a variety of issues, like crowdsourcing prediction, open source indicators, or mapping the brain (i've worked with (b)(6) in the past at the World Science Festival and would love to have him participate as well) You're on camera participation would be crucial. One, I've seen your speech at Carnegie Mellon and other places and think our audience would connect with you. And. Two, it would help our audience understand why the projects you fund matter. We'd likely go to a university or lab that has won a grant from IARPA. I'm keen to open the eyes of millennials to the kind of R&D work the US invests in and how it may provide unique future opportunities for smart young people (i believe most of our audience have never even heard of IARPA. Let me know if you have a moment to chat. I do think we could do a very interesting story that the millennials would be keen to know about. Cheers Mark Allen -- (b)(3)(b)(6) (b)(6) Professor of Engineering Director, Discovery Analytics Center, Virginia Tech (571) 858-3331; @dac vt; <https://www.facebook.com/dacvt> -- Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews

Thanks for the quick response Mark. You can dial in at (b)(3) code: (b)(3) (b)(3)(b)(6) can you make the 4:00pm call tomorrow? If not, I can back brief you on what was discussed.

Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews

[DNI Integration Logo] From: Mark Allen [mailto:mark.allen (b)(6)] Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 4:53 PM To: (b)(3)(b)(6) Subject: Re: Fwd: VICE on HBO yes sure. shall i call you? or

you can reach me on (b)(6) On 14 February 2017 at 16:47, (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote: Sure thing Mark, Does 4:00pm work for you? More specifically, I wanted to discuss interviewing (b)(3)(b)(6)

at IARPA. There isn't much here that will provide for a decent background setting for an on-video interview. Dial in info: (b)(3) code: (b)(3) For everyone's convenience, I

have pasted the plan below. Plan: * interview (b)(3)(b)(6) at IARPA headquarters where we get the back story of the need for the Good Judgment Project and how the success of that program has

led to a new field of study and, some interesting new jobs. * Drive with (b)(3)(b)(6) to Virginia Tech's Arlington Campus --on the way he explains that this is an outgrowth of Good Judgment, and

represents some of the best computer only forecasting. (b)(3)(b)(6) gives more detail on his work and, pointing at the large screen of the world, predicts where and when there will be

a riot in (b)(3) he explains how the algorithm is right 80% of the time. We ask for a few more predictions. * After the deadline of prediction passes, we check back in with (b)(3)(b)(6) and unpack

why he was right or wrong and what he plans to do with this new data point. * We end with a 'big idea' from (b)(3)(b)(6) about where this new field is going and just how the future of predicting the

future. Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews

[DNI Integration Logo] From: Mark Allen (b)(6) Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 4:24 PM To: (b)(3)(b)(6) Subject: Re: Fwd: VICE on HBO Hello Got a chance to chat

tomorrow? The plan from what I had sent over in November hasn't changed. But it'd be good to connect ahead of filming. Cheers Mark On 4 October 2016 at 16:11, (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote: Hi

Mark, Let's pencil in Friday, October 14 at 2:00pm. The dial in number for the conference call is (b)(3) When prompted, please enter the following code: (b)(3) Respectfully,

(b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn

more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews [DNI Integration Logo] From: (b)(3)(b)(6) Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:17 PM To: Mark Allen > Cc:

(b)(3)(b)(6) Subject: Re: Fwd: VICE on HBO Will do Mark. Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) On Oct 4, 2016

11:55:37 AM, Mark Allen > wrote: Hello Let me know what this week works for you all. I can make myself available to suit. Cheers Mark Allen On 30 September 2016 at 14:46, (b)(3)(b)(6)

wrote: Good afternoon Mark, I'm (b)(3)(b)(6) with IARPA's Public Affairs Office.

IARPA welcomes the opportunity to participate in such a story. Perhaps you, (b)(3)(b)(6) and I can have a conference call next week to discuss the particulars? Respectfully (b)(3)(b)(6)

Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews [DNI Integration Logo] -----

Forwarded message ----- From: Mark Allen > Date: Tue, Sep 27, 2016 at 3:15 PM Subject:

VICE on HBO To: (b)(3)(b)(6) Hello (b)(3)(b)(6) I'm developing a story for VICE on HBO that aims to illuminate the advances in cognition IARPA is promoting, especially through the Office of Anticipating Surprise. We're interested in how IARPA pushes science on a variety of issues, like crowdsourcing prediction, open source indicators, or mapping the brain (i've worked with (b)(6) in the past at the World Science Festival and would love to have him participate as well) You're on camera participation would be crucial. One, I've seen your speech at Carnegie Mellon and other places and think our audience would connect with you. And. Two, it would help our audience understand why the projects you fund matter. We'd likely go to a university or lab that has won a grant from IARPA. I'm keen to open the eyes of millennials to the kind of R&D work the US invests in and how it may provide unique future opportunities for smart young people (i believe most of our audience have never even heard of IARPA. Let me know if you have a moment to chat. I do think we could do a very interesting story that the millennials would be keen to know about. Cheers Mark Allen

Sure thing Mark, Does 4:00pm work for you? More specifically, I wanted to discuss interviewing (b)(3)(b)(6) at IARPA. There isn't much here that will provide for a decent background setting for an on-video interview. Dial in info: (b)(3) code: (b)(3) For everyone's convenience, I have pasted the plan below. Plan: * interview (b)(3)(b)(6) at IARPA headquarters where we get the back story of the need for the Good Judgment Project and how the success of that program has led to a new field of study and, some interesting new jobs. * Drive with (b)(3)(b)(6) to Virginia Tech's Arlington Campus --on the way he explains that this is an outgrowth of Good Judgment, and represents some of the best computer only forecasting. (b)(3)(b)(6) gives more detail on his work and, pointing at the large screen of the world, predicts where and when there will be a riot in (tbd) he explains how the algorithm is right 80% of the time. We ask for a few more predictions. * After the deadline of prediction passes, we check back in with (b)(3)(b)(6) and unpack why he was right or wrong and what he plans to do with this new data point. * We end with a 'big idea' from (b)(3)(b)(6) about where this new field is going and just how the future of predicting the future. Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews [DNI Integration Logo] From: Mark Allen (b)(6)

Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 4:24 PM To: (b)(3)(b)(6) Subject: Re: Fwd: VICE on HBO Hello Got a chance to chat tomorrow? The plan from what I had sent over in November hasn't changed. But it'd be good to connect ahead of filming. Cheers (b)(6) On 4 October 2016 at 16:11, (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote: Hi (b)(6) Let's pencil in Friday, October 4 at 2:00pm. The dial in number for the conference call is (b)(3) When prompted, please enter the following code: (b)(3) Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews [DNI Integration Logo] From: (b)(3)(b)(6) Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2016 12:17 PM To: Mark Allen > Cc: (b)(3)(b)(6) Subject: Re: Fwd: VICE on HBO Will do (b)(6) Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6) On Oct 4, 2016 11:55:37 AM, Mark Allen > wrote: Hello Let me know what this week works for you all. I can make myself available to suit. Cheers Mark Allen On 30 September 2016 at 14:46, (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote: Good afternoon Mark, I'm (b)(3)(b)(6) with IARPA's Public Affairs Office. IARPA welcomes the opportunity to participate in such a story. Perhaps you, (b)(3)(b)(6) and I can have a conference call next week to discuss the particulars? Respectfully, (b)(3)(b)(6) Associate Deputy Director of Public Affairs Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA) Office of the Director of National Intelligence (b)(3)(b)(6) To learn more, visit our website: www.iarpa.gov or Follow us on Twitter: @IARPAnews [DNI Integration Logo] ----- Forwarded message ----- From: Mark Allen > Date: Tue, Sep 27, 2016 at 3:15 PM Subject: VICE on HBO To: (b)(3)(b)(6) Hello (b)(3)(b)(6) I'm developing a story for VICE on HBO that aims to illuminate the advances in cognition IARPA is promoting,

especially through the Office of Anticipating Surprise. We're interested in how IARPA pushes science on a variety of issues, like crowdsourcing prediction, open source indicators, or mapping the brain (i've worked with (b)(6) in the past at the World Science Festival and would love to have him participate as well) You're on camera participation would be crucial. One, I've seen your speech at Carnegie Mellon and other places and think our audience would connect with you. And. Two, it would help our audience understand why the projects you fund matter. We'd likely go to a university or lab that has won a grant from IARPA. I'm keen to open the eyes of millennials to the kind of R&D work the US invests in and how it may provide unique future opportunities for smart young people (i believe most of our audience have never even heard of IARPA. Let me know if you have a moment to chat. I do think we could do a very interesting story that the millennials would be keen to know about. Cheers Mark Allen

Brian P. Hale

From: Brian P. Hale
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 3:12 PM
To: 'Ashley Dejean'
Cc: Ashley Dejean
Subject: RE: Request for comment—story will be published tomorrow, need comment by COB

Ashley:

Officially our position is: "We are not commenting on this matter."

Off-the-record guidance:

- the PDBs should include no more than 3 articles, each of no more than a page – I am told this is not correct. Brevity is preferred. Historically each PDB is tailored to the preference of the President.
- topics in the PDB will not be covered from dissenting perspectives – I am told this is not correct.

Brian

From: Ashley Dejean [mailto:(b)(6)]
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 3:03 PM
To: Brian P. Hale (b)(3)
Cc: Ashley Dejean (b)(6)
Subject: Re: Request for comment—story will be published tomorrow, need comment by COB

Hi Brian,

If there's information or context you believe would be valuable for me to know, I'm interested in that information—on or off the record. So yes, off-the-record guidance would be appreciated.

We obviously can't include off-the-record information in the story though, so a comment about what the agency disputes (even on background) would also be great if that's possible.

Best,
Ashley

On Thu, Feb 9, 2017 at 2:34 PM, Brian P. Hale (b)(3) wrote:

Ashley:

I am willing to give you an off-the-record steer, however I am uncertain what Mother Jones' policy is on off-the-record guidance. Some outlets do not allow it. Is that something you would be able to do?

Thanks.

From: Ashley Dejean (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 1:35 PM
To: (b)(6) DNI-Media (b)(3)
Subject: Re: Request for comment—story will be published tomorrow, need comment by COB

To clarify, I'm asking for comment by COB today.

From: Ashley Dejean (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 9, 2017 1:23:05 PM
To: (b)(3)
Cc: Ashley Dejean
Subject: Request for comment—story will be published tomorrow, need comment by COB

Hello,

This is Ashley Dejean from Mother Jones magazine. I'm working on a story about President Trump's PDB. We have reviewed a memo that was circulated to members of the intelligence community responsible for compiling the President's intelligence briefing. The document suggests that President Trump is receiving less information—and less nuanced information—than his predecessors.

The memo contains the following information:

- the PDBs should include no more than 3 articles, each of no more than a page
- analysts should only include facts that support their arguments
- topics in the PDB will not be covered from dissenting perspectives

I'm seeking comment by COB today from the ODNI on the following concerns raised by the memo:

- The president is receiving less nuanced information because it is both shorter and does not contain alternative analysis/conflicting viewpoints about a situation
- The president may be less prepared in a crisis situation since he's not getting the extensive analysis and diversity of opinions his predecessors received

I'm also seeking comment from the ODNI about why the intelligence community is providing Trump with

shorter and less nuanced material than PBS provided to press. Was this at the direction of the White House?

I look forward to hearing from you.

Best,

Ashley Dejean

--

Ashley Dejean

(b)(6)

--

Ashley Dejean

(b)(6)

@ashleydijon | ashleydejean.com

Brian P. Hale

From: Brian P. Hale
Sent: Monday, February 13, 2017 9:39 PM
To: Patrick Tucker
Subject: Re: Off the record This Washpo piece on Flynn

Off the record. Not disputing WP account.

Sent from Divide managed by MobileIron

On Feb 13, 2017 8:44:38 PM, Patrick Tucker, (b)(6) wrote:

Trump warned Flynn compromised? Really?

Justice Department warned White House that Flynn could be vulnerable to Russian blackmail, officials say - The Washington Post <https://apple.news/AZDob172tQ42G6F2C9jksog>

Sent from the future

Brian P. Hale

From: Brian P. Hale
Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 10:39 PM
Subject: ODNI Statement

Folks:

In response to the WSJ story that posted this evening please see the statement below.

On the Record Attributed to ODNI Public Affairs:

"Any suggestion that the U.S. Intelligence Community is withholding information and is not providing the best possible intelligence to the President and his national security team is not true."

Sent from Divide managed by MobileIron

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 4:50 PM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6) Jessica Schulberg'
Cc: DNI-Media
Subject: RE: PDB question

Hi Jessica,

We have nothing official to offer on this. Off-the-record for your guidance, President Trump receives a PDB. You'll have to check with the White House about how they list his meetings on their website.

Best,
Tim.

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 4:43 PM
To: 'Jessica Schulberg'(b)(6)
Cc: DNI-Media (b)(3)
Subject: RE: PDB question

Hi Jessica,

We'll get back to you on this.

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Jessica Schulberg (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 4:11 PM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: Re: PDB question

Hi again, just re-upping this. Thanks!

On Tue, Feb 7, 2017 at 10:27 AM, Jessica Schulberg (b)(6) wrote:

Hi (b)(3)(b)(6)

Jessica here, from HuffPost. I'm trying to get some clarity on why the Daily Guidance put out by the White House describes President Trump receiving "his daily intelligence briefing" instead of "the Presidential Daily Briefing."

The PDB has, of course, become a somewhat formal term to refer to the specific briefing by the IC. The wording change suggests that the briefing Trump is receiving is something different -- possibly a summary from Flynn or someone else who attended the actual PDB.

Last week, Sean Spicer [said](#) Trump "receives an intelligence briefing and the PDB every day," suggesting that the two terms refer to different briefings. However, the PDB is rarely on his public schedule.

Can you provide any clarity on the two different terms. And can you confirm whether or not Trump receives the PDB every day?

Thanks,
Jessica

(b)(6)

--
Jessica Schulberg
The Huffington Post

(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 4:39 PM
To: (b)(6)
Subject: RE: Follow-up

(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(5)(b)(6)

(b)(5)(b)(6)

Cheers, (b)(3)(b)(6)

From: (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2017 4:11 PM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: Follow-up

(b)(3)(b)(5)(b)(6)

(b)(6)

(b)(6)
Information Operations Program Chair

Daniel Morgan Graduate School of National Security
1620 L Street, NW (b)(6)
Washington, DC 20036

(b)(6)



DMGS.org

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 11:54 AM
To: 'Rowan Scarborough'; DNI Media
Subject: RE: WashTimes

Hi Rowan,

Off the record for your guidance. Although I'm not in a position to confirm the content of the report you shared, I wouldn't dispute its general findings.

Best,
Tim.

- Original Message

From: Rowan Scarborough (b)(6)
Sent: Tuesday, February 14, 2017 9:57 AM
To: DNI Media (b)(3)
Subject: WashTimes

The MEK is releasing its intelligence report later today, embargoed for 12:30

would the DNI comment on its contention that Iran has opened dozens of training camps for foreign fighters.

thanks

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 8:38 AM
To: 'Rizzo, Jennifer'
Cc: DNI-Media
Subject: RE: CNN Request

Good morning Jennifer. We won't have any comment on this story since the reporting concerns an alleged CIA published document.

ODNI speaks – to the extent we are able – to IC-coordinated documents. For instance the post-election report “Assessing Russian Activities and Intentions in Recent US Elections.” Otherwise we refer inquiries about specific agency produced documents to the agency alleged to have published it.

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Rizzo, Jennifer (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 7:58 AM
To: DNI-Media (b)(3)
Subject: CNN Request

Good morning--can the DNI's office provide anything on background regarding the summary of an intelligence report, cited by politico, that warns against designating the Muslim Brotherhood as a terrorist organization?

<http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2017/02/cia-memo-designating-muslim-brotherhood-could-fuel-extremism-214757>

Thank you.

Jennifer Rizzo
CNN, National Security Producer
(b)(6)

Sent from my iPhone

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 8:48 AM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Cc: Media; DNI-Media
Subject: RE: FW: CNN Request

(b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6) just called. I understand. Will do.

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 8:47 AM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Cc: Media (b)(3) DNI-Media (b)(3)
Subject: Re: FW: CNN Request

(b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(5)

Sent from Email+ managed by MobileIron

On Feb 9, 2017 8:42:33 AM, (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote:
(b)(3)

Good morning. Looks as if the intel news cycle is shaping up. (b)(3)(b)(5)

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Rizzo, Jennifer (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 7:58 AM
To: DNI-Media (b)(3)
Subject: CNN Request

Good morning can the DNI's office provide anything on background regarding the summary of an intelligence report, cited by politico, that warns against designating the Muslim Brotherhood as a terrorist organization?

<http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2017/02/cia-memo-designating-muslim-brotherhood-could-fuel-extremism-214757>

Thank you.

Jennifer Rizzo

CNN National Security Producer

(b)(6)

Sent from my iPhone

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 8:43 AM
To: Media
Cc: DNI-Media
Subject: FW: CNN Request

(b)(3)

Good morning. Looks as if the intel news cycle is shaping up. (b)(3)(b)(5)

Best (b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Rizzo, Jennifer (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 7:58 AM
To: DNI Media (b)(3)
Subject: CNN Request

Good morning--can the DNI's office provide anything on background regarding the summary of an intelligence report, cited by politico, that warns against designating the Muslim Brotherhood as a terrorist organization?

http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2017/02/cia_memo_designating_muslim-brotherhood_could-fuel_extremism_214757

Thank you.

Jennifer Rizzo
CNN, National Security Producer
(b)(6)

Sent from my iPhone

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Rizzo, Jennifer (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 8:40 AM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Cc: DNI-Media
Subject: Re: CNN Request

Understood. Thank you.

Jennifer Rizzo
CNN, National Security Producer
(b)(6)

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 9, 2017, at 8:38 AM, (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote:

Good morning Jennifer. We won't have any comment on this story since the reporting concerns an alleged CIA published document.

ODNI speaks – to the extent we are able – to IC-coordinated documents. For instance the post-election report “Assessing Russian Activities and Intentions in Recent US Elections.” Otherwise we refer inquiries about specific agency produced documents to the agency alleged to have published it.

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Rizzo, Jennifer (b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 09, 2017 7:58 AM
To: DNI-Media (b)(3)
Subject: CNN Request

Good morning--can the DNI's office provide anything on background regarding the summary of an intelligence report, cited by politico, that warns against designating the Muslim Brotherhood as a terrorist organization?

<http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2017/02/cia-memo-designating-muslim-brotherhood-could-fuel-extremism-214757>

Thank you.

Jennifer Rizzo
CNN, National Security Producer
(b)(6)

Sent from my iPhone

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Jenna McLaughlin (b)(6)
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2017 1:03 PM
To: Timothy L Barrett
Cc: NSA MEDIA; DNI-Media
Subject: Re: Question + NSA
Attachments: signature.asc

Hello!

Thanks again for this. Has anyone gotten the chance to check into this query at NSA? I would love to report that Adm. Rogers has been specifically asked to stay on for another year.

Best,
Jenna

Jenna McLaughlin
Reporter
The Intercept

(b)(6)

PGP: AC08 1D1F 2612 E977 6471 946D FAB3 D922 893C 1FF7

> On Feb 15, 2017, at 11:33 AM, Timothy L Barrett (b)(3) wrote:

>

> Hi Jenna!

>

> Great to hear from you. I'm still here as we are career officers, not appointees. I'm adding our colleagues at NSA here as they are best positioned to address your query about Admiral Rogers.

>

> Best,

> Tim.

>

>

> Timothy L. Barrett

> Chief of Media Relations

> Office of the Director of National Intelligence

(b)(3)

>

>

>

>

>

>

>

> ---Original Message---

> From: Jenna McLaughlin (b)(6)
> Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 2:23 PM
> To: Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)
> Subject: Question
>
> Hey Tim!
>
> I've got a quick question that I'm hoping to confirm—are you still in ODNI press office?
>
> Essentially, I've got a few sources on background who tell me that Adm. Rogers has been officially asked to stay on as NSA/Cyber Comm director for another year. I'm hoping to be able to report it either way, but would appreciate any background or confirmation I might be able to gather from administration. I will obviously also be asking white house.
>
> Best,
> Jenna
>
>
> Jenna McLaughlin
> Reporter
> The Intercept
> (b)(6)
> PGP: AC08 1D1F 2612 E977 6471 946D FAB3 D922 893C 1FF7
>
>
>

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Timothy L Barrett
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2017 1:11 PM
To: 'Jenna McLaughlin'; (b)(6)
Cc: NSA MEDIA; DNI-Media
Subject: RE: Question + NSA + OSD

Hi Jenna,

I'm adding our colleagues at the Secretary of Defense to this note as they may be in the best position to address your query. tlb

-----Original Message-----

From: Jenna McLaughlin (b)(6)
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2017 1:03 PM
To: Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)
Cc: NSA MEDIA (b)(3); DNI-Media (b)(3)
Subject: Re: Question + NSA

Hello!

Thanks again for this. Has anyone gotten the chance to check into this query at NSA? I would love to report that Adm. Rogers has been specifically asked to stay on for another year.

Best,
Jenna

Jenna McLaughlin
Reporter
The Intercept
(b)(6)

PGP: AC08 1D1F 2612 E977 6471 946D FAB3 D922 893C 1FF7

- > On Feb 15, 2017, at 11:33 AM, Timothy L Barrett (b)(3) wrote:
- >
- > Hi Jenna!
- >
- > Great to hear from you. I'm still here as we are career officers, not appointees. I'm adding our colleagues at NSA here as they are best positioned to address your query about Admiral Rogers.
- >
- > Best,
- > Tim.
- >
- >

> Timothy L. Barrett
> Chief of Media Relations
> Office of the Director of National Intelligence

> (b)(3)

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Jenna McLaughlin (b)(6)

> Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 2:23 PM

> To: Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)

> Subject: Question

>

> Hey Tim!

>

> I've got a quick question that I'm hoping to confirm—are you still in ODNI press office?

>

> Essentially, I've got a few sources on background who tell me that Adm. Rogers has been officially asked to stay on as NSA/Cyber Comm director for another year. I'm hoping to be able to report it either way, but would appreciate any background or confirmation I might be able to gather from administration. I will obviously also be asking white house.

>

> Best,

> Jenna

>

>

> Jenna McLaughlin

> Reporter

> The Intercept

(b)(6)

> PGP: AC08 1D1F 2612 E977 6471 946D FAB3 D922 893C 1FF7

>

>

>

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Jenna McLaughlin (b)(6)
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2017 1:22 PM
To: Timothy L Barrett
Cc: (b)(6)
 (US); NSA MEDIA; DNI-Media
Subject: Re: Question + NSA + OSD
Attachments: signature.asc

Thank you Tim!

I've also asked (b)(6) about this, but he did not have specific information immediately. So White House is aware of the query, but I presume NSA or DOD might already have the answer! Thanks so much.

Best,

Jenna McLaughlin
 Reporter
 The Intercept

(b)(6)

PGP: AC08 1D1F 2612 E977 6471 946D FAB3 D922 893C 1FF7

> On Feb 17, 2017, at 10:11 AM, Timothy L Barrett (b)(3) wrote:

>

> Hi Jenna,

>

> I'm adding our colleagues at the Secretary of Defense to this note as they may be in the best position to address your query. tlb

>

> -----Original Message

> From: Jenna McLaughlin (b)(6)

> Sent: Friday, February 17, 2017 1:03 PM

> To: Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)

> Cc: NSA MEDIA (b)(3); DNI-Media (b)(3)

> Subject: Re: Question + NSA

>

> Hello!

>

> Thanks again for this. Has anyone gotten the chance to check into this query at NSA? I would love to report that Adm. Rogers has been specifically asked to stay on for another year.

>

>

> Best,

> Jenna

>

> Jenna McLaughlin

> Reporter

> The Intercept

(b)(6)

> PGP: AC08 1D1F 2612 E977 6471 946D FAB3 D922 893C 1FF7

>

>

>

>> On Feb 15, 2017, at 11:33 AM, Timothy L Barrett (b)(3) wrote:

>>

>> Hi (b)(6)

>>

>> Great to hear from you. I'm still here as we are career officers, not appointees. I'm adding our colleagues at NSA here as they are best positioned to address your query about Admiral Rogers.

>>

>> Best,

>> Tim

>>

>>

>> Timothy L. Barrett

>> Chief of Media Relations

>> Office of the Director of National Intelligence

>> (b)(3)

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>>

>> ---Original Message---

>> From: Jenna McLaughlin (b)(6)

>> Sent: Wednesday, February 15, 2017 2:23 PM

>> To: Timothy L Barrett (b)(3)

>> Subject: Question

>>

>> Hey Tim!

>>

>> I've got a quick question that I'm hoping to confirm are you still in ODNI press office?

>>

>> Essentially, I've got a few sources on background who tell me that Adm. Rogers has been officially asked to stay on as NSA/Cyber Comm director for another year. I'm hoping to be able to report it either way, but would appreciate any background or confirmation I might be able to gather from administration. I will obviously also be asking white house.

>>

>> Best,

>> Jenna

>>

>>

>> Jenna McLaughlin

>> Reporter

>> The Intercept

>> (b)(6)

(b)(6)

>> PGP: AC08 1D1F 2612 E977 6471 946D FAB3 D922 893C 1FF7

>>

>>

>>

>

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2017 9:29 AM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Cc: DNI_NCSC_OUTREACH; DNI-Media
Subject: Kevin O'Marah Interview

Importance: High

(b)(3)(b)(6)

The call with Kevin O'Marah at 1430 on 22 FEB is good to go. Kevin will provide questions to me by this Friday.

We will call Kevin from Bill's office at (b)(6) and the interview will be off the record.

Best, (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Media Spokesman | ODNI-PAO

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Sunday, February 19, 2017 3:55 PM
To: Green, JJ
Cc: nctcpao
Subject: Re: question

JJ - I inadvertently typed "counterintelligence official" rather than "counterterrosim official" as it should be for the attribution. I haven't seen the story run yet so hopefully my correction isn't too late, apologies for my error (typing too quickly!).

Thanks

(b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Chief, Public Affairs
National Counterterrorism Center

(b)(3)(b)(6)

On Feb 17, 2017 3:43:03 PM, "Green, JJ" (b)(6) wrote:
Hi (b)(3)(b)(6) Brilliant! Just what I needed. Have a good weekend.

Regards,

JJ

On Feb 17, 2017, at 2:49 PM (b)(3)(b)(6) wrote:

Hi JJ,

On background and attributable to a senior counterintelligence official, please see below for descriptions of inspired, enabled, and directed attacks.

Thanks

(b)(3)(b)(6)

In light of ISIL-linked attacks in the West, the threat from terrorists can be viewed as a spectrum— individual plots can be viewed from inspired to enabled to directed based on the strength of the connection between the would-be attacker and a foreign terrorist organization (FTO). On this spectrum, a foreign terrorist organization’s involvement in an attack can be measured by the extent of its support to and contact with the operatives, whether that takes place physically, virtually, or both.

At one end of the spectrum, there are inspired attacks. An attack can be described as inspired by a foreign terrorist organization, or FTO, when an individual or cell conducts an attack without operational contact or direct support from a terrorist group. The attacker may declare allegiance to a terrorist group but independently manages preparations, such as acquiring money and material, and determines what and how to strike. Terrorist propaganda often influences these decisions.

At the other end of the spectrum, there are directed attacks. A directed attack occurs when an FTO provides support to all or nearly all facets of an attack. FTOs generally exercise oversight of directed plots through their planners, facilitators, and operatives, but may delegate aspects of a plot’s execution to the

And finally, in the middle of the spectrum, there are enabled attacks. Enabled attacks are characterized by varying degrees of support to the individual or cell that carries out the attack. Members of the FTO may provide preparatory support or guidance on tactics, targets, or timing, but the attack retains overall control of the plot. Senior members of FTO may have an uneven awareness of operations enabled by their subordinates. Several plots during 2015 exemplified this trend. Extremists based in the West sought guidance via social networking and secure messaging platforms but used their own discretion when selecting targets, timing, and tactics. Some plotters may seek FTO recognition through coordinating of media statements claiming responsibility for the attack.

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Chief, Public Affairs
National Counterterrorism Center
(b)(3)(b)(6)

On Feb 16, 2017 3:48:25 PM, "Green, JJ" (b)(6) wrote:
Tomorrow would be fine. Thanks (b)(3)(b)(6)

National Security Correspondent & Host of TargetUSA PODCAST
[WTOP RADIO | 103.5 fm | 107.7 fm | WTOP.COM](#)

(b)(6)
Twitter: @JJGreenWTOP
S-Twitter @natsec09
[Latest National Security News](#)

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2017 3:47 PM
To: Green, JJ
Cc: nctcpao
Subject: Re: question

Hi JJ,

Nice to hear from you. What is your deadline? I am out of the office this afternoon but can follow up tomorrow if that works for you.

Thanks
(b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)
Chief, Public Affairs
National Counterterrorism Center
(b)(3)(b)(6)

On Feb 16, 2017 3:27:00 PM, "Green, JJ" (b)(6) wrote:
Hi (b)(3)(b)(6)

How are you? I'm working on a story about terrorist tactics. Have a question. Can NCTC give me it's definition of what the difference between an "enabled" attack is compared to an "inspired" attack.

Best,
JJ

National Security Correspondent & [Host of TargetUSA PODCAST](#)

WTOP RADIO | 103.5 fm | 107.7 fm | [WTOP.COM](#)

(b)(6)

Twitter: @JJGreenWTOP

S-Twitter @natsec09

[Latest National Security News](#)

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)

Sent: Tuesday, October 18, 2016 8:40 AM

To: Green, JJ

Subject: Automatic reply: Rasmussen interview request

I am currently out of the office in training and will return Monday, October 24, 2016. If you need assistance, please contact NCTC Public Affairs at (b)(3) or (b)(3)(b)(6) Director of OSC, at (b)(3)(b)(6) Thanks.

(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2017 12:36 PM
To: 'Hennigan, William'
Subject: RE: Los Angeles Times request

Hi Bill – thanks for your follow up note. Can you give me some background on the piece you are working on as well as more specifics of what you are looking for re: Wa'il Adil Hasan Salman al-Fayad?

Thanks
(b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6) Chief
Office of Public Affairs
National Counterterrorism Center
(b)(3)(b)(6)

From: Hennigan, William (b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2017 12:13 PM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: RE: Los Angeles Times request

Hey (b)(3)(b)(6)

Just following up on this and seeing if you were having any luck.

Thanks,

Bill

From: Hennigan, William
Sent: Tuesday, February 07, 2017 12:38 PM
To: (b)(3)(b)(6)
Subject: Los Angeles Times request

Hello (b)(3)(b)(6)

Pleasure talking with you earlier. As we discussed I'm looking for information related to an ISIL propagandist who was killed near Raqqa on Sept. 7.

His name is Wael Adel Salman al-Fayad, aka: Wael al-Rawi, Dr. Wael, Abu Muhammad al-Furqan.

He reportedly played a leading role in setting up IISIL's key media outfits, including Amaq news agency, and in launching its multilingual magazines, such as Dabiq.

Could you please provide me with additional information on his role within the organization?

Thanks,

Bill

W.J. Hennigan

Pentagon Correspondent
Los Angeles Times | Chicago Tribune
Washington Bureau

(b)(6)

@wjhenn

All redactions are (b)(3)(b)(6) unless otherwise noted.

Wed 2/8/2017 6:50 AM
[REDACTED]
RE: Mike Nagata [REDACTED]
To: 'Schmitt, Eric'
Cc: [REDACTED]

Report Suspicious Email + Get more apps

Hey Eric,
LTG Nagata is out of town until next week. I will talk with him when he returns to see if we can set something up for 22 Feb.
Regarding [REDACTED] as mentioned, he is on detail to CSIS and still required (as are all NCTC employees) to work thru my office before engaging the media, whether its on- or-off the record.
That said, let's get thru the session with Nagata and then discuss the possibility of speaking with [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] does not have any public testimony scheduled.

Thanks,
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Director of Strategic Communications
National Counterterrorism Center (NCTC)
[REDACTED]

From: Schmitt, Eric (b)(6) [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, February 08, 2017 5:54 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Just checking in again on linking up with Mike Nagata.
I'd also contacted [REDACTED] at CSIS, who said I need to go through you to chat with him since he's on detail to CSIS. Just wanted to make his acquaintance and pick his brain on CT stuff. Background for now.
Does [REDACTED] have any public testimony, speeches or other events coming up?

Thanks,
Eric

Eric Schmitt